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1. OVERVIEW

The Joint Ocean Ice Study (JOIS) in 2021 is an important contribution from Fisheries and Oceans Canada to international Arctic climate research programs and is jointly supported by Fisheries and Oceans Canada and the National Science Foundation. It is a collaboration between Fisheries and Oceans Canada researchers (Bill Williams lead) and in the USA from Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI) (Andrey Proshutinsky, Rick Krishfield, Isabela Le Bras leads) and from Yale University (Mary-Louise Timmermans). The scientists from WHOI and Yale University lead the Beaufort Gyre Exploration Project (BGEP, http://www.whoi.edu/beaufortgyre/) which maintains the Beaufort Gyre Observing System (BGOS) as part of the Arctic Observing Network (AON), funded by NSF.

The 2021 program includes collaborations with researchers from:

USA:
- Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts.
- Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut.
- Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon.
- Cold Regions Research Laboratory (CRREL), Hanover, New Hampshire.
- University of Montana, Missoula, Montana.

Japan:
- Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), as part of the Pan-Arctic Climate Investigation (PACI).
- Tokyo University of Marine Science and Technology (TUMSAT), Tokyo.
- Kitami Institute of Technology, Hokkaido.

Canada:
- Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Institute of Ocean Sciences (DFO-IOS), Sidney, British Columbia
- Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Bedford Institute of Oceanography (DFO-BIO), Dartmouth, Nova Scotia
- Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec
- Université Laval, Québec City, Québec.
- Concordia University, Montreal, Quebec

Research questions seek to understand the impacts of global change on the physical and geochemical environment of the Canada Basin of the Arctic Ocean and the corresponding biological response. We thus collect data to link decadal and inter-annual variation in the Arctic atmosphere and ocean to basin-scale changes in the Beaufort Gyre Region, including the freshwater content of the Beaufort Gyre, freshwater sources, ice properties and distribution, water mass properties and distribution, ocean circulation, ocean acidification and biota distribution.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Website Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beaufort Gyre Observing System</td>
<td><a href="https://www2.whoi.edu/site/beaufortgyre/overview/scientific-motivation/">https://www2.whoi.edu/site/beaufortgyre/overview/scientific-motivation/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaufort Gyre Observing System</td>
<td><a href="https://www2.whoi.edu/site/beaufortgyre/expeditions/2021-expedition/2021-dispatches/">https://www2.whoi.edu/site/beaufortgyre/expeditions/2021-expedition/2021-dispatches/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System dispatches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice-Tethered Profiler buoys</td>
<td><a href="https://www2.whoi.edu/site/itp/">https://www2.whoi.edu/site/itp/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice Mass Balance buoys</td>
<td><a href="http://imb-crrel-dartmouth.org/">http://imb-crrel-dartmouth.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic Ocean Flux Buoy</td>
<td><a href="http://www.oc.nps.edu/~stanton/fluxbuoy/">www.oc.nps.edu/~stanton/fluxbuoy/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. CRUISE SUMMARY

The JOIS/BGOS science program onboard the *CCGS Louis S. St-Laurent* began August 19th, departing from Cambridge Bay, NU and finished September 16th, 2021, back in Cambridge Bay with 25 days dedicated to science. The research was conducted in the Canada Basin from the Beaufort Slope in the south and close to 80°N in the north by a research team of 20 people from 8 institutions from 2 countries. Of the 20 people, 6 were students (undergraduate, masters and doctorate students). Full depth CTD/Rosette casts with water samples were conducted. These casts measured biological, geochemical and physical properties of the seawater. Underway expendable temperature and salinity probes (XCTDs) were deployed between the CTD/Rosette casts to increase the spatial resolution of CTD measurements. Moorings and ice-buoys were serviced and deployed in the central and northern Beaufort Gyre to collect year-round time-series data. Underway ice observations and on-ice surveys were conducted. Zooplankton net tows, phytoplankton and bacteria measurements were collected to examine distributions of the lower trophic levels. Underway measurements were made of the surface water. Daily dispatches were posted to the web. The location of science stations, the primary sampling at each station, and the total number of each type of station, are shown in Figure 1 below.

![Figure 1. The JOIS/BGOS-2021 cruise track showing the location of science stations.](image-url)
Following the JOIS program, opportunistic sampling was conducted from the CCGS Sir Wilfrid Laurier, deploying 8 XCTDs across the south-west Beaufort Sea. Although not part of this program, the XCTDs were conducted in support of the Beaufort Sea observations and are listed in the appendix.

2.1 Program Components

Measurements:

- At CTD/Rosette Stations:
  - 57 CTD/Rosette Casts at 50 Stations (DFO) with 1233 Niskin bottle water samples collected for hydrography, geochemistry and pelagic biology (bacteria, microbial diversity and phytoplankton) analysis (DFO, Sherbrooke U, TUMSAT, WHOI, Yale, U Laval, Concordia, JAMSTEC).
  - Water samples taken:
    - At all full depth stations: Salinity, dissolved O$_2$ gas, Nutrients (NO$_3$+NO$_2$, PO$_4$, SiO$_4$), $^{18}$O isotope in H$_2$O, Bacteria, Alkalinity, Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC), Fluorescent Dissolved Organic Matter (FDOM), Chlorophyll-a
    - At selected stations: microbial diversity, $^{129}$I, Phytoplankton pigments using HPLC, Barium, Dissolved Organic Matter (DOM), Lignin-phenols,
  - Zooplankton Vertical Net (“Bongo”) Casts at 34 CTD/Rosette stations with one cast to 100m. The two nets per cast have a mesh size of 150 $\mu$m and 236 $\mu$m. (DFO).
  - 53 XCTD (expendable temperature, salinity and depth profiler) Casts typically to 1100m depth. (DFO, JAMSTEC, WHOI)

- Mooring operations (WHOI)
  - 3 Mooring Recoveries/Deployments in the deep basin (BGOS-A,B,D; WHOI)

- Buoy operations (WHOI, Yale, CRREL)
  - 1 Ship-based deployment in open water with:
    - 1 Ice-Tethered Profiler (ITP124, WHOI)
  - 1 Ice-Station with:
    - 1 Ice-Tethered Profiler w/ SAMI-CO2 (ITP126, WHOI, UMontana)
    - 1 Seasonal Ice Mass Balance Buoy (SIMB-2021#2, CRREL)
    - 1 Tethered Ocean Profiler (TOP2, WHOI)
  - 1 Ice-Station with:
    - 1 Ice-Tethered Profiler w/ SAMI-CO2 (ITP127, WHOI)
1 Tethered Ocean Profiler (TOP3, WHOI)
- 1 Ice-Station with:
  - 1 Ice-Tethered Profiler (ITP122, WHOI)
  - 1 Seasonal Ice Mass Balance Buoy (SIMB-2021#3, CRREL)
  - 1 Tethered Ocean Profiler (TOP2, WHOI)
  - 1 Arctic Ocean Flux Buoy (AOFB48, NPS)

- 2 Recoveries of buoys deployed in previous years:
  - Ice-Tethered Profiler (ITP119, WHOI)
  - Ice-Tethered Profiler (ITP112, WHOI)

- Ice Observations (KIT/OSU) were limited this year due COVID related travel restrictions keeping the participants from Japan from joining the trip.

- Visual ice observations were made by automated photographs taken from 3 cameras at 1 minute intervals: 1 camera was mounted above the bridge looking forward, 1 mounted above the bridge on the port side looking down on the overturning ice with a measuring stick in view, 1 mounted in the bridge window looking forward.

- On-ice measurements at the ice-stations including:
  - Drill-hole ice thickness transects
  - Ice-cores for temperature, salinity and structure profiles
  - Ice-cores for microbial microdiversity (ULaval)

- Underway collection of meteorological, depth, and navigation data, and near-surface seawater measurements of salinity, temperature, chlorophyll-a fluorescence, FDOM fluorescence as well as pCO2 (DFO-IOS, USherbrooke, UMontana).
  Water samples (78) were collected from the underway seawater loop for salinity, nutrients, chlorophyll, DIC and alkalinity (DFO), and FDOM (USherbrooke).

- Daily dispatches to the web (WHOI)

### 2.2 Comments on Operation

This year’s program was a month earlier than the past few years. Due to ice conditions, we chose to travel clockwise around the Beaufort Gyre, allowing the heavier ice in the southeast Beaufort to melt and become weaker by the time we arrived at the end of the cruise. In general, operations were easier with the warmer weather and more day-light,
but the weak ice in the north (freeze up was only just starting) made it difficult to find suitable ice stations.

We started by steaming west. The 5 stations within 60nm of Barrow that are sometimes restricted due to the overlap with the whaling season were completed after receiving permission from the Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission. The whaling season had not yet begun in Utqiagvik or Nuiqsut. We sampled our standard western stations along 150W from south to north. In the norther area we were looking for 4 ice stations total but had to replace the first ice station with an open water deployment due to the thin and weak condition of the ice. We were able to find ice thick enough for the remaining stations although only the last station, where we traveled farther north than our typical sampling grid, had a large enough area of solid ice for the large array of four buoys. We then traveled back south along 140W, giving up one station (PP6) in order to allow adequate time for the remaining stations. Being fortunate with no weather delays or problems with mooring operations, we were able to complete the remaining stations, particularly the closely spaced samples leading up the slope to the shelf close to the Mackenzie River outflow.

The three on-ice stations were done by parking the ship within an ice floe, lowering the gangway for people to walk out to the ice. The ship’s crane transferred gear. This method worked well. Multiple science teams could start working quickly once the ladder was down and gave easy access to the ship for workers on the ice. Due to the generally weak ice at the ice-stations, the number of workers and the extent of the science operations on the ice were restricted to the essential operations.

See the figures below for details of the ice cover during the expedition. Figures are from the Canadian Ice Service showing Western Region Ice Concentration and Stage (source: https://iceweb1.cis.ec.gc.ca/Archive/page1.xhtml) and the National Snow and Ice Data Center showing Arctic-wide sea-ice extent (source: https://nsidc.org/data/seaice_index/archives)

There was an ice specialist from the Canadian Ice Service on board. His daily briefings prepared for the ship regarding weather, sea-state and ice-conditions showing current conditions and forecasting what to expect helped us decide how to budget program time, order of operations, and find the appropriate ice for the buoy placement. We were fortunate with good weather. We did not have to cancel or postpone any stations or mooring operations due to weather, although winds were high enough at times to cancel the zooplankton casts.

The three mooring recoveries this year were for systems that had been in place for 3 years, 1 year longer than planned due to COVID related disruptions. Although two of the three top transponders that aid in recovery did not work, the third, on mooring D, worked well. This was particularly useful as the waters were ice-covered over this mooring. The recoveries and redeployments went well.

Due to COVID related travel restrictions our participants from Japan were unable to join us again this year. This limited the ice-observation work normally done and put extra
pressure on our chemistry analyst who now was tasked with running DIC/Alkalintiy samples single-handedly. All of the various science programs aboard the ship, that together build this interdisciplinary expedition, were conducted successfully. Individual reports on each program are provided below.
Figure 2. Ice conditions at the start of the program showing this year and last year for comparison.
Figure 3. Ice conditions at the end of the program this year and last year for comparison.
Figure 4. Sea Ice Extent and Concentration mid-way through this year’s cruise. (from the National Snow and Ice Data Center)

Figure 5. Sea Ice Extent from National Snow & Ice Data Center (source: http://nsidc.org/arcticseaicenews/)
Figure 6. Temperature, air pressure and wind speed for the duration of the expedition from the AVOS weather station above the bridge of the CCGS Louis S. St-Laurent.
Completion of planned activities:

Our primary goals were met during this successful program due to efficient use of time by science and the ship, and the unflagging support from the officers and crew. We appreciated the ship’s willingness to set off shortly after crew change. There were a couple delays with time was lost at the start of the trip replacing a broken piece of science equipment and the other was a ship detour for an ice-route reconnaissance for a possible ice-escort, however this time was made up by the ship with increased speed during transit with no effect on the program. Due to the tight timing of the program, we gave up one non-priority station to save time for possible weather delays, but other than this, occupied all stations, had the opportunity to recover two buoys and add an opportunistic rosette cast in the southern Amundsen Gulf on the return.
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Figure 7. Ship and science personnel. Photo by Gary Morgan
Figure 8. All crew and science on board. Poster made by Helen Gemmrich with help from Nimrod Rozen.
4. PROGRAM COMPONENT DESCRIPTIONS

Descriptions of the programs are given below with event locations listed in the appendix. Please contact program principle investigators for complete reports.

4.1 Rosette/CTD Casts

*PI: Bill Williams (DFO-IOS)*
*Chris Clarke, Kristina Brown, Sarah Zimmermann (DFO-IOS)*

4.1.1 Overview

A Seabird 9/11+ CTD system was used with SBE9+ s/n 756 CTD the entire cruise. The CTD was mounted on an ice-strengthened rosette frame configured with a 24-position SBE-32 pylon with 10L Niskin bottles fitted with internal stainless steel springs. The rosette has been modified to accommodate extra instrumentation by adding an extension on the bottom of the frame.

The data were collected real-time using the SBE 11+ deck unit and computer running Seasave V 7.26.7.107 acquisition software. The CTD was set up with two temperature sensors, two conductivity sensors, dissolved oxygen sensor, and chlorophyll fluorometer, all with pumped flow. Also on the CTD was a transmissometer, CDOM fluorometer, cosine PAR and altimeter. In addition, an Alec RINKO III dissolved oxygen sensor was used for comparison and sensor testing purposes for most casts. An Aandera optode dissolved oxygen sensor was used for a few casts at the beginning of the program.

A surface PAR sensor connected to the CTD deck unit was integrated into the CTD data for all casts. In addition, a serial communicating surface PAR sensor providing continuous 1hz data was mounted beside the other SPAR unit. Continuous PAR data was collected for the whole cruise. These 1-minute averaged data are reported with the underway suite of sensors.

A typical station started with a CTD cast down to 10 m off the seafloor. While in the water, at most stations where weather allowed, a zooplankton vertical net hauls (bongo nets) to 100m would occur from the foredeck. At 5 stations, a short CTD cast to 1000m for microbial diversity sampling (“RNA/DNA”) lead and was followed by a full geochemistry cast. Casts were also done at mooring and ITP/TOP/flux buoy deployment sites. During JOIS 2021, there were a total of 57 CTD/Rosette casts. 56 were casts for JOIS, and 1 was an opportunistic cast performed in Amundsen Gulf during the transit back to Cambridge Bay departure.
4.1.2 During a typical deployment
On deck, the transmissometer, CDOM sensor, and Rinko III sensor windows were sprayed with deionised water and wiped with a Kimwipe prior to each deployment. The CTD/Rosette was lowered to 10m and the pumps turned on. This soak cools the sensors to ambient sea water temperature and removes bubbles from the sensors. After 3 minutes, the package was brought up to just below the surface to begin a clean cast, and lowered at 30m/min to 300m, then at 60m/min to within 10m of the bottom. Routinely, the winch was switched from low to high gear and vice versa at 900m to make operations smoother. Niskin bottles were closed during the upcast, normally without a stop. For surface bottles, and where multiple bottles were closed at the same depth, the rosette was “yo-yo’d” to mechanically flush the bottle, meaning it was stopped for 30sec, raised 1m, lowered 2m, raised 1m, and stopped again for 30 seconds before bottle closure. The bottles closed using this method are indicated in the rosette log and water sample data spreadsheet (“chemistry spreadsheet”). The instrumented sheave (Brook Ocean Technology) provided a read out to the winch operator, CTD operator, main lab and bridge, allowing all to monitor cable out, wire angle, tension and CTD depth during the cast. After the cast the rosette was brought back on deck and rolled using a pallet jack into the heated rosette sampling room.

4.1.3 Performance notes

**Assembly – CTD**
We used SBE9plus s/n 756 with s/n 724 as backup. The temperature, conductivity and dissolved oxygen sensors were all freshly calibrated this year for JOIS. The FDOM sensor was new this year and worked well. The pumps and pumped sensors were oriented to their standard positioning unlike the swapped set up used for the 2020 cruise.

**Assembly - Niskins**
Per usual, due to the instrumentation on the rosette, we had to cock some of the Niskins bottom end caps to the side rather than straight back.

**Assembly – Sensors**
With no LADCP, FOG or battery packs this year, there was more room for sensor placement. The FDOM sensor, cosine PAR, altimeter, and transmissometer were mounted in roughly the same positions as 2020. The altimeter was repositioned to a much better location with a very open view downward prior to the 13th rosette cast to improve performance.

**Pylon/ Water Sampler**
Generally the system performed well. The trigger mechanism was routinely checked and had no issues this year.
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Niskin configuration
All o-rings were changed August 2020, and visually inspected prior to the 2021 cruise on the 24 Niskins on the rosette. Silicon rubber o-rings are used on the spigots to reduce sticking in cold conditions. The lanyards were also checked. There were very few integrity problems (leaks with or without air vent open) with the 24 Niskins during JOIS this year, and all of them were related to forgotten vent closures. All bottle closure issues were related to lanyard hang-ups or bottle cap obstructions.

Seacable issues and re-termination
The seacable was last re-terminated at the end of JOIS 2020 (Oct 2020). The wire was cut back 50 m and re-terminated in Queen Maud Gulf and used subsequently for 4 stations in Bellot Strait in 2020. The seacable worked reasonably well for most of the JOIS 2021 cruise, but will require re-termination prior to the JOIS 2022 cruise. This will hopefully address the issue of some Niskins needing multiple closure attempts in Seasave during the last few casts.

Issues of note:
ROS4 (StnA): The wire got caught on ice during downcast between 940-945m. The wire was pulled to ~20º angle and the winch was stopped. The ship repositioned, the ice was pushed aft, and the wire “snapped” free of the ice. There is a spike in the data at this point, again at ~1300m on the downcast, and a few short spikes on the upcast. The wire was visually inspected between ~900m to ~975m for visible damage. No related issues of
note were observed after this cast, and it was decided that re-termination (especially cutting off 900m+ of cable) was unnecessary.

ROS36 (CB17): Wire twisted up on deck upon recovery. Rosette was hung and allowed to spin in order to untwist wire on deck.

ROS48 (CB29): The wire hit a piece of ice on downcast @ 240m. No effect on data.

ROS49 (MK6): Niskin 19 did not fire on first fire attempt. Worked after a couple button presses. No loss of communication or data otherwise.

ROS50 (CB28b): Niskin 19 & 20 did not fire on first fire attempt. Worked after a couple button presses. No loss of communication or data otherwise.

ROS54 (MK1): Niskin 8 did not fire on first fire attempt. Worked after a couple button presses. No loss of communication or data otherwise.

Of note this year, it was noticed that there was an issue with the wire twisting up on deck (from ~ROS35 onwards) if the rosette was not left to hang and unspin naturally on recovery. Unsure of the cause, but this seemed to be an issue in 2020 as well. Perhaps the weight distribution needs to be addressed, or something has caused the wire to over or under spin during the cast.

It was also observed during the disassembly of the rosette and removal of the CTD and sensors that the underwater cable pigtail on the terminated end of the seacable was very badly spun up and coiled. Unsure of how this could happen, but is obviously related to our twisted wire issues.

Of note, the outer jacket of wires on the wire end of the seacable below the termination is “loose” and birdcaged. There is also ~50m of wire above the termination with one jacket wire standing proud. This will need to be removed when re-terminating for JOIS 2022.

Seasave and CTD data
Seasave worked reasonably well throughout. Some issues when zooming in and replotting the display plots for Tmax, etc. Potentially a low memory issue with new CTD computer, but unsure. Some issues with communication with BOT block/IMS display (see BOT block section).

ROS4 (StnA): The wire got caught on ice during downcast between 940-945m. There is a spike in the data at this point, again at ~1300m on the downcast, and a few short spikes on the upcast.

ROS47 (CB27): Deck unit made 2 high pitched “beeps” (pressure alarm?) @ 2750m. Vertical lines shown on plot display, as if showing negative depth, then return to normal. No other issues afterwards.

ROS49 (MK6): Niskin 19 did not fire on first fire attempt. Worked after a couple button presses. No loss of communication or data otherwise.

ROS50 (CB28b): Niskin 19 & 20 did not fire on first fire attempt. Worked after a couple button presses. No loss of communication or data otherwise.
ROS54 (MK1): Niskin 8 did not fire on first fire attempt. Worked after a couple button presses. No loss of communication or data otherwise.

**GPS feed**
The GPS feed and GPSgate worked well this year. No observed dropouts on the CTD computer.

**Instrumented Sheave (BOT)**
The Instrumented Measurement System (IMS) and the Brooke Ocean Technology (BOT) block bridge display feed was problematic throughout the cruise. Occasionally, it was a software (GPSgate or IMS) issue, in which these could be restarted to solve the issue. Primarily it seemed to be the distribution feed from the primary CTD computer was hung and could not be restarted without rebooting the computer. The serial-to-USB cable was replaced, the CTD computer rebooted, but none had lasting success. We finally swapped the leg of the Y-cable from the interface box from the CTD computer over to the Knudsen computer, which seemed to fix these dropouts for the most part, but we are not confident this is a catch-all solution. The CTD computer is new this year could be the cause of the problem. Note that both legs of the Y-cable were going to the Knudsen computer: the feed for the IMS software and the distribution feed.

A seemingly unrelated issue we ran into was the winch and IMS display losing the correct CTD pressure data mid-cast. There did not seem to be a clear pattern to this – the pressure display would suddenly switch to a near 0 number, then gradually change value up or down in a non-linear fashion, for the rest of the cast. Seasave pressure, as well as all other display parameters (block angles, tension, wire out, altimeter, messages), were unaffected by this. We suspect this is an issue with the pressure data “shared.dat” file being continuously written by Seasave to be shared with the IMS program on the fly. This value should not be affected by cabling or other physical issue, as this file is shared either internally on the same computer or via the server when running the IMS program through the Knudsen computer. This occurred on a few occasions, then before we could figure out the solution, it seemed to have solved itself and did not happen again for the rest of the cruise.

Most cabling was new and tested in spring of 2021, but it is recommended that these be thoroughly checked before JOIS 2022. The serial (DB9) communication y-cable needs to be re-terminated with new connector ends as it looks quite worn (performance seems fine).

ROS13 (CB2a): Bridge IMS display frozen.
ROS15 (CB3): Switched “shared.dat” file from Seasave to display depth instead of pressure.
ROS18 (CB4DNA): Bridge IMS display frozen.
ROS21 (CB8): Bridge IMS display frozen.
ROS23 (CB9): Bridge & lab IMS display frozen. Server/GPSgate issue.
ROS28 (CB11DNA): Bridge IMS display frozen.
ROS29 (CB11): Bridge IMS display frozen on upcast only. CTD pressure data suddenly reset to 0 on winch and IMS block display near beginning of upcast. CTD data unaffected.
ROS31 (CB16): Bridge & lab IMS display frozen. Server/GPSgate issue.
ROS32 (ICE4): CTD pressure data suddenly reset to 0 on winch and IMS block display @ ~1750m on upcast. CTD data unaffected.
ROS 29 to ROS 32: IMS display was swapped to run off of Knudsen computer rather than CTD computer at some point between ROS29 and ROS32. This seemingly solved the bridge display issues, but the CTD pressure data display issues were observed before and after the switch.
ROS34 (CB15): CTD pressure data suddenly reset to 0 on winch and IMS block display @ ~1470m on upcast. CTD data unaffected.

**Transmissometer**
WetLabs CSTAR transmissometer 1047 was used all cruise without any noted problems.

**Altimeter**
Benthos PSA-916 (s/n 62670) was used throughout the 2021 cruise. Issues with false bottoms and bad readings until 20-30m off the bottom were observed from ROS2 (StnA) to ROS12 (BL8). The connector was checked and re-seated prior to ROS4 (BL4) without effect. It is suspected the altimeter is mounted too high in the rosette frame, and is shielding the transducer.

These problems were solved by relocating the altimeter to a much lower point in the frame with a makeshift mount prior to ROS13 (CB2a). After relocating, we would regularly have the altimeter read from its max range (98m) to the bottom without data spikes. No issues observed after ROS13 (CB2a).

Recommend installing a permanent mount in the same or similar location for future cruises.

**FDOM fluorometer**
The WetLabs FLCDRTD (s/n 6677) fluorometer is new this year. It worked well this cruise. It was feared that when splitting channels with the Aandera optode dissolved oxygen sensor, the data would be noisy due to the shared power ground connection. For this reason, the Aandera optode was only tested for the first cast, and then removed for the remainder of the cruise. The splitter y-cable used initially was removed prior to ROS13 (CB2a) and replaced with a straight cable.
**Rinko III dissolved oxygen sensor**

As first tested on the JOIS 2020 cruise, an Alec Rinko III dissolved oxygen sensor (s/n 404) was mounted on the rosette next to the SBE43 oxygen sensor for most of the CTD casts. The RINKO was configured on a splitter Y cable with the Satlantic cosine PAR sensor. The Rinko III was used on the first casts, then removed. It was determined to have no effect on the cosine PAR and re-installed prior to ROS13 (CB2a) for the remainder of the cruise. Raw voltage measurements were recorded in the Seasave data file using the User Poly option. The Rinko has a fast 2 s response time but is thought to drift between casts. It is hoped that the drift found in this sensor can be corrected for, and the Rinko can be used to provide accurate dissolved oxygen profile data when an oxygen analyst cannot be present on board cruises (i.e. programs C3O, CBS-MEA, CROW etc). Analysis of the data collected will be used to prepare a method for independent oxygen measurements. A 2-point calibration was performed upon the completion of the cruise.

**Winch**

The CTD winch, the Hawboldt model SRO 75, with 75hp, has been a part of JOIS for many years. Originally 7000 m of 0.322” 3 conductor UNOLS wire was installed in 2011 and ~5950m remained on the drum in 2020. The wire will likely need another ~50m removed when re-terminating for 2022 operations.

The winch operated quite well this year. Very little squeaking was heard in 2021. No issues were observed with spooling or otherwise, and the deck crew ensured all moving parts and grease nipples were well lubricated throughout the cruise. It was noted that despite the brake clearance and operation being good, there was an observable amount of brake dust accumulating on deck. Given the thickness of the brake pads, this is unlikely to be a problem. It was also observed that the brake may take up to 1m to fully stop when the cable is fully out (~3800m). This is likely due to regular wear on the brake pad, and was able to be rectified by slightly tweaking the hand wheel adjustment. Should the brake appear to not come off completely or not seat properly in the future it can be adjusted with the hand wheel. This has been done in the past and it should be noted that there is a small sweet spot for ideal operation.

**To do / suggestions for next year**

- Calibrate T,C&O sensors on SBE 9plus s/n 756
- Consider new calibration for T&C sensors on SBE 9 plus s/n 724
- Inspect Niskin o-rings and lanyards for replacement of worn items
- Inspect and repair BOT block cabling; troubleshoot IMS display issues
- Replace Fluor/Xmiss Y cable with longer xmiss leg
- Make new mounting location for altimeter permanent
- Check weights and balance Rosette Frame to reduce spin
Re-terminate seacable after removing ~50m; keep at least 5m of used seacable end to inspect and determine possible causes for observed “spin-up” of the underwater cable pigtail

See appendix for CTD sensor configuration and calibration information

### 4.2 Chemistry Sampling

The table below shows what properties were sampled and at what stations. Please see the Rosette Sample Log for the full list of each sample drawn.

**Table 2. Water Sample Summary from CTD/Rosette – JOIS program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Canada Basin Casts</th>
<th>Depths (m) or properties</th>
<th>n (dup, trip)</th>
<th>Analyzed</th>
<th>Investigator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen</td>
<td>All casts (geochemistry)</td>
<td>Full depth</td>
<td>1058 (124)</td>
<td>Onboard</td>
<td>Bill Williams (IOS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC/alkalinity</td>
<td>All casts (geochemistry)</td>
<td>Typically to S=34.7 (5 to 400m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Onboard</td>
<td>Bill Williams (IOS), Michiyo Yamamoto-Kawai (TUMSAT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stn-A, CB4, CB9, CB16, CB15, CB21, CB29,</td>
<td>Full depth</td>
<td>681 (44)</td>
<td>Onboard</td>
<td>Celine Gueguen (USherbrooke)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDOM</td>
<td>All casts (geochemistry)</td>
<td>5, Chl Max, S=33.1, S=34.4, Tmax, 1000, 2000, 2500, Bot-100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Onboard</td>
<td>Celine Gueguen (USherbrooke)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All 140W stations (CB16, CB15, CB17, CB18, CB21, CB29, MK6, CB28b, MK4, MK3, MK2, MK1, CB28aa) along with Barium</td>
<td>5 to S=33.1, S=34.4, Tmax, 1000, 2000, 2500, Bot-100</td>
<td>498 (7)</td>
<td>Onboard</td>
<td>Celine Gueguen (USherbrooke)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl-a</td>
<td>All casts (geochemistry)</td>
<td>5-200 (select)</td>
<td>355 (155)</td>
<td>Shore lab</td>
<td>Bill Williams (IOS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>All casts (geochemistry)</td>
<td>5, 20, Chlmax, S=32.3, S=33.1, Tmax, 1000, Bottom</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>Shore lab</td>
<td>Connie Lovejoy (Ulavai)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients</td>
<td>All casts (geochemistry)</td>
<td>Full depth</td>
<td>1071 (126)</td>
<td>Onboard</td>
<td>Bill Williams (IOS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Full depth</td>
<td>1227 (111)</td>
<td>Onboard</td>
<td>Bill Williams (IOS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Following are short backgrounds of a few of the chemistries sampled. Please see the full reports for more details.

### 4.2.1 Iodine-129, Cesium-134

*Sampling by CTD Watch*
Sampling was performed for radionuclide $^{129}$I in the Arctic Ocean.

Measurements of $^{129}$I across the middle of the program area provide information about the spread of Atlantic-origin water labeled by discharges from European reprocessing plants. This year, sampling is along the ~74.5N latitude will address transport between the southern and norther basin.

The samples for $^{129}$I were collected into 500mL brown Nalgene bottles after a small rinse for contaminants. After drying the bottle exterior and allowing sample to come to room temperature, the lids were wrapped secure with electrical tape to prevent leaks or evaporation. Samples were stored in the 4C cool room until they were offloaded and shipped to ETH Zurich, Switzerland, for analysis.

4.2.2 Fluorescent Dissolved Organic Matter Sampling

Céline Guéguen (USherbrooke)
Nicolas Sylvestre (USherbrooke)
Mohamed Gamrani (USherbrooke)

P.I.: Céline Guéguen (USherbrooke)

Summary

Samples for Fluorescent Dissolved Organic Matter (FDOM), Dissolved Organic Matter (DOM) and Lignin-Phenol analysis were collected for Céline Guéguen (USherbrooke), following the protocol given below. A total of 491 FDOM samples were collected at 49 stations and 62 from the underway seawater loop system between August 22th and September 14, 2021 on board the CCGS Louis S. St-Laurent during the Joint Ocean Ice Study-Beaufort Gyre Observational System 2021.

Rosette Casts Samples

4.2.2.1.1 Samples > 200m

The bottom spigot of Niskin was opened to allow stream of seawater to flush the 40 mL amber glass vial used for FDOM sampling. The vials and caps were rinsed 3X with sample water before collecting the actual sample.

1L water samples were collected for DOM analysis at 4 depths (T-max, 1000-m, 2000-m and Bottom-100m) at BL8, CB22a, CB3, CB6, and CB19. The samples were solid phase extracted immediately after collection.
4.2.2.1.2 Samples <200m

Samples from depth shallower than 200 m were filtered in line through a pre-combusted GF/F, 47 mm, held in a Swinnex filter holder after the amber glass vials and caps were rinsed three times with the filtered seawater. Approximately 5 mL of seawater was forced through the filter before rinsing and sample collection.

4.2.2.1.3 Incubations samples

At Station-A, approx. 5 L were filtered from niskin 23 (32.3 PSU, 138 m) and filtered with a pre-combusted GF/D filter and stored in fridge for use as the inoculum. Forty-five (45) pre-combusted 1L amber glass bottles were marked at 650 mL and filled with filtered (double layer of GF/F pre-combusted filters) water from the following depths (15 bottles per depth) : Bottom (3330 m), Tmax (506 m), and 34.4 (303 m). Nutrients (NO3 and PO4) were amended in all bottles to reach a final concentration of 15.3 uM (NO3) and 1.05 (PO4). For each set of 15 bottles, 5 were kept as a control and 65 mL of the inoculum was added to the remaining 10 bottles. Bottles were kept in the fridge in the dark and sampled following a pre-determined schedule.

Underway Samples

Eight (8) 20L water samples were collected from the underway system for lignin phenol analysis at CB15, CB17, CB18, CB21, CB27, CB29, and MK6. The samples were solid phase extracted immediately after collection. Sixty-two (62) FDOM samples were collected from the underway system while the ship was steaming, at a frequency of approximately 2-3X per day at XCTD sites. Seawater from the TSG outlet was used to flush the 40 mL amber glass vial used for FDOM sampling. Vials and caps were rinsed 3X with sample before collecting the actual sample. Upon collection of each sample from the underway system, FDOM sensor reading (volts and counts), latitude, longitude, UTC time, sample ID etc. was noted. Samples for nutrients, salinity and chlorophyll were collected once a day to post-calibrate the sensor.

The USherbrooke real-time FDOM sensor was tested and compared to the old one.

Storage

After collection, FDOM samples were analysed onboard within 12h of collection. The DOM and Lignin-Phenols extracts were stored in the -80°C freezer and transferred to the University of Sherbrooke for analysis. A selection of FDOM samples were kept and will be transferred to the University of Sherbrooke for absorbance analysis.
4.2.3 Barium

Celine Gueguen (USherbrooke)
Nicolas Sylvestre (USherbrooke)
Mohamed Gamrani (USherbrooke)
P.I.: Celine Gueguen

Background

Barium is naturally released from rocks during the weathering process and is dissolved in river water. The naturally occurring concentration of barium in North America is higher than in Eurasia resulting in different concentrations in rivers from the two continents. When studying the source of fresh water in the Arctic Ocean, the oxygen isotope ratio can identify river water from sea-ice melt, and barium can further distinguish which continent the river water is from (Guay and Falkner, 1998; Guay and Falkner, 1997).

Sampling

190 barium samples were collected at Station-A, CB2, and along the BL, MK, and 140W lines, typically from 0 to 200 m depth. Barium samples were drawn from the Niskin into small (~20 mL) plastic vials following three rinses of the vials. Once at room temperature the caps were retightened for storage until analysis back onshore.

Analysis

Barium concentrations will be determined at the University of Sherbrooke on an 8800 Agilent inductively coupled quadrupole mass spectrometer using isotope dilution. Briefly, 250 µL aliquots of sample were spiked with an equal volume of a $^{135}$Ba-enriched solution (Oak Ridge National Laboratories) and diluted with 10 mL of 1% HNO$_3$. The spectrometer was operated in peak jump mode, and data were accumulated over three 20 s intervals for masses 135 and 138.

References


### 4.2.4 Oxygen Isotope Ratio ($\delta^{18}O$)

*Sampled by CTD Watch*

*P.I.: Bill Williams (DFO-IOS)*

Oxygen isotopes, $^{16}O$ and $^{18}O$, are two common, naturally occurring oxygen isotopes. Through the meteoric water cycle of evaporation and precipitation, the lighter weight $^{16}O$ is selected preferentially during evaporation, resulting in a larger fraction of $^{18}O$ in meteoric water than in the source water (i.e. seawater). Sea-ice formation and melt on the other hand, only changes the source water’s $^{18}O/^{16}O$ ratio (noted as $\delta^{18}O$) slightly. River water is fed from meteoric sources and thus the $\delta^{18}O$ is a valuable tool used in the Arctic Ocean to distinguish between fresh water from river (meteoric) sources and from sea-ice melt.

Oxygen Isotopes Samples were collected into 30 ml glass vials. Once at room temperature, the caps were retightened and the vials inverted for storage. Samples will be analyzed at Oregon State University, at the College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences (COAS) Stable Isotope Lab, by Jennifer McKay. Samples will be analysed using a DeltaPlusXL Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer connected to a $H_2O-CO_2$ equilibration unit.

Samples were collected into two types of vials due to limited availability of our typical style vial. Glassware has been limited with COVID related supply issues.

### 4.2.5 Dissolved Inorganic Carbon and Alkalinity

*Marty Davelaar (DFO, IOS)*

*P.I.: Bill Williams (DFO-IOS)*

*P.I.: Michiyo Yamamoto-Kawai (TUMSAT)*

Samples for DIC and Alkalinity were collected at all stations (geochemistry) in the upper waters down to a salinity value of 34.7, approximately 300 to 400m deep. Samples were collected from full depth at select stations: StnA, mooring stations and intermittent along 140W. Analysis took place on board this year however due to COVID-related travel restrictions the Alkalinity analysis was performed by IOS on the IOS system rather than as in previous years (2019 and earlier) performed by TUMSAT.
**Sampling**

Samples for DIC and Alkalinity analysis were collected into 250 mL glass bottles. The bottle was filled smoothly from the bottom (tubing touching the bottom of the bottle) and the bottle overflowed by two times its volume. One percent of the stoppered sample volume was removed to leave a headspace (about 1% of the bottle volume - i.e., 2.5 mL for a 250 mL bottle) by inserting a nylon plug into the bottle. Since most of the samples on this cruise were analyzed within 2 days, mercuric chloride (HgCl2) and grease were not used to preserve the samples. Instead a Teflon stopper was used to seal the bottle. For the few stations where sample preservation was delayed, 100 uL of mercuric chloride was added to the bottle to stop biological activity, closed with a greased stopper, and secured with multiple wraps of electrical tape. Samples were stored at 4°C until analysis. DIC, then alkalinity were measured from the same sample.

**Analysis for DIC**

DIC samples were analyzed at sea shortly after sampling using a VINDTA 3D - analysis system to determine DIC. The VINDTA (Versatile Instrument for the Determination of Titration Alkalinity) is a sea-going, computer-controlled automated dynamic headspace analysis, constructed in Kiel Germany by Ludger Mintrop of Marianda Instruments. The VINDTA uses a Windows based PC and LabView software along with a coulometric detector (UIC Coulometrics, model 5017). The VINDTA dispenses and acidifies a known volume of seawater, strips the resultant CO2 from solution, dries it and delivers it to the coulometric detector. Dickson CRM was used to standardize the system.

**Analysis for Alkalinity**

The total alkalinity was determined by potentiometric titration using 0.1N HCl/0.6N NaCl, and using a software program written by Paul Covert, PMEL, University of Washington which is based on Andrew Dickson’s, SCRIPPS system. The method was also be standardized using Dickson CRM seawater.

**References**


4.2.6 Nutrients

Sarah-Ann Quesnel (DFO-IOS)
P.I.: Bill Williams (DFO-IOS)

Sampling

Seawater samples for nutrient determination were collected at every station and depth into new 15 mL polystyrene tubes after the tube and cap had been rinsed three times with the sample water. A total of 1028 samples were collected, of which 176 in duplicates. At each station, 2 sets of samples and their duplicates were collected; one set of sample was analyzed onboard within 12 hours of collection, while the other set was frozen at -20 °C for later analysis, if needed. An additional 16 samples were collected in duplicates from the sweater loop system, from the outflow of the FDOM sensors and analyzed within 12 hours of collection, 36 samples for Nicholas Sylvestre’s (USherbrooke) incubation experiments and 16, in duplicates, for Josephine Rapp (ULaval) ice core samples.

4.2.6.1.1 Standards, reference material samples and reagents

Primary stock standards of nitrate (nitrate + nitrite, NO$_3$), phosphate (PO$_4$) and silicate (SiO$_4$) were prepared at IOS in May, 2021, and were calibrated against Kanso certified reference materials, lot CO (NO$_3$ = 16.30 µM, SiO$_4$ = 35.58 µM, PO$_4$ = 1.206 µM). The primary stock standards were prepared in Milli-Q water, using high purity grade dry chemicals (Fluka puriss. grade for sodium hexafluorosilicate, and Fluka ultra p.a. for potassium nitrate and potassium phosphate monobasic), and grade “A” volumetric flasks, according to Barwell-Clarke and Whitney (1996).

A set of 4 working standards, were prepared daily from the primary standard solutions, using freshly prepared 3.4% sodium chloride/0.02% sodium bicarbonate solution. Concentrations of the standards were selected to bracket the expected nutrient levels in the samples (NO$_3$: 0.00 to 23.93 µM, SiO$_4$: 0.00 to 47.75 µM and PO$_4$: 0.00 to 2.408 µM).

For quality assurance and quality control purposes, Kanso certified reference material (CRM) of lot CO and lot CL, deep water reference (DWR), medium check (2nd lowest working standard) and drift cup (D) samples were analyzed at the beginning, in between stations and at the end of a day’s run.

The assigned values from CRM KANSO were nitrate + nitrite, 16.30 µmol/L; silicate, 35.58 µmol/L; and phosphate, 1.206 µmol/L for lot CO and nitrate + nitrite, 5.62 µmol/L; silicate, 14.15 µmol/L; and phosphate, 0.425 µmol/L for lot CL. Onboard DWR samples were collected from sample #375. Deep water reference samples were subsampled into new polystyrene tubes, frozen at -20°C, and thawed as required in tepid
water. This year the DWR was from Station-A bottom water, and it didn’t show the typical deepwater values. It was nevertheless used as an internal check throughout the cruise to check for stability.

4.2.6.1.2 Sample analysis

Unfiltered nutrients (nitrate, silicate and phosphate) samples were analyzed within 12 hours of collection by Sarah-Ann Quesnel onboard using a three channel Seal Analytical nutrient Auto-analyser 3 (AA3), following the methods described by the manufacturer.

A 34 g/L solution of sodium chloride, 0.2 g/L sodium bicarbonate (Sigma, BioXtra grade) was prepared, as needed, and was used to rinse the system between samples, to prepare the working standards and as the blank samples. The platen tubing did not require to be changed during our voyage. The cadmium column for nitrate analysis was changed as required to maintain the reduction efficiency greater than 96%, which occurred on a few occasion when air passed through the column.

At the beginning of each day, the AA3 was allowed to equilibrate for at least 60 minutes, with reagents and wash solutions hooked-up to the platen tubing. Nitrate, phosphate and silicate were analyzed simultaneously with the AA3. A typical sample run would consist of a drift cup, carryover cup, 5 point standard curve, a set of reference material, a set of cadmium column recovery samples, blanks, followed by a station’s samples and it’s replicate. If multiple stations were analyzed in the same day, a set of reference material (medium check, Kanso, DWR, drift cup and a blank) would separate each station. A set of reference material were analyzed at the end of a day’s run, along with a second set of cadmium column recovery samples. After each run, wash solutions were run through the system for cleaning the system for roughly 15 minutes. Data were logged digitally using the AACE software provided with the AA3 system, which calculated all standards, reference materials and sample concentrations, correcting for drift, carryover and baseline. When the nitrate level in surface samples was the same or slightly lower than the sodium chloride solution it was reported as zero.

**Precision, Accuracy and L.o.D.**

Information will be provided with the finalized data.

**Problems and Solutions**

4.2.6.1.3 General Issues
Our Milli-Q Reference water purification system was found to be damaged and not useable during its installation at the start of the cruise. A second system of the IOS Arctic Group’s, though not scrubbing water to as high purity, was taken from the nearby CCGS Sir Wilfrid Laurier where it had finished being used and installed as a replacement in the LSSL’s lab.

**Phosphate Analysis:** Phosphate had significant upward drift throughout the cruise this year. Troubleshooting included making new reagents, cleaning the reagent lines with special wash solution of diluted bleach and flushing with copious amounts of pure water. The problem would solve for 1 run and then the drift was back. The software corrected for the drift properly, but the problem was not solved. I suspect the source of the problem was due to the water produced by the Milli-Q Reference water purification system. The point if use 0.22µm filter was covered with brown-orange colloidal matter, that passed through the 5 and 1 µm pre-filters and the water system’s cartridges. The senior engineer mentioned that this year they installed a mineralization system for their potable water to render the drinking water less acidic.

![Image of nutrient analysis](image)

**Figure 11.** Nutrients analysis on the AA3. Photo by Fred Marin, 2019, but similar set up for 2021.

### 4.2.7 Dissolved Oxygen

_Angelica Pena and Sarah-Ann Quesnel (DFO-IOS)  
P.I.: Bill Williams (DFO-IOS)_

Dissolved oxygen concentrations were measured on board the **CCGS Louis S. St-Laurent** (LSSL) from August 19th to September 14th, 2021 during the JOIS mission in
the Canada Basin. A total of 1013 samples were collected from 45 stations, some of which over 2-3 rosette casts, along a cruise track starting and ending in Cambridge Bay, NU. All samples were analyzed on the SIO Winkler oxygen titration kit. Oxygen concentrations ranged from 5.329-9.211 ml/L with ~15% of samples analyzed in duplicate. The pooled standard deviation ($s_p$) for duplicate samples was 0.007 ml/L after the removal of 2 outliers by eye, not fitting the depth profile, and 3 outliers based on Chauvenet’s criterion. The mean deep water (>3000 m) DO value in the Canada Basin was 6.529 ± 0.014 mL/L.

**Pre-cruise preparation**

**Reagents and Standards**

All reagents and standards were prepared in soap and acid-washed glassware and plastic ware and were prepared using chemicals of the highest purity available at the time of purchase. Reagents and Thio were made in 2000 ml and 4000 mL glassware and the KIO$_3$ standards were prepared in 2000 mL Class A volumetric flasks. All chemical batches were prepared in 2019, 2020 and 2021. Most were left on board the ship from the previous cruise.

**Sampling**

Samples were collected in nominal 125 mL calibrated ground glass stoppered iodine flasks. Seawater temperatures at the time of sampling were measured with a digital probe thermometer (Fisher Scientific) potted into one arm of a Y-connector with sampling tubing attached to the other two arms (one to the Niskin bottle spigot and one into flask). The first thermometer started acting up halfway through the expedition and was switched out for a replacement. The samples were immediately fixed with 1.0 mL of MnCl$_2$ and 1.0 mL of NaI/NaOH, stoppered, and shaken to preserve the dissolved oxygen in precipitate form. Samples were re-shaken immediately after all biogeochemical samples were collected, water-sealed and allowed to settle again to ensure that if any expansion occurred, no precipitate would be lost from the sample. The bottles were then moved to the temperature-controlled (21.5-25°C) oxygen lab. All samples were analyzed onboard within 24 hours of collection.

**Analysis at sea**

All samples were analyzed by Angelica Pena (DFO-IOS) on the Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) Winkler-based UV titration kit B. Refer to previous years’ reports for system details.

**4.2.7.1.1 Blank and Standard Preparation**
Blanks and standards were run just prior to sample runs every other day. A dedicated Dosimat was used to accurately dispense either 1.00 mL of KIO₃ for blanks or 10.00 mL of KIO₃ for standards. Blanks and standards were always prepared in ultrapure deionized water and were run in sets of 4 with the criteria that 3 out of 4 titers had to agree to within 0.0003 mL. Generally, this was easy to achieve; only occasionally did an additional set of standards or blanks need to be run. Variability in reagent dispensing was likely the primary cause of poor blank replication as the 2nd titers were generally more consistent. Blanks were not always run with every standard set if no reagent changes had occurred in the interim. The temperature of both the standard and the thiosulfate were recorded by the program and used to correct the delivered mass of both reagents to 20°C in order to calculate the Thio titrant normality.

4.2.7.1.2 Analytical Procedure

Prior to analysis each day, the UV light source and stir plate were turned on and allowed to warm up and stabilize for a minimum of 20 minutes. The water bath, which holds the sample flasks, was drained, cleaned and refilled with fresh deionized water to ensure good light transmission. The Dosimat lines leading from the Thio and KIO₃ bottles were checked thoroughly for bubbles and were purged as needed. The bottle top dispensers connected to the three reagent bottles and the Dosimat burettes were primed prior to dosing. Stirring was optimized to ensure rapid mixing without drawing bubbles into the light path.

Following the standardization procedure described above, the sample run was started. Sample flasks were inspected for bubbles and the water seal was removed from atop the stopper. A 1.0 mL aliquot of sulfuric acid and a stir bar were added to the flask, which was then placed inside the water bath. The Thio burette dose tip was inserted into the flask and the titration initiated until endpoint was reached. The two options at the end of every sample run were either “FINISH SAMPLE”, which displays the dissolved oxygen (DO) value and resets the Thio burette, or “OVER-TITRATE” (OT), which allows one to salvage a bad titration curve (or an over-shot endpoint) by adding 1.0 mL of KIO₃ standard and re-titrating the sample. The amount of Thio needed to titrate 1.0 mL of KIO₃ is then subtracted by the software from the final titer. After every sample, the DO value was noted on the rosette log sheet. All endpoints were inspected for accuracy and either over-titrated, or had corrected titers determined after the fact by the “O2CHECK” function of the LVO2 software. These updated titers were then entered into the “Recalculations” tab of the dissolved oxygen spreadsheet so that new DO values could be calculated using the relevant flask volume and standardization parameters.

*Thio normality*
Two batches of Thio (#2101, #1903) and one batch of KIO$_3$ standard (#2101) were used during the cruise and the stability of the Thio for both batches was excellent with a maximum change of 0.00027 N, well below the 0.0005 N threshold.

**Precision and Accuracy**

Of the 1013 unique samples collected during the course of this survey, 160 (16%) were collected in duplicate. Of the replicated samples, the first replicate was always chosen as the Final DO value except when a problem was noted with it during analysis (i.e. sample redrawn due to bubble addition during fixing). The precision of the dissolved oxygen replicate measurements was very good, with a pooled standard deviation ($s_p$) of 0.007 mL/L after the removal of 2 outliers by eye due to either replicate fitting the depth profiles and 3 determined by the Chauvenet’s criterion. Triplicate samples were ignored for the purposes of calculating $s_p$ as fewer are being collected each year. It is recommended that the $s_p$ formula on the Precision tab of the data spreadsheet be simplified to the calculation for duplicate samples only. The range of dissolved oxygen values was 5.329-9.211 mL/L.

Accuracy is much harder to assess than precision but the stability of the deep water (>3000m) DO content in the Canada Basin can act as a proxy reference standard. Although this value has been decreasing over the course of the JOIS program, starting in 2003, and can’t be assumed to be completely constant, it has generally been stable over the past decade with an average of 6.53 mL/L (Figure 1). The 2021 value of 6.529 +/- 0.014 falls right on this average.
**Figure 12:** Mean annual dissolved oxygen concentration (mL/L) for the Canada Basin reference stations at all depths below 3000m. Error bars represent standard deviations.

**Issues during sampling and analysis**

*Post entry of drawn temperature:* Drawn temperature for samples 47-56 (cast 3), 57-80 (cast 4) and 81-83 (cast 5) required to be entered post analysis.

*Abort analysis:* There was only one occasion that analysis needed to be aborted, for sample188 (cast13). The color was mild peach/cream and it couldn’t be titrated – not sure if one of the reagents wasn’t added in sufficient volume.

*Sampling:* There were, on very few occasions, problems with bubbles being introduced to the samples via the bottle-top dispensers despite dispensers always being primed prior to sampling. Samples with bubbles were always redrawn into a clean, unused flask and noted in the comments.

*Lab Space Issues:* The hot water tap was left on a slow dribble, when not in use, to keep the water drain from freezing. The engineering team was also able to fix the slow leak on the sink drain to finally stop water pooling on the floor of the container lab. On a few occasion the lab temperature got warm, up to 25-26°C, especially when 2 analyst were present for prolonged periods of time.

*Dosimat 665-1:* On August 30th, the dosimat 665-1, dispensing the KIO₃ standard showed an error message “Error 5” upon turning the power on at the start of the day. It was turned off and on several times, connecting cables and power cables were checked with no resolution of the problem. It was noted by a colleague having experience with dosimat, that they sometimes lose their initialization sequence and need to be rebooted. The dosimat worked fine after being rebooted.
4.2.8 Salinity

Chris Clarke (DFO-IOS)
Kristina Brown (DFO-IOS)
Benjamin Richaud (Dalhousie)
P.I.: Bill Williams (DFO-IOS)

Sampling
Salinity samples were collected from nearly all bottles on all rosette casts during the span of the program. Salinity samples were collected in 200 mL glass bottles sealed with disposable nylon inserts and reusable screw caps. Approximately 10% of samples were collected in duplicate and stored in a separate case to be analyzed independently. Water samples were collected from Niskin bottles immediately following a rosette cast, after dissolved gas and other sensitive samples were collected. Salinity bottles and inserts were rinsed 3 times with sample water before filling. Samples were transferred to the temperature controlled lab for storage until they were analyzed onboard.

Analysis at Sea
All cases of 24 samples were analyzed onboard during the program. Samples were analyzed after a minimum 24 hour acclimation period but within 1 week of collection, on the Guildline Salinometer Model 8400B (S/N: 69086) by Chris Clarke (DFO-IOS), Kristina Brown (DFO-IOS) & Benjamin Richaud (Dalhousie). The procedure followed is outlined in the standard IOS protocol for salinity analysis. Room and sample temperature was maintained consistently between 21.5°C and 23.5°C as much as possible. Fluctuations in temperature rarely caused problems in maintaining a stable standby number. When instability did occur, the analysis was postponed until the standby number re-stabilized. An order placement system was established within the room whereby salinity cases were cycled in order to establish a constant sample
temperature. This system ensured two things: 1) the analyst knew which case to begin with and the location of each subsequent case, and 2) each case was held at a stable temperature for an extended period of time before analysis. Bottles were inverted and mixed prior to analysis.

IAPSO Standard Seawater (OSIL batch P163, expiry 10 April, 2022, salinity 34.994 PSU) was measured at the beginning of every day to calibrate the instrument and identify drift. If the standard’s conductivity ratio obtained was within ±0.0001 of the standard K15 value on the bottle, the value was accepted. If the value was greater, the cell was flushed and another reading was taken. If the ratio fell outside this range, the standardize dial was used to bring the conductivity reading back into specification. Deep water reference samples (see below) were normally run at the end of each sample case (24 samples) or more often if deemed necessary to assess instrument stability. Data are reported in practical salinity units (PSU; Lewis & Perkin 1978). See Information will be provided with the finalized data.

for salinity precision values.

5 sets of deep water reference (DWR) samples were collected throughout the cruise:

- DWR StnA-5: Sample 61, Station StnA, Cast 4, Niskin 5, 3380 m
- DWR StnA-6: Sample 62, Station StnA, Cast 4, Niskin 6, 3057 m
- DWR CB16: Sample 634, Station CB16, Cast 31, Niskin 21, 2545 m
- DWR ICE4-2: Sample 639, Station ICE4, Cast 32, Niskin 2, 3673 m
- DWR CB19-2: Sample 831, Station CB19, Cast 40, Niskin 2, 3660 m

To collect the reference samples, the remaining volume of each Niskin was collected into an 10L plastic carboy and mixed thoroughly before sub-sampling into individual 200 mL salinity bottles for storage and analysis as outlined above. See Error! Reference source not found. for Deep Water Reference values.

Additionally, it should be noted that two of the three analysts were using the salinometer for the first time, or were relearning after many years.

**Issues with Salinometer**

*Bubbles inside conductivity cell:* Throughout the cruise, a large bubble remained in the upper left portion (filling end) of the long arm within the conductivity cell despite attempts to flush it out. This would disappear temporarily after thorough cleaning, but reappear in short order. There were also tiny bubbles that would persist on the electrodes, particularly cell 4 and to a lesser extent cell 3. These bubbles were watched and relatively consistent throughout the cruise. To remove the bubbles, the cell was regularly cleaned,
with Triton-X100, isopropanol and CLR. The salinometer would then be standardized and/or calibrated. This would usually temporarily clear the small bubbles on the conductivity cells, but they would reform fairly quickly again. Bubbles were monitored through analysis. The bubbles did not seem to affect the accuracy of the salinometer, but it is noted that it likely caused frequent “spikes” in readings. In an effort to reduce the effect of these spikes, a 3rd reading was obtained on many samples and affected readings were removed to reduce the standard deviation of the average values.

It is recommended that the conductivity cell electrodes be removed and physically cleaned. There is also a possibility that these bubbles are forming due to inadequate sealing of the air purge microtubules at the top of the cell. The integrity of the closed system is suspect.

**Blocked cell flush:** There were multiple occurrences of cell 4 not filling properly, leaving a large persistent bubble below the electrode. It was determined that the polyethylene microtubule was blocked with water and not venting properly during flush. This microtubule was thoroughly inspected, and did not have any visible blockage or damage. On multiple occasions, this microtubule would overflow into the upper airlock, which would then need to be removed, emptied and dried. This issue persisted, so a temporary fix was to put an empty sample bottle on the bung (to complete the airlock circuit), and hold the flush until all water was purged from the system. This would solve the issue temporarily, but reoccur within another few cases of sample. After this issue occurred on multiple occasions, a more thorough inspection of the system was performed and it was found that some of the tubing connections were loose and/or required zipties. Once addressed, it is noted that this issue was resolved. It is recommended that all connections be inspected before next use, and potentially replace tubing that may be suspect (i.e. the microtubules on cell 3 and 4 conductivity electrodes). The integrity of the closed system is suspect.

“Spiky” or “jumpy” readings: There were issues throughout the cruise with spiky or jumpy readings while running samples. Likely causes for this include bubbles on the conductivity electrodes, shaking due to ice breaking, and temperature fluctuations. While these spikes seemed to lessen over the course of the cruise, they persisted for the duration. Due to this, a 3rd reading was acquired more often than previous years. They did not seem to affect the stability or accuracy of the average readings. The standby value and zero reading of the salinometer remained quite stable, and both standardization and calibration of the salinometer indicated that there was very little change in its stability and accuracy throughout the cruise.

**Software error message:** Throughout the cruise, there was a persistent issue with the salinometer software user permissions. It was observed that after approximately 80-120 samples, we would get an error message “error in Module “SaveSampleDataToFile”; 70, Permission denied”. This message would appear after any user input, including editing BottleLabel, Comments, or deleting bad readings manually. Although this message would appear, the program would still allow these parameters to be changed.
These changes were temporary, as they would not save if the file/software was closed and re-opened. These changes would still be reflected in Excel file data exports, as long as the .hdr/.dat/.raw file was not closed before export. This did not affect the automated recording of the sample values and readings themselves, even after these error messages began on a run file. A variety of attempts to rectify this issue, such as restarting the software/laptop, re-seating connectors, and cycling the power on each component of the system, did not solve this issue. It is suspected that the run files are corrupted at this point, and we were unable to “fix” the file once the permission error began.

As a workaround, we would start a new run file every 3-4 cases (72-96 samples). The program would work just fine up until this point, generally. We would then export these data to an Excel file, and begin a new run. This also ensured we calibrated and/or standardized the salinometer every 3-4 cases of sample. This has not been an issue in previous years, and we generally use the same run file for the entirety of the cruise (usually upwards of 1300 samples).

It has not been determined what the cause of this is, but the software and/or laptop is the likely culprit. It is recommended we backtest these issues and contact the manufacturer to solve this problem before the next cruise.

Salinometer disconnection from software and having difficulties reconnecting:
There were occasional occurrences of the Autosal disconnecting from the software. This was usually rectified by closing and reopening the salinometer software, or restarting the laptop computer. Furthermore, it is noted that the knob usually needed to be turned slowly between standby, read and zero when reconnecting.

Precision and Accuracy
Information will be provided with the finalized data.

Recommendations

● The IAPSO standard seawater used for instrument calibration is the most accurate source for confirming optimal salinometer operation. It is recommended to use the standards as the primary check when initiating a sample analysis run and/or everyday if samples are being analyzed daily. In addition to performing the calibration procedure, it is useful to run the standard as a sample to confirm that the salinometer is reproducing the salinity value stated on the label of the standard bottle.

○ It is imperative to plug the salinometer power supply into the ship’s uninterruptable power supply (UPS). It has been observed in the past that the salinometer experiences quite unstable standby number readings if plugged into non-UPS power.
- Backtest salinometer program for connectivity and permissions issues. Contact manufacturer for insight on this issue. May need to replace laptop computer, but could be an issue with the program itself. Double check integrity of all connections, especially the large ribbon cable between salinometer and interface box.

- It is recommended to check all tubing integrity within the salinometer for integrity before next field season. Replace any worn, damaged, or suspect tubing.

- Consider physically cleaning the conductivity cell electrodes prior to next field season. This may require factory calibration if cells are unable to be cleaned in house. An issue with persistent bubbles has being observed for the last 2 years. This is either due to tubing integrity issues, or a dirty electrodes that are unable to be fully cleaned by CLR/isopropanol/Triton-X100 alone.

- The rubber bung used for sealing the sample bottles to the salinometer has started to deform from analyzing thousands of samples. It should be replaced and tested prior to the next field season.

### 4.2.9 Chlorophyll-a

*Sampled by CTD Watch
P.I.: Bill Williams (DFO-IOS)*

*Onboard Sampling and Filtering*

Chlorophyll-a was sampled from the upper 200m, with roughly 50% in duplicate at all geochemistry stations and in 16 loop samples. Samples were drawn from each of the selected Niskins into pre-calibrated 530mL brown Nalgene bottles (calibrated at IOS in 2021). Replicates were taken in 530mL and 1L Nalgene brown bottles. Each bottle and cap was rinsed three times with the sample water. The bottle and cap were both filled and the cap quickly put on resulting in the fullest bottle possible.

The sample water was filtered immediately under low pressure onto ~0.7 μm pore size GF/F 25mm filters. If the samples could not be filtered immediately, they were kept cool and in the dark until filtered, and the time elapsed until filtered noted. Filters were folded in half in another GF/F filter (90mm) being used as a blotter, wrapped in aluminum foil and stored at -80°C for later analysis onshore at IOS.
Chlorophyll-a samples were filtered by Celine Gueguen, Mohamed Gamani, Nicolas Sylvestre, Helen Gemmrich, Nimrod Rozen, Benjamin Richaud, and Angelica Pena with oversight from Sarah Ann Quesnel, Chris Clarke, and Angelica Pena.

Blanks were prepared at the end of the cruise. Three sample bottles were filled with artificial seawater and three with pre-filtered seawater. Filtration of the “sample”, and handling of the filter was performed as usual.

After confirming a smaller sample volume was adequate last year (590mL v. 1L), a new sample bottle was used this year. The new 530mL bottle had a tall, narrow neck, making it an easier bottle to handle during the filtration process than the wide mouth, short neck bottles from last year. Replicates were mostly with the new bottle however some of the duplicates were taken using the 1L bottle for further comparison to the old method.

The goal of the smaller volume was to reduce the filtration time. 10 to 15 minutes is ideal and the new bottles fell within this window. The 1L samples were taking 20 to 40min likely due to some material other than chlorophyll clogging the filter as the length of time was not correlated with chlorophyll concentration.

If the replicates show we should go back to the 1L samples, an alternative would be to use larger diameter filters. Angelica Pena was filtering 1L samples onto larger sized filter (requiring larger castles but manifold can stay the same) and filter time was 20min or less.

**Analysis on shore**

Samples will be brought back to IOS, frozen , for analysis. Samples will be extracted in glass scintillation vials with 10.14 mL of 90% Acetone/10% double deionised water for 24 hours in the dark, in the -20°C freezer. One hour before sample reading, they will be removed from the freezer and placed in the dark to equilibrate to room temperature. Samples will be analyzed on a Turner 10AU fluorometer, SN:5152FRXX, calibrated with commercially pure chlorophyll a standard (Sigma). Fluorescence readings taken before and after acidification will be used to calculate chlorophyll and phaeopigment concentrations (Holm-Hansen et al 1965).


**4.2.10 Bacteria sample collection**

*Céline Guéguen (USherbrooke), Nicolas Sylvestre (USherbrooke), Mohamed Gamani (USherbrooke)*
P.I. : Connie Lovejoy (ULaval)

Sampling

Bacteria samples were collected at every station at select depths (generally 8 depths per station) between 22 August 2021 and 14 September 2021. Flow cytometry (FCM) samples for bacteria, pico- and nanoeukaryotes were collected for Connie Lovejoy (ULaval), who took over for Bill Li (BIO), following the protocol given below. Samples were collected and processed alternately by Mohamed Gamrani (USherbrooke) and Nicolas Sylvestre (USherbrooke).

The same protocol (see below) used since 2013 was followed this year.

Methods

Sampling:
1. Take one sample from each Niskin bottle. Rinse scintillation vial three times with sample water before collecting actual sample into the vial. Please make note of approximate time elapsed between sampling and adding paraformaldehyde fixative (below).
2. Pipet 1.8 mL of raw seawater sample (now held in scintillation vial) into a 2 mL capacity cryogenic vial. This is done using 1 squirt of pipet set for 1.8 mL. Between samples, ‘clean’ pipet by drawing and tossing 2 squirts of the new sample, then use next squirt for the cryogenic vial. Use a new tip for each station.

Fixation:
1. Paraformaldehyde (PFA, 10%) stock solutions (10mL) are provided in manufacturer glass ampoules which must be kept at room temperature until use. The ampoules are best opened using the plastic breaking tool supplied. Transfer ampoule contents into a scintillation vial to facilitate pipetting. PFA solution, once opened, should be kept cold (4°C) in a refrigerator, but NOT frozen in the freezer.
2. Under the fume hood, pipet 0.2 mL of 10% paraformaldehyde (PFA) into the vial using the eppendorf repeating pipet (repipet). Do this by immersing the tip of the fully-depressed repipet pipet into the PFA, draw up plunger to fill the barrel, and then dispense two times back into the PFA container to help remove bubbles and drips from the pipet tip. Next slowly pipet the set 0.2 mL into several of the vials, being careful not to let the tip touch the seawater, nor to make a big splash when the PFA is injected. When there is less than 0.2 mL of PFA left in the repipet, empty and refill the repipet. The repipet can be left with its tip on but cover with aluminium foil to prevent contamination.
3. Note on the repeating pipet settings: The new eppendorf pipet is set on 
#1 to deliver 0.2mL and uses the blue labeled pipet tips. The old black 
repeater is set on #2 to deliver 0.2mL and uses the other tips.
4. Cap each vial using the threaded-screw cover.
5. Vortex mix the vial, and let it stand at room temperature for not less than 
10 minutes.
6. Place the vial into storage box directly into the -80ºC freezer and leave 
onboard ship for offloading in St-John’s NL.
7. Log samples taken in logsheet recording cast number, niskin number and 
approximate time between sampling and adding fixative.

Issues

Initially it was thought not enough cryogenic vials were brought onboard, so only a 
selection of 8 depths corresponding the microbial diversity sampling depths were 
sampled per station. This was instead of the full 24 depths sampled the last ~10 years. 
Even when the surplus of vials were found, the practice was continued as only these 8 
depths have been analysed the past few years.

Wishes for next year

• More cryogenic vials are needed to sample every depth at every station. 
  Ideally all from the same company, with the orange caps and flat bottom.
• A new rack that locks the vials in place.
• 5000 µL pipet and tips Thermo Scientific Finnpipette are awesome.
• Syringe and needle (10 mL) to transfer paraformaldehyde from ampoule to 
  scintillation vial.
• Dedicated cryoboxes are to be added to the bacteria box.
• More protective plastic ampoule openers are needed for next year.

4.3 Moorings and Buoys

Isabela Le Bras (P.I.), Jim Ryder, Jeff O’Brien, Fred Marin, and Cory Beatty (U 
Montana).
P.I.s not in attendance: Mary-Louise Timmermans (Yale U), Andrey 
Proshutinsky, Richard Krishfield, and John Toole (WHOI)

4.3.1 Summary
As part of the Beaufort Gyre Observing System (BGOS), three bottom-tethered moorings deployed in 2018 were recovered, refurbished, and redeployed at the same locations in 2021 from the *CCGS Louis S. St. Laurent*. Furthermore, one open water Ice Tethered Profiler (ITP) was deployed, as well as three Ice Based observatories. Two ITPs were recovered. A summary of moorings and buoys recovered, serviced and deployed are listed in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

Table 3. Mooring recovery and deployment summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mooring Name</th>
<th>Surveyed 2018 Location</th>
<th>2021 Recovery</th>
<th>2021 Deployment</th>
<th>2021 Location</th>
<th>Deployment Bottom Depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BGOS-A</td>
<td>75°00.0072N</td>
<td>27-AUG</td>
<td>28-AUG</td>
<td>75°00.009N</td>
<td>3823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150°00.0075W</td>
<td>19:28 UTC</td>
<td>17:54 UTC</td>
<td>149°59.985W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGOS-B</td>
<td>78°00.3299N</td>
<td>30-AUG</td>
<td>31-AUG</td>
<td>77°58.9586N</td>
<td>3824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>149°57.8486W</td>
<td>15:16 UTC</td>
<td>21:14 UTC</td>
<td>150°03.5947W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGOS-D</td>
<td>74°00.1878N</td>
<td>7-SEP</td>
<td>8-SEP</td>
<td>73°59.6065N</td>
<td>3523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140°00.1198W</td>
<td>17:03 UTC</td>
<td>22:51 UTC</td>
<td>140°02.5639W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Ice-Based Observatory buoy deployment summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IBO</th>
<th>ITP / Buoy System</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ITP 124 (open water deployment)</td>
<td>1-SEP</td>
<td>79°12.913N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:08 UTC</td>
<td>147°57.202W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ITP 126+SAMI, SIMB-2021#2, TOP2</td>
<td>2-SEP</td>
<td>78°34.6970N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21:00 UTC</td>
<td>147°14.3155W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ITP 127+SAMI, TOP3</td>
<td>4-SEP</td>
<td>77°58.3587N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>01:00 UTC</td>
<td>139°47.4824W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ITP 122, SIMB-2021#3, TOP4, AOFB48</td>
<td>4-SEP</td>
<td>79°17.0727N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:30 UTC</td>
<td>135°31.8822W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Buoy recovery summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery</th>
<th>Buoy</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ITP119 (deployed 2019)</td>
<td>3-Sep</td>
<td>78° 13.34 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03:45 UTC</td>
<td>145° 17.98 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Moorings

The centerpiece of the BGOS program are the bottom-tethered moorings which have been maintained at 3 (sometimes 4) locations since 2003. The moorings are designed to acquire long term time series of the physical properties of the ocean for the freshwater and other studies described on the BGOS webpage. The top floats were positioned approximately 30 m below the surface to avoid ice ridges. The instrumentation on the moorings include an Upward Looking Sonar mounted in the top flotation sphere for measuring the draft (or thickness) of the sea ice above the moorings, an Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler for measuring upper ocean velocities in 2 m bins, a vertical profiling CTD and velocity instruments which samples the water column from 50 to 2050 m twice every two days, assorted Microcat CTDs, and a Bottom Pressure Recorder mounted on the anchor of the mooring which determines variations in height of the sea surface with a resolution better than 1 mm. In addition, acoustic wave and current profilers (AWAC) provided by the University of Washington are included on moorings A and D, and SAMI-CO$_2$ and SAMI-pH instruments for the University of Montana on all of the moorings.

Eighteen years of data have been acquired by the mooring systems, which document the state of the ocean and ice cover in the Beaufort Gyre. The seasonal and interannual variability of the ice draft, ocean temperature, salinity, velocity, and sea surface height in the deep Canada Basin are being documented and analyzed to discern the changes in the heat and freshwater budgets. One of the most striking observations in the past decade has been a reduction in both sea-ice extent and thickness, particularly in the BG region. Ocean changes have been as prominent as the reduction of ice volume: between 2003-2018 the BG accumulated more than 6400 km$^3$ of liquid freshwater, an increase of approximately 40% relative to the climatology of the 1970s. The magnitude of the liquid freshwater increased remarkably from 2003 to 2008 (from 17,000 to 22,000 km$^3$), after which it appears to have largely stabilized through 2012. In fact, combining both solid (ice) and liquid (seawater) fresh water components, indicated that a modest net export of 320 km$^3$ of fresh water from the region occurred between 2010 and 2012, suggesting that the ocean anticyclonic circulation regime may have weakened. In 2013, the liquid freshwater component was at it lowest value since 2007, however, in 2014, freshwater in the BG rebounded back to its 2008-2012 mean, and all-time highs were attained from 2015 through 2018, suggesting that the historic cyclical nature of freshwater accumulation and release in the BG may no longer pertain.
4.3.3 Buoy

The moorings only extend up to about 30 m from the ice surface in order to prevent collision with ice keels, so automated ice-tethered buoys are used to sample the upper ocean. On this cruise, three Ice-Tethered Profiler (ITP) buoys were deployed on ice floes: three with Tethered Ocean Profilers (TOP), two with a US Army CRREL Seasonal Ice Mass Balance Buoy (SIMB) and one with a Naval Postgraduate School Arctic Ocean Flux Buoy (AOFB). The combination of multiple platforms at one location is called an Ice Based Observatory (IBO).

The centerpiece ITPs obtain profiles of seawater temperature and salinity from 7 to 760 m twice each day and broadcast that information back by satellite telephone. The TOP is a new ITP-like platform designed to sample the top 200 m of the water column all the way to the sea ice. The ice mass balance buoys measure the variations in ice and snow thickness, and obtain surface meteorological data. The AOFB measures the heat fluxes below the ice as well as ice thickness and meteorological data. Most of these data are made available in near-real time on the different project websites (Table 4).

Initiated in fall 2004, the international ITP program over the last 16 years has seen the deployment of over 100 systems distributed throughout the deep Arctic Ocean (a small subset of which were instruments recovered, refurbished, renumbered and redeployed). All of these ITPs sampled ocean temperature and salinity (conductivity) and some of the systems were configured to additionally sample dissolved oxygen, bio-optical parameters (chlorophyll fluorescence, optical backscatter, CDOM, PAR), upper ocean chemistry (CO2, pH) and/or ocean velocity. ITP data are made publicly available in near real time from the project website, as well as distributed over the Global Telecommunications System (GTS) for operational forecast activities, with calibrated, edited and gridded data products generated and entered into national archives as completed. The ITP program has provided a unique, extensive and cost-effective dataset spanning all seasons with which to study the upper Arctic Ocean during a time of rapidly changing conditions. Indeed, ITP data have contributed to a variety of research studies by researchers and students worldwide.

The acquired CTD profile data from ITPs documents interesting spatial variations in the major water masses of the Canada Basin, shows the double-diffusive thermohaline staircase that lies above the warm, salty Atlantic layer, measures seasonal surface mixed-layer deepening, and documents several mesoscale eddies. The IBOs that we have deployed on this cruise are part of an international collaboration to distribute a wide array of systems across the Arctic as part of an Arctic Observing Network to provide valuable real-time data for operational needs, to support studies of ocean processes, and to initialize and validate numerical models.

Table 5. Project websites
### Project Website Address

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Website Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beaufort Gyre Observing System</td>
<td>www2.whoi.edu/site/beaufortgyre/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaufort Gyre Observing System dispatches</td>
<td>www2.whoi.edu/site/beaufortgyre/expeditions/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice-Tethered Profiler buoys</td>
<td>www2.whoi.edu/site/itp/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice Mass Balance buoys</td>
<td>imb-crrel-dartmouth.org/simb3/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic Ocean Flux Buoy</td>
<td><a href="http://www.oc.nps.edu/~stanton/fluxbuoy/">www.oc.nps.edu/~stanton/fluxbuoy/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.3.4 Operations

The mooring deployment and recovery operations were conducted from the foredeck using a dual capstan winch as described in WHOI Technical Report 2005-05 (Kemp et al., 2005). Before each recovery, an hour long precision acoustic survey was performed using an Edgetech 8011A release deck unit connected to the ship’s transducer and MCal software in order to fix the anchor location to within ~10 m. We were only able to communicate with the mooring top transponder (located beneath the sphere at about 30 m) on mooring D, and were able to survey its location. This was particularly useful as it was an ice-covered recovery.

In coordination with the bridge, acoustic release commands were sent to the release instruments just above anchor, which let go of the anchor, so that the floatation on the mooring could bring the systems to the surface. The ship’s manbasket was used to hook the top surface flotation package to a leader. Then the flotation, wire rope, and instruments were hauled back on board. Data was dumped from the scientific instruments, batteries, sensors, and other hardware are replaced as necessary, and then the systems were subsequently redeployed with sufficient resources for one year of data collection. The moorings were redeployed anchor first, which required the use of a dual capstan winch system to safely handle the heavy loads. This year, recoveries took 4-5 hours after release, and deployments took 5-5.5 hours for the 3500-3800 m long systems. The 2018 deployment was planned to last two years, but recovery was not possible in 2020 due to COVID-19 travel restrictions. Many of the instruments persisted for almost the full three years, most notably the ULS, BPR and deep MMP systems. The shallow MMPs on moorings A and B were programmed to sample more frequently and only lasted their intended one year. The ADCPs did not function well due to a battery issue.
Figure 1. Recovery of top floatation package, MMP deployment, and anchor, acoustic release, and BPR deployment.

ITP deployment operations on the ice were conducted site according to procedures described in a WHOI Technical Report 2007-05 (Newhall et al., 2007). The helicopter was not used for ice floe reconnaissance, but instead floes were selected visually from the bridge and surveyed by lowering 2 scientists over the side of the ship in the manbasket to drill the potential site to determine thickness. After it was determined
that the floe was adequate, the ship’s gangway was lowered onto the ice for access by personnel and equipment was lowered using the ship’s crane. The first icefloe selected for deployment of ITP127+SAMI, SIMB#2, and TOP2 was 85-95cm thick; the second icefloe selected for deployment of ITP126+SAMI and TOP3 was 70-95cm thick; the third for deployment of ITP112,SIMB#3 and AOFB48 was 75-100cm thick. Ice analyses were also performed by others in the science party while the IBO deployment operations took place. ITP 124 was deployed in open water from the foredeck of the LSSL.

Figure 2. IBO consisting of ITP127, SIMB, and TOP2 (left), ITP 126 and TOP3 (center) and ITP 122, SIMB, AOFB48, and TOP4 (right) shortly after deployments.

Two complete ITPs were recovered during the expedition. Both were recovered from the foredeck using the manbasket to hook the package and were hoisted on deck. ITP 119 was found in a small floe and was dislodged by first ramming the floe with the bow and then sending the starboard bubblers at it. Once dislodged, the ITP moved very quickly down to midships and substantial repositioning was required. Both its profiler and anchors were muddy due to dragging. ITP 112 was found in open water but wedged against an ice floe that seemed to be holding it in place. The top float came back in two pieces and the bottom half was severely damaged. The surface package was wedged into the top half and the grounding plate was missing.
4.3.5 Outreach

Dispatches documenting the expedition were composed by Isabela Le Bras (WHOI) and Helen Gemmrich (Concordia / DFO-IOS) and posted in near real time on the WHOI website.

4.4 Underway and Moored pCO2 and pH Measurements
Cory Beatty (UMontana, Cory.Beatty@umontana.edu)
P.I.: Mike DeGrandpre (U.Montana,michael.degrandpre@umontana.edu) in collaboration with Rick Krishfield and Andrey Proshutinsky (WHOI)
4.4.1 Overview: U.S. National Science Foundation: An Arctic Ocean sea surface pCO$_2$ and pH observing network

This project is a collaboration between the University of Montana (Mike DeGrandpre) and Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (Rick Krishfield, Andrey Proshutinsky and John Toole). The primary objective is to provide the Arctic research community with high temporal resolution time-series of the partial pressure of CO$_2$ ($p$CO$_2$), pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO) and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR).

During this cruise:

1. We deployed a SAMI-CO$_2$ equipped with a dissolved Oxygen sensor and PAR sensor on 2 of the WHOI ice-tethered profilers (ITP126 & ITP127). Placed on the ITP cable just under the ice, the sensors send their data via satellite using the WHOI ITP interface.

2. We collected underway $p$CO$_2$ data using an infrared equilibrator-based system (SUPER-CO2, Sunburst Sensors). The instrument was connected to the Louis seawater line manifold located in the main lab. These data will provide data quality assurance for the ITP-based sensors and to map the spatial distribution of $p$CO$_2$ in the Beaufort Sea and surrounding margins.

3. We deployed a SAMI-CO$_2$/SAMI-pH pair with DO and PAR on the BGOS-A, BGOS-B and BGOS-D moorings at a depth of approximately 38m. BGOS-D also has a fluorometer attached to the pH SAMI.

4. Assisted with other shipboard research activities and interacted with ocean scientists from other institutions.

Figure 14. Example of a SAMI-CO$_2$ being deployed on an ITP.
Table 6. $p$CO$_2$ and pH sensor data collection summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement system</th>
<th>Instrument IDs</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underway infrared-equilibrator $p$CO$_2$</td>
<td>SUPER (Sunburst Sensors)</td>
<td>Entire cruise track (see IOS report in this document)</td>
<td>8/20/2021 - 9/14/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITP SAMI-CO$_2$ w/ DO sensor and PAR</td>
<td>WHOI ITP 127, SAMI-CO$_2$ (C207)</td>
<td>First on-ice ITP deployment, CO$_2$ ~ 4.5 m depth, (see WHOI cruise report in this document)</td>
<td>9/2/2021 - present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITP SAMI-CO$_2$ w/ DO sensor and PAR</td>
<td>WHOI ITP 126, SAMI-CO$_2$ (C9u)</td>
<td>Second on-ice ITP deployment, CO$_2$ ~ 4.5 m depth, (see WHOI cruise report in this document)</td>
<td>9/5/2021 - present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMI-CO$_2$ / SAMI-pH</td>
<td>CO$_2$: C24u, pH: P66</td>
<td>BGOS-B mooring</td>
<td>8/31/2021 - present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMI-CO$_2$ / SAMI-pH</td>
<td>CO$_2$: C86, pH: P87</td>
<td>BGOS-D mooring</td>
<td>9/8/2021 - present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5 XCTD Profiles

Operators: C. Clarke, K. Brown, B. Richaud, N. Rosen, H. Gemmrich, S. Zimmermann
PI: Andrey Proshutinsky, Isabel Le Bras, Rick Krishfield (WHOI), Mary-Louise Timmermans (Yale), Motoyo Itoh (JAMSTEC), Bill Williams (DFO-IOS)

Overview
Profiles of temperature and salinity were measured using expendable probes capable of being deployed while the ship was underway. Profiles were collected at 49 locations along the ship’s track between the CTD stations.

Procedure
XCTD (eXpendable Conductivity Temperature Depth profiler, Tsurumi-Seiki Co., Ltd.) probes were launched by a hand launcher LM-3A (Lockheed-Martin_Sippican, Inc.) from the stern of the ship into the ocean. The data are communicated from the probe back to the launcher ship by a fine wire which breaks when the probe reaches its maximum depth. The launcher is connected to a MK-21 deck unit (Lockheed-Martin-Sippican, Inc) and computer inside the ship that logs the digitally converted data. The Lockheed-Martin-Sippican MK-21 Ethernet deck unit and WinMK-21 software on laptop “Arrow” were connected via the ship’s network – both devices were connected to the network via an Ethernet switch. GPS was provided by science server over the network via GPSGate. Water depth from the sounder was displayed on the laptop in a Hyperterm window.
Data were automatically backed up by the WinMK-21 software to the local drive and then populated on the ScienceNet server using Syncback. The cast log file was saved locally and then manually transferred to the server periodically.

**Operation Notes**

Three types of probes were used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe Type</th>
<th>Number Used</th>
<th>Filename convention</th>
<th>Max Depth (m)</th>
<th>Max Ship Speed (Kts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>“C3 ”</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XCTD-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>“C4 ”</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>“C5 ”</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the manufacturer’s nominal specifications, the range and accuracy of parameters measured by the XCTD are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>0 ~ 60 [mS/cm]</td>
<td>+/- 0.03 [mS/cm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>-2 ~ 35 [deg-C]</td>
<td>+/- 0.02 [deg-C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>0 ~ 1000 [m]</td>
<td>5 [m] or 2 [%] (whichever is larger)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were 49 XSV-02 probes used by CHS on the transit north.

There were 53 probes used in the Canada Basin w/ 4 repeats due to early line breaks for a total of 49 locations. In total, the wires from 6 probes broke off early due to ice or wind and did not reach the full depth. Repeats were made when the first probe’s data did not reach 400m.

**Start time in file header**

XCTD start time given in the file header is 7 minutes fast for Casts 1 to 43. Cast start time for Cast 44 to 54 is correct. The XCTD file’s launch information uses start time from the computer clock, not NMEA. The computer clock from the start of cruise to Sep 9th UTC 22:10 was 7 minutes fast. The computer clock was corrected Sep 9, from 22:10 to 22:03 UTC based on NMEA time.

**Early breaks and repeat summary**

- **XCTD-1** sn20058165, depth 306.4m, filename: C5_00005 (wire broke early, ice)
- **XCTD-1** sn20058166, depth 1011.9m, filename: C5_00006 (repeat of C5_00005)
- **XCTD-1** sn20058171, depth 278.5m, filename: C3_00022 (wire broke early, ice)
- **XCTD-1** sn20058172, depth 1100m, filename: C3_00023 (repeat of C3_00022)
- **XCTD-1** sn20058175, depth 689m, filename: C3_00028 (wire broke in ice; did not reattempt)
- **XCTD-1** sn20111291, depth 270m, filename: C3_00029 (wire broke off early, ice)
Wrong probe type
Cast 40 and 41 were made with XCTD-1 probes, however XCTD-3 was selected in WinMk21. Cast 47 was made with XCTD-3 probe but XCTD-1 was selected in the software. These first two were corrected by reprocessing in the software but the data does not change so for Cast 47, the filename was changed and header information updated to “XCTD-3” using a text editor.

Connection problems with deckunit
There were some issues with the MK21 connection. On Aug 30 (Cast 19), there were some technical issues with the computer before the probe launch where the connection was lost to Scienecenet server and MK21. This was resolved after turning everything off and on again, unplugging/re-plugging the Ethernet cable etc. It's not clear what the solution was in the end, but the thought was that the Scienecenet server was the main issue. On Aug 31 (Cast 21), again there were problems connecting with the MK21, this time Scienecenet server and GPSgate were working fine. We switched the Ethernet cable port from 3 to 1 (again, we switched it from 1 to 3 to resolve Cast 19 issues, which seemed to work once we got the Scienecenet talking again) then tried a few times to manually connect through the MK21 device parameters. Ended up with "MK21/Ethernet DAQ" on "Manual Selection" which worked, but for the last cast we had it working using the MAC address and couldn't connect this way again). No further issues were reported.

On Sept 9th, Sarah Z. updated the computer clock from 22:10 to 22:03 UTC and changed the XCTD type for the casts noted above

See Appendix for table of stations.

4.6 Vertical Net Tows

C. Clarke, K.Brown (DFO-IOS), N. Rosen (UVIC), M. Gamrani (USherbrooke)
P.I.: John Nelson (DFO-IOS)
4.6.1 Sampling

Zooplankton sampling and preservation were conducted on board by Chris Clarke and Nimrod Rosen of the day watch and Kristina Brown and Mohamed Gamrani of the night watch. A standard bongo net system was used with a fitted 150μm net on one side and a 236μm mesh net on the other side. Both sides had a calibrated TSK flowmeter installed to measure the amount of water flowing through the nets. In addition, an RBR Virtuoso pressure recorder was mounted on the gimble rod to record the actual depth of each net cast.

Figure 15. Bongo nets being deployed from the foredeck, photo Gary Morgan, JOIS 2021

The sampling strategy was to perform a single net haul to 100m at each station whenever time and weather permitted, provided they did not interfere with the rosette operation or require additional ship time unless at a priority station.

A total of 34 bongo vertical net hauls were completed at 34 stations (see Appendix).

Bongos were deployed on the foredeck using a Swann 310 hydraulic winch and 3/16” wire through the forward starboard A-frame. Nets were rinsed from a 100 foot electrically heated hose connected to the salt-water tap on the port side near the outer door near the lounge. Water was left running during the cast to prevent the hose from freezing. The hose was removed after every station, emptied of water, coiled, and carried to the port foredeck science container to keep it warm.
Samples collected from the 150μm net were preserved in 95% ethanol and samples collected from the 236μm net were preserved using formalin with final sample concentration 3.7%. The formalin samples will be examined for species identification and the ethanol samples for DNA sequence analysis coordinated by John Nelson.

UTC was used to log all times and dates in zooplankton log unless otherwise specified. The ship’s local time switched between MST and PST during cruise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Mesh Size</th>
<th>TSK Flow Meter</th>
<th>Sample Preservation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150μm</td>
<td>sn7085</td>
<td>95% Ethanol for DNA sequence analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236μm</td>
<td>sn7303</td>
<td>3.7% Formalin for species identification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.6.2 Issues and solutions

Some stations with loose flowing ice were challenging for the bridge to maintain an ice free pond for both the bongo and rosette at the same time. This was especially true at Net#11 where the net was held at the bottom while the bubblers were used to push back the ice. The bubblers were used routinely in ice during this cruise, however almost exclusively before the net was deployed or while it was at the bottom before coming up.

The RBR’s pressure sensor 30 pin connector is unreliable. When downloading data to computer it’s best to unscrew RBR and plug in directly. The o-ring should be cleaned and greased every time its opened.

As noted in 2020, zooplankton operations take place on the starboard side and the saltwater supply for rinsing is drawn further aft on the port side. It would be helpful to have a saltwater source on the starboard side to reduce the length of hose needed to reach the A-frame. Furthermore there is no nearby electrical outlet so the electricians ran an extension cord into the forward science shack. Initially we plugged into the forward starboard outlet however ocean spray was causing shorting in 2020. In 2021 we plugged the extension cord into the same outlet with no problems, however the plugs looked very weathered after the full season and should be replaced for 2021.

As noted in 2020, the wooden box used to house the bongo nets should be replaced with an aluminium box, as the wooden one is heavy (especially once soaked with water) and is falling apart, resulting in wood chips getting into the samples. A design suggestion is having both long sides of the box removable. This could give more access to the nets below the bongo frame for handling on the deck side.

As in 2020, a brass hose nozzle was used on the foredeck; this was a great choice as it is much more durable than plastic nozzles, consider sending a backup brass nozzle.
Note: stations on the BL line had lots of “goop” and had to be separated into multiple jars for both the 150μm and 236μm nets.

4.7 Biogeography, taxonomic diversity and metabolic functions of microbial communities in the Western Arctic Ocean

On board: Susanne Kraemer (ConcordiaU), Josephine Rapp (ULaval) and Aurélie Labarre (ULaval)

P.I.: Connie Lovejoy (ULaval), David Walsh (ConcordiaU)

4.7.1 Introduction

Rising temperatures and atmospheric CO2 are altering the ocean’s chemistry and circulation, causing intense stress on the foundations of marine food webs such as microbes. The Arctic Ocean is experiencing fast environmental change brought about by a changing climate, leading to a decline in its ice cover. Our efforts to assess microbial diversity have shown that Arctic communities are altered by environmental change. This project aims to determine if the taxonomic changes in microbial assemblages observed in the Arctic are accompanied by genomic and metabolic changes which may potentially impact ecosystem functioning.

4.7.2 Methodology

This year we started the JOIS journey from the South of the Beaufort Sea, in a clockwise direction due to the presence of thick ice in the north-eastern Canadian Basin. Water column samples were collected at a total of 32 stations (Figure 1) to cover a range of previously studied stations (between 2012-2019), plus additional ice stations (in combination with ice core sampling, see Ice core report). Starting with AG-5 and the 31 following stations (StaA, BL1, BL2, BL8, CB2, CB3, CB4, CB6, CB7, CB8, CB9, CB10, CB11, CB21, ICE-2, CB16, ICE-4, CB15, PP7, CB17, CB21, CB40, CB50, CB23a, CB27, MK6, CB28b, MK4, MK2, MK1, CB28aa), samples were collected at eight depths per station: surface water (5m), 20m, SCM (chlorophyll max), Pacific Summer Water (salinity of 32.3PSU), Pacific Winter Water (salinity of 33.1PSU), temperature maximum, Atlantic water (1000m) as well as either 100m or 10m from the bottom.
Figure 1: Stations designated as “DNA stations” had designated Niskin bottles for two water masses (surface and SCM layers) and shared bottles for the other sampled water masses with the routine IOS geochemistry casts. We collected and filtered 7L of water for each water mass at DNA stations dedicated for later DNA extraction (27 DNA stations total). For five selected stations (Meta stations), a designated DNA cast featuring three Niskin bottles per water layer was conducted. At these sites, for each water layer 14L of sea water were filtered for each water mass twice. One filtration per water mass was dedicated for DNA and protein extraction, whereas the other filtration was dedicated to RNA extractions for the CBOmics collaborative study between the Lovejoy, Walsh, and Guéguen groups.

Seawater filtration
For DNA stations, we collected samples from the large (>3µm) and small (0.22 - 3µm) fraction of organisms by filtering 7L of seawater at room temperature onto a 3.0µm polycarbonate filter followed by a 0.22µm Sterivax filter. Filters were immersed in RNAlater solution (Ambio) and left for at least 15 minutes at room temperature before being stored at -80°C. DNA/RNA (Meta Stations) samples were treated and stored as described previously, except that approximately 14L of seawater were filtered twice for each water mass, one for DNA/protein and one for RNA extractions.

Epifluorescent microscopy
Samples for biovolume estimation, abundance and gross taxonomic classification by microscopy were collected and preserved as described by Thaler and Lovejoy (2014) at every sampled station for two designated depths: Surface and SCM. In summary, 50mL seawater was fixed with glutaraldehyde (1% final concentration), filtered onto a 25mm, 0.8µm black polycarbonate filter (AMD manufacturing), stained with DAPI (1mg/ml, final concentration) and mounted on a glass slide with oil. Slides were stored in opaque boxes and kept frozen until analysis in ULaval. Because of a shortage of glutaraldehyde, we preserved seawater samples for epifluorescent microscopy in prefiltered 37% formalin for the ICE stations samples.

**Single Cell Genomics**

For each station and depth, 1.8 mL of sample were gently mixed with Glycerol-TE buffer before freezing at -80°C for single cell genomic sequencing.

### 4.7.3 Additional projects

**Study of marine-derived parasites in polar ecosystems**

**Objectives:**

i. Screen for diatom/parasite interactions & quantitative assessment via imaging: SEM, CARD-FISH "Find relevant model organisms for understanding parasitic interaction"

ii. Analyze the genetic diversity, taxonomic and geographic distribution of marine-derived parasites (fungi & fungi-like protists: oomycetes, labyrinthulomycetes, hyphochytriomycetes) & evaluate the effect of environmental conditions on host (diatom)/parasite prevalence; amplicon sequencing DNA & cDNA

iii. Single cell genome sequencing of parasites

iv. Enrich for parasites using HCR-FISH to create mini-metagenome dataset & assess genomic diversity, connectivity and adaptation

**Isolation of Western Arctic Ocean SAR11 bacteria**

The SAR11 clade of bacteria comprises one of the most abundant and successful clades in the ocean and is characterized by small genomes, but high metabolic flexibility. Previous work has shown that the western Arctic Ocean environment harbours distinct SAR11 bacterial genotypes, but the potential metabolic specializations underlying such an
apparent endemism are still unknown. To resolve this, we have collected frozen sea water samples, as well as filtered sea water for SAR11 isolation from cryopreserved samples from two of the Meta stations. 1.5mL of sea water were gently mixed with 375µl of a 50% glycerol-TE solution and cooled by one degree per hour to freezing before being stored at -80°C.

4.8 Underway Surface Sea-water Measurements

Sarah Zimmermann (DFO-IOS), Celine Gueguen, Nicolas Sylvestre, and Mohamed Gamrani (USherbrooke)
P.I.s: Bill Williams, Celine Gueguen (USherbrooke), Mike DeGrandpre (UMontana)

The ship’s seawater loop system draws seawater from below the ship’s hull at 9 m using a 3” Moyno Progressive Cavity pump. After measuring the intake seawater temperature, seawater travels through ~50m of stainless steel piping to a manifold in a wetlab off the main science lab. The wetlab is configured with an integrated Seabird SBE21 thermosalinograph, Seapoint Chl-a fluorometer and Wetlabs FDOM fluorometer. Recording independently, a second Wetlabs FDOM fluorometer, and a pCO2 system were connected to the wetlab manifold.

Measurements were made for:

a. Surface temperature (inlet and lab), salinity, fluorescence for Chlorophyll-a and FDOM.

b. Water samples were drawn for
   • Salinity, Nutrients, Dissolved Inorganic Carbon, Alkalinity, and Chlorophyll (IOS/DFO)
   • Fluorescent Dissolved Organic Matter (Celine Gueguen, USherbrooke)

c. Measurements of partial pressure of carbon dioxide (pCO2) using a SunBurst SUPER instrument (Mike DeGrandpre, UMontana)

Details of the set-up, operation, instruments’ make, model, serial numbers, calibration, and performance are given in the appendix.

4.9 Underway data logging using SCS

Sarah Zimmermann (DFO-IOS)
P.I.s: Bill Williams, Celine Gueguen (USherbrooke)
The ship uses the Shipboard Computer System (SCS) written by the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), to collect and archive underway measurements. This system takes data arriving via the ship’s network (LAN) in variable formats and time intervals and stores it in a uniform ASCII format that includes a time stamp.

The Shipboard Computer System (SCS) was used to log

1. GPS from the ship’s Furuno GPS, using NMEA strings $GPGGA and $GPRMC. These are the same GPS sentences, available on the science VLAN, being used by CTD, XCTD and TSG systems.

2. AVOS weather observations of air temperature, humidity, wind speed and direction, and barometric pressure ($AVRTE)

3. Sounder depth and the applied ship’s draft and sound speed

4. Surface Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR)

5. Thermosalinograph (TSG), and the inlet sea surface temperature from the SBE38 that is also given in the TSG data stream.

Not recorded this year as has been in past years:

1. Heading from the ship’s Gyro ($HEHDT) – Gyro feed not initially available and not pursued.

2. Data from the FDOM fluorometer in the seawater loop (FDOM) – Effort not put into generating SCS file as data were already being collected.

3. Derived true wind speed calculated in SCS – w/out Heading, not calculated this year. I believe true wind speed available in AVOS data set.

Note the AVOS, TSG (and SBE38), PAR and FDOM data are also logged through their own software programs which are more complete than this year’s SCS record. In particular the SCS system was not recording data from Aug 30 14:40 to Sep 3 19:30 UTC although the independent systems have data. On the other hand, when the TSG computer had problem with the Navigation and SBE38 feed Sep 13th the SCS data served as a backup.

The SCS system on a shipboard computer called the “NOAA server” collects *RAW files. The files typically contain a day’s worth of data, restarting at midnight.
More information on *.RAW files, string definitions, equipment and instruments, and issues are given in the Appendix.

4.10 Ice Observations – Bridge Watch

**Canadian Ice Specialist: Francis Beaulieu**  
**Bridge Web Cameras: Sarah Zimmermann (DFO-JOS)**  
**P.I.: Kazu Tateyama (KIT), Jennifer Hutchings (OSU)**

As in previous years, the ice observations recorded during the cruise will provide detailed information for the interpretation of satellite imagery of the ice pack. The regular science ice-team was not on board this year due to COVID travel related restrictions.

4.10.1 Observations from the Bridge: Methodology

Ice conditions and supporting weather information is typically recorded every hour within 1nm about the ship when visibility allows along the ships track by a science team member. This year without this person, the reports made by the Canadian Ice Service (CIS) Ice Specialists, Francis Beaulier can be used. Last year observation were made both through standard CIS protocol and the ASSIST protocol to determine if they are interchangeable which may allow for use of the information collected this year. ASSIST is based upon ASPECT (Worby & Alison 1999) bridge observation protocol, with additional information to characterize Arctic sea ice. Additional observables included melt pond characteristics, sediment on ice and an additional ice type – second year ice.

4.10.2 Web and GoPro Cameras

Network camera (Netcam) imagery has been collected since 2007. This year, three cameras, recording images every minute were installed above or on the bridge with views of the sea-ice.

One netcam was mounted above the bridge on the port-side rail looking down to where the ice rolls on edge after contact with the ship to measure ice thickness. A 2m long pole with 10cm marked increments was mounted on the 400 deck rail was in the field of view of the images to aid in sea-ice thickness measurements.

The other netcam was mounted above the bridge on the forward rail, looking forward to measure ice concentration. There has been a problem with powering this camera resulting
in not images in 2019 or 2020 (at least). This year the problem was solved by using an extension cord to supply the camera’s power instead of a powered network cable.

As in 2019 and 2020, a self-recording GoPro camera was installed pointing forward looking over the bow from inside the bridge, mounted on the port-side forward facing window. These images duplicate those collected by the forward looking web camera.

The netcam imagery was saved in real-time onto the ScienceNet server. The GoPro camera memory card was downloaded as needed (~5 days). The quality of the GoPro image is superior to the netcams.

**Issues**
The netcams needed regular tending to record meaningful imagery. For setup, the time needed resetting after startup, the focal settings needing fine tuning to find best focus, zoom and light level, the mounting was important to have the correct field of view. During operation the housing box’s window would ice up (snow and rain) blocking the focus and view.

The GoPro was fairly trouble free once running properly. It also needed time to be checked as the file date is the only link to the time of the photo. Being inside looking through the protected bridge window, the view was typically free of ice/rain/snow issues. Problems arose with on/off buttons accidentally getting pressed due to how the strapping held the camera in place. These issues would not be notices until it came time to download the data potentially losing days of data. The file and folder names would cycle so each download would be written to a unique folder.

**Fixes applied for 2021**
A new gigabit router/switch was used to connect the ship’s network port (running at 100mb) to the netcams (running at 10mb). The switch was able to automatically connect the two and no resetting of the ship’s port was needed as in past years. The network port is in the ice observers room on the bridge.

Mike Dempsey cleaned up the rusted housing used in the past for the port side netcam. The housing was removed at the end of 2021 to prevent re-freezing due to rust.

A work around for the forward looking netcam power supply was made by running an extension cord from the ice observer’s room, out the window up to the camera, paralleling the network cables.
Figure 16. The forward looking necam on the left and the downward looking netcam on the right.

*Location of forward looking GoPro camera on the port side of the bridge.*
4.10.3 Experimental Self-contained Camera
Kazu Tateyama (KIT) is trialling a new self-contained camera system. A single housing contains three cameras: forward looking, port-side downward looking, and upward all-sky looking cameras. The same housing holds a GPS receiver, data logger and battery. The housing connects to a solar panel to power the system. There is a known problem of the solar panel not providing enough power to the system but we trialled the system so data could be examined. Operationally we found there was a problem with icing where it was mounted above the bridge, similar to the netcameras. Another problem was moisture inside the housing although its unclear if this was due to condensation or rain/ice making its way inside after being out for a week in -5 to +5 C temperatures, strong winds, and icebreaking conditions.

Self-contained camera system with solar panel mounted above the bridge on forward, port corner.

4.11 Ice Observations – Ice Thickness from suspended EM sensor - Postponed
P.I.: Kazu Tateyama (KITAMI), Jennifer Hutchings (OSU)

The EM was not used this year due to COVID related travel restriction preventing Kazu Tateyama from joining the program.
4.12 Ice Observations – On ice stations

_P.I.: Jennifer Hutchings (OSU), Kazu Tateyama (KIT), Josephine Rapp (ULaval)_

Ice observations were made at two of the three on-ice stations where the WHOI ITP buoys were deployed to characterize the sea-ice floe and make microbial measurements of the sea-ice.

Ice Stations w/ observation work:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Ice Observation</th>
<th>ITP / Buoy System</th>
<th>Date (UTC)</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ice 2</td>
<td>Thickness transects, cores for microbial study, temperature and salinity</td>
<td>ITP 126+SAMI, SIMB-2021#2, TOP2</td>
<td>2-SEP 21:00</td>
<td>78°34.6970N, 147°14.3155W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice 4</td>
<td>Thickness transects, cores for microbial study, temperature and salinity</td>
<td>ITP 122, SIMB-2021#3, TOP4, AOFB48</td>
<td>4-SEP 23:30</td>
<td>79°17.0727N, 135°31.8822W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.12.1 Ice Thickness Transects

_Nicolas Sylvestre (U Sherbrooke), Nimrod Rozen (UVic), Susanne Kraemer (Concordia), Mohamed Gamrani (U Sherbrook), Francis Beaulieu (Ice observer, Environment Canada), Benjamin Richaud (Dalhousie)_

Ice thickness transects were conducted at two of the three on-ice stations. No transect was performed at Ice 3 due to a very limited area of safe thickness.

In parallel, ice cores were taken for DNA/RNA sampling purposes and salinity and temperature profiles.

Ice and snow measurements were conducted by following the standard JOIS protocol at each ice station.
1. Establishing 100m-long transect line by using tape measure and flags
2. Collecting snow depth, ice thickness and freeboard data along transects at every 10m by using an electrical-powered drill.

Overviews of ice stations

**Ice Station 2**
Drilling: Nicolas Sylvestre, Francis Beaulieu, Nimrod Rozen, Benjamin Richaud

Ice was accessed from gangway of port side. One 100m-long transect (1 x 100m) and one 58m-long transect were set as shown in Fig.1.

**Ice Station 4**
Drilling: Mohamed Gamrani, Susanne Kraemer, Nimrod Rozen, Benjamin Richaud

Ice was accessed from gangway of port side. A 100m-long, a 30m-long and a 20m-long transects were established as shown in Fig.1. The last, 20m-long transect was interrupted before completion due to the failure of the tape measure, resulting in the loss of the ice dongle.
Figure 1. Drawing of transects on each ice stations.
Station 2 and 4 consist one 100m transect and 1 or 2 shorter cross transects. The length of each transect is indicated in the same color as the line; distances from the beginning of the 100m transects are given in black.

Measurements

We settled for 100 m transects with snow depth, thickness and freeboard of sea ice measurements every 10m along transects as shown in Fig.1. Ice thickness and freeboard were measured directly with the use of a drill and tape measure. Snow depth was measured by a steel ruler.
Figure 2. Snow depth, ice thickness and freeboard measurements at ice station 2 and 4.

4.12.2 Ice Cores – Microbiology and Physical properties

Josephine Z. Rapp (ULaval), Aurélie Labarre (ULaval), Kristina Brown (IOS), Helen Gemmrich (IOS)
P.I.: Alexander Culley (ULaval) and Connie Lovejoy (ULaval)

During this year’s JOIS expedition, members of the DNA/Microbiology team sampled sea-ice cores and under-ice water for microbiological molecular analysis. Ice coring work could be realized at two ice stations (ICE2 and ICE4) in parallel to WHOI profiler and buoy operations. At both stations, Kristina Brown and Josephine Rapp conducted an ice safety survey (with the support of members from the ice transect team: Thanks Nicolas Sylvestre and Benjamin Richaud!) and identified a suitable area for the coring program. We collected samples from 4 x 4 m triangle-shaped sampling areas (Figure 1), which featured three sites for biological cores (biological triplicates) and a center area for salinity and temperature core collection. Also, the collection of direct brine samples via sackholes was attempted, but not successful as there was immediate seawater infiltration from below. After the ice cores were taken and processed, we used a small portable and battery-powered peristaltic pump to obtain under-ice seawater from 1-5 cm below the ice. A handheld submersible camera was lowered through the core holes to capture visual impressions of the under-ice environment.
Along with the cores, we collected snow depth, ice thickness and freeboard data. Additional data outside the sampling area was obtained by the ice transect team (see separate report for more information).

### 4.12.2.1 Ice Cores – Methods

We collected a total of six biological cores per station, two cores per corner of the triangle (Figure 1). These two cores together were treated as a single sample and pooled for subsequent analyses in order to obtain sufficient volumes for the various subsamples (see below). Cores were sectioned into top, middle and bottom sections of equal length, collected in sterile whirl pak bags and later left to melt in the dark in the ship’s 4 °C cold room. Table 1 shows the summary of collected ice core samples. Sea ice at both ice stations, but particularly at ICE2, was very layered, and often featured multiple layers of mushy ice were the cores would break during the drilling process. We observed a high number of melt ponds on both floes and video footage taken through the drill holes revealed the presence of multiple large pockets of water within the ice sheet. Under the ice, we observed a relatively high number of jellyfishes, potentially ctenophores.

![Figure 17. Sampling setup that was used for the microbiological core collection at both ICE2 and ICE4.](image)

**Table 7.** Overview of the collected ice cores at both ice stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ice Station</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Length [m]</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>PI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICE2</td>
<td>ICE2 center</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>Rapp/Culley/Lovejoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE2</td>
<td>ICE2 center</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Rapp/Culley/Lovejoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE2 - 1A</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rapp/Culley/Lovejoy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After melting the cores over the course of several days, we took subsamples for DNA analysis, bacterial DAPI (microscopy), single cell genomics, flow cytometry and nutrient analysis. For DNA analysis, the samples were subsequently filtered through 3.0 µm, 0.2 µm and 0.02 µm pore size polycarbonate filters for the analysis of eukaryotes, prokaryotes and viruses, respectively. Additionally, a “whole” filter was obtained that holds a direct filtrate onto 0.02 µm pore size. All samples were stored at -80 °C.

4.12.2.2 Temperature and Salinity Profiles

We took a core each for a salinity profile and for temperature measurements at both ice stations (Figure 1). Both salinity and temperature were determined in 10 cm intervals (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICE2</th>
<th>Core Section (cm)</th>
<th>Salinity (PPT)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICE4</th>
<th>Core Section (cm)</th>
<th>Salinity (PPT)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth Range</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-70</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-80</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-90</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-95</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under-ice water</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 18. Curious visitor. Photo by Gary Morgan.
5. APPENDIX

5.1 SCIENCE PARTICIPANTS

Table 8. Onboard Science Participants for 2021-016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Zimmermann</td>
<td>DFO-IOS</td>
<td>Chief Scientist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah-Ann Quesnel</td>
<td>DFO-IOS</td>
<td>Nutrients analysis, lab supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marty Davelaar</td>
<td>DFO-IOS</td>
<td>DIC/Alkalinity analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelica Pena</td>
<td>DFO-IOS</td>
<td>Dissolved oxygen analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Clarke</td>
<td>DFO-IOS</td>
<td>Watchleader, salinity analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristina Brown</td>
<td>DFO-IOS</td>
<td>Watchleader, salinity analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nimrod Rozen</td>
<td>DFO-IOS</td>
<td>Watchstander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Gemmrich</td>
<td>DFO-IOS</td>
<td>Watchstander, Dispatches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin Richaud</td>
<td>Dalhousie</td>
<td>Watchstander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celine Gueguen</td>
<td>USherbrooke</td>
<td>Watchstander, FDOM chemistry lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicolas Sylvestre</td>
<td>USherbrooke</td>
<td>Watchstander, FDOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Gamrani</td>
<td>USherbrooke</td>
<td>Watchstander, FDOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susanne Kraemer</td>
<td>Concordia</td>
<td>Microbial Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aurélie Labarre</td>
<td>ULaval</td>
<td>Microbial Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josephine Rapp</td>
<td>ULaval</td>
<td>Microbial Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isabela Le Bras</td>
<td>WHOI</td>
<td>Mooring and Buoy lead, Dispatches lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff O'Brien</td>
<td>WHOI</td>
<td>Mooring and Buoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Ryder</td>
<td>WHOI</td>
<td>Mooring and Buoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Marin</td>
<td>WHOI</td>
<td>Mooring and Buoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cory Beatty</td>
<td>UMontana</td>
<td>pCO2, pH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Principal Investigators Onshore for 2021-016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bill Williams</td>
<td>DFO-IOS</td>
<td>Program lead / CTD/Rosette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrey Proshutinsky</td>
<td>WHOI</td>
<td>Moorings and ITP / CTD/Rosette / XCTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Krishfield</td>
<td>WHOI</td>
<td>Moorings and ITP / CTD/Rosette / XCTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary-Louise Timmermans</td>
<td>Yale</td>
<td>Moorings and ITP / CTD/Rosette / XCTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Toole</td>
<td>WHOI</td>
<td>ITP program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike DeGrandpre</td>
<td>U Montana</td>
<td>pCO2, pH, Underway system, Buoy, Mooring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motoyo Itoh</td>
<td>JAMSTEC</td>
<td>CTD/Rosette / XCTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliation</td>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigeto Nishino</td>
<td>JAMSTEC</td>
<td>CTD/Rosette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takashi Kikuchi</td>
<td>JAMSTEC</td>
<td>CTD/Rosette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Perovich</td>
<td>CRREL</td>
<td>Ice Mass-Balance Buoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Stanton</td>
<td>NPS</td>
<td>Arctic Ocean Flux Buoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michiyo Yamamoto-</td>
<td>TUMSAT</td>
<td>CTD / Rosette / Alkalinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawai</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connie Lovejoy</td>
<td>ULaval</td>
<td>CTD/Rosette / Microbial Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Walsh</td>
<td>ConcordiaU</td>
<td>CTD/Rosette / Microbial Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Nelson</td>
<td>DFO-IOS</td>
<td>Zooplankton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Smith</td>
<td>DFO-BIO</td>
<td>CTD / Rosette / $^{129}$I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuria Casacuberta</td>
<td>ETH Zurich</td>
<td>CTD / Rosette / $^{129}$I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Hutchings</td>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>Ice Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazutaka Tateyama</td>
<td>KIT</td>
<td>Ice Observations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10. Affiliation Abbreviations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIO</td>
<td>Bedford Institute of Oceanography, DFO, Dartmouth, NS, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConcordiaU</td>
<td>Concordia University, Montreal, Qc, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRREL</td>
<td>Cold Regions Research Laboratory, New Hampshire, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFO</td>
<td>Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOS</td>
<td>Institute of Ocean Sciences, DFO, Sidney, BC, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMSTEC</td>
<td>Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science Technology, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIT</td>
<td>Kitami Institute of Technology, Kitami, Hokkaido Prefecture, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregan, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USherbrooke</td>
<td>University of Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUMSAT</td>
<td>Tokyo University of Marine Science and Technology, Tokyo, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS</td>
<td>Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULaval</td>
<td>University of Laval, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMontana</td>
<td>University of Montana, Missoula, Montana, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UVic</td>
<td>University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHOI</td>
<td>Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YaleU</td>
<td>Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETH Zurich</td>
<td>ETH Zurich, Switzerland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2 PROJECT WEBSITES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Website Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beaufort Gyre Observing System</td>
<td><a href="https://www2.whoi.edu/site/beaufortgyre/overview/scientific-motivation/">https://www2.whoi.edu/site/beaufortgyre/overview/scientific-motivation/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaufort Gyre Observing System dispatches</td>
<td><a href="https://www2.whoi.edu/site/beaufortgyre/expedition/2021-expedition/2021-dispatches/">https://www2.whoi.edu/site/beaufortgyre/expedition/2021-expedition/2021-dispatches/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice-Tethered Profiler buoys</td>
<td><a href="https://www2.whoi.edu/site/itp/">https://www2.whoi.edu/site/itp/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice Mass Balance buoys</td>
<td><a href="http://imb-crrel-dartmouth.org/">http://imb-crrel-dartmouth.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic Ocean Flux Buoy</td>
<td><a href="http://www.oc.nps.edu/~stanton/fluxbuoy/">www.oc.nps.edu/~stanton/fluxbuoy/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 LOCATION OF SCIENCE STATIONS

The scientific crew boarded the *CCGS Louis S. St-Laurent* icebreaker in Cambridge Bay, NU, on 19 August, 2021 and departed at Cambridge Bay, NU on 16 September 2021. Locations of CTD/Rosette, XCTD, zooplankton vertical net, as well as the mooring and buoy recovery and deployments are listed in the tables below.

5.3.1 CTD/Rosette
Table 11. CTD/Rosette cast locations for 2021-016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cast #</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>CAST START DATE and Time (UTC)</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Longitude (°W)</th>
<th>Water Depth (m)</th>
<th>Cast Depth (m)</th>
<th>Sample Numbers</th>
<th>Ice Coverage (tenths) (Rough Estimate by CTD Operator)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AG5-DNA</td>
<td>2021/08/21 22:34</td>
<td>70.5552</td>
<td>122.9068</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>1-24</td>
<td>less than 1/10 but some</td>
<td>yoyo each bottle stop - 30 sec, up 1m, down 2m, up 1m, 30 sec, trip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AG5</td>
<td>2021/08/22 01:38</td>
<td>70.5485</td>
<td>122.8645</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>25-46</td>
<td>less than 1/10 but some</td>
<td>Drifted from position of ROS-1! Bottom depth now ~550m removed optode and rinko for this duplicate cast for comparison... does CDOM or PAR change w sensors removed? bot 16: vent fully open bot 17: tripped while moving, don't use (see bottle 23) bot 18: 2x 1L HPLC bot 22: 2x 1L HPLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>STN-A</td>
<td>2021/08/24 11:15</td>
<td>72.6008</td>
<td>144.6955</td>
<td>3434</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>47-56</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>Wire caught on ice during downcast @~900m. Pulled to 20deg angle, kept paying out but slowly (w/ ice). Ship able to reposition + ice pushed to stern, wire snapped free out of ice. Do see a spike in data at this point and again @1300m. Hope wire has not been corrupted... Upcast has only a couple spikes. Acoustic release test at 200m. Didn't respond so CTD turnbed off. New file is named &quot;_0004b.hex. Problem turned out to be WHOI's first deck box. Second deck box worked well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>STN-A</td>
<td>2021/08/24 12:58</td>
<td>72.6000</td>
<td>144.6988</td>
<td>3434</td>
<td>3421</td>
<td>57-80</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>BL4</td>
<td>2021/08/25 06:51</td>
<td>71.5167</td>
<td>151.5768</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>81-101</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>BL3</td>
<td>2021/08/25 09:17</td>
<td>71.4647</td>
<td>151.8182</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>102-119</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>BL1</td>
<td>2021/08/25 11:24</td>
<td>71.3513</td>
<td>152.0798</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>120-127</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>BL2</td>
<td>2021/08/25 13:35</td>
<td>71.3943</td>
<td>151.9490</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>128-139</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BL5</td>
<td>2021/08/25 16:05</td>
<td>71.5948</td>
<td>151.3662</td>
<td>1581</td>
<td>1567</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>BL6</td>
<td>2021/08/25 18:25</td>
<td>71.6807</td>
<td>151.1358</td>
<td>2085</td>
<td>2084</td>
<td>140-162</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>BL7</td>
<td>2021/08/25 21:24</td>
<td>71.8212</td>
<td>150.7633</td>
<td>2570</td>
<td>2570</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>BL8</td>
<td>2021/08/26 00:30</td>
<td>71.9530</td>
<td>150.2977</td>
<td>2951</td>
<td>2961</td>
<td>163-186</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CB2a</td>
<td>2021/08/26 07:41</td>
<td>72.4993</td>
<td>149.9960</td>
<td>3721</td>
<td>3713</td>
<td>187-210</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QM's first time at winch. Termination brought close to block on deployment. Data are fine. Opaque blobs in water: lots of jellyfish. Altimeter did not come in but unsure if we got close enough to bottom; one brief spike 40 m; bottom is soft (sounder ranged 1160 to 1200). Niskin #4 closed at 473 for T max target property. Niskins #14-18 tripped out of order: 14, 17, 15, 16, 18. Niskin #17 tripped @80 m (instead of 70 m); Niskin #18 tripped at 35 (instead of 50), to better sample column (target properties 32.6 and 32.3 were too close to 70 and 50).

Altimeter worked fine from the beginning to end.

More spikes in altimeter, but still giving right data close to bottom.

Altimeter gave false spikes.

Same for altimeter. Chris took deck control @ ~900 m to check wire for ice damage. Low gear, slow speed (20 m/min), stopped at CABLEOUT885. No damage spotted, "looks fine".

Some trouble on speed, big drop around 900 m (speed >100 m/min for a sec). WHOI release test at 2000 m (on up). Niskin #10 (Target property 34.7) fired late @285 m (instead of 290 m). Bottles tripped out of order: 18, 21, 19, 20, 22.

Initially full ice cover, 3 aborted attempts to deploy rosette. Then after repositioning, full open water.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>14</th>
<th>CB2</th>
<th>2021/08/26 14:33</th>
<th>72.9985</th>
<th>150.0200</th>
<th>3744</th>
<th>3739</th>
<th>211-234</th>
<th>&lt;1/10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Altimeter worked fine. Ship bubblers quite active to push floes away, so surface might be disturbed, though bubblers were stopped when rosette @150m. Niskin bottle 6 lid didn't close. Bottle was open when reaching the surface but water stayed inside, meaning bottom was likely closed. Then lid snapped in, but when rosette was already halfway out. Samples (#216) taken nonetheless (some doubts on actual Niskin number).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>15</th>
<th>CB3</th>
<th>2021/08/27 03:42</th>
<th>74.0025</th>
<th>149.9932</th>
<th>3821</th>
<th>3814</th>
<th>235-258</th>
<th>9/10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Target property B-100 (Niskin bottle #1) was actually tripped at B-10 (operator is sorry!). Eddy around 250m deep, so target properties 33.6 and 33.1 (Niskin #13 and 14) might be influenced by it, though not entirely in it.

Yoyo for target property Chl-Max; for target property 5m (Niskin #23 and 24), stopped for 50s but operator forgot the yoyo. Bubblers and rear prop used a lot due to ice. Altimeter kicked in ata bot-100mk (wow!).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>16</th>
<th>CB6</th>
<th>2021/08/28 05:13</th>
<th>74.7133</th>
<th>146.7005</th>
<th>3777</th>
<th>3770</th>
<th>259-282</th>
<th>2/10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Niskin #6: dripping spiggot; Niskin #14: spigot running; Niskin #21: vent not closed. Niskin #8: possible mistrip: draw temperature very cold, while it is supposed to be the Tmax. Aborted deployment due to ice; second attempt (16b) successful. Didn't bring CDT to surface at beginning, due to ice, so cast starting @10m. Stopped @200m on way down and up, to test out styrofoam bowls. Strong wind pussing ship to port: rosette wire at angle 30stbd, >10 aft, so discrepancies between cable length and CTD depth (when CTD=2387m, cableout=2553); when bridge tried to correct, rosette went under ship: stopped the rosette @2446 to correct.
<p>| 17 | CB4  | 2021/08/28 13:30 | 75.0008 | 150.0013 | 3823 | 3818 | 283-306 | 2/10, with some pancakes, frazil, etc. (ICE101 classroom) | Interesting small signal in transmissometer (decreasing from 91.06 to 90.90%) and oxygen @3000m, so Niskin #5 was shifted from 2500 to 3000m deep to investigate. |
| 19 | CB5  | 2021/08/29 07:03 | 75.3045 | 153.3202 | 3841 | 3835 | 331-354 | 5/10 | Rosette stayed on deck for some time (~10min) before cast due to ship repositioning; thus stayed &gt;5min @10m depth to let it “warm”up. Niskin #21 changed from 60 to 50m depth (ChlMax @62m). Used Ice chummy, installed at 3700m depth, removed at 100m. Hence rosette was stopped at those two depths for a few minutes; chummy stuck around cable so it took some time to get it out. Stopped rosette @857m as well, for ~3min, because cable angle was &gt;10degrees port, so cable was touching hull (bongos made it difficult to the bridge to keep ship on track). Some very interesting physical processes (T&amp;S) in the Atlantic waters, with intrusion at Tmax, double diffusivity features, maybe internal waves in the Barents Sea branch? Lots of cool stuff! To be analysed. |
| 20 | CB7  | 2021/08/29 16:44 | 75.9927 | 149.9727 | 3825 | 3820 | 355-378 | 1/10 | Wind 26 kts, white caps. Potential eddy @~150m deep (32.6psu). Ice chummy set up @3700m and removed @5m after yoyo. Shift change @700m upcast. |
| 21 | CB8  | 2021/08/30 01:54 | 77.0035 | 149.9923 | 3821 | 3818 | 379-402 | 8/10 | Wind 25kts; bridge has no report from CTD BOT angles. Plot didn't show fluorescence trace so SCM was picked from beam transmission minimum. Lots of ice, had to stop @1275m to let bridge clear the ice. |
| 22 | CB9DNA | 2021/08/30 10:17 | 77.9943 | 149.9437 | 3820 | 1002 | 403-426 | less than 1/10 but some | Potential internal wave or shear instability @~260m? |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>CB9 (bgc)</td>
<td>2021/08/30 21:23</td>
<td>77.9982</td>
<td>149.8907</td>
<td>3820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>CB12</td>
<td>2021/08/31 05:02</td>
<td>77.6812</td>
<td>146.7200</td>
<td>3807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>CB9Take3</td>
<td>2021/08/31 12:46</td>
<td>78.0013</td>
<td>150.0040</td>
<td>3826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>CB10</td>
<td>2021/09/01 05:24</td>
<td>78.2917</td>
<td>153.2670</td>
<td>2288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>ICE1</td>
<td>2021/09/01 23:35</td>
<td>79.2190</td>
<td>147.9937</td>
<td>1001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>CB11DNA</td>
<td>2021/09/02 03:54</td>
<td>78.9923</td>
<td>149.9217</td>
<td>3823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Station</td>
<td>Date/Time</td>
<td>Lat</td>
<td>Lon</td>
<td>Depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>CB11</td>
<td>2021/09/02 06:03</td>
<td>79.0017</td>
<td>149.8832</td>
<td>3823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>ICE2</td>
<td>2021/09/02 21:58</td>
<td>78.5778</td>
<td>147.2093</td>
<td>3812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>CB16</td>
<td>2021/09/04 02:39</td>
<td>78.0008</td>
<td>139.8130</td>
<td>3745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>ICE4</td>
<td>2021/09/05 04:07</td>
<td>79.2673</td>
<td>135.6878</td>
<td>3701</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
33  CB13  2021/09/05 21:57  77.3535  143.2907  3785  3775  662-685  3/10, forming ice

34  CB15  2021/09/06 05:42  77.0353  140.0907  3729  3722  686-709  2/10, fresh and slushy ice

35  PP7   2021/09/06 14:47  76.5317  135.3677  3565  3554  710-733  4/10, frazil ice

36  CB17  2021/09/06 22:58  75.9950  139.9720  3697  3687  734-757  0/10

37  CB18  2021/09/07 06:36  75.0065  140.0110  3627  3616  758-781  4/10

38  CB21DNA 2021/09/07 21:56  74.0028  140.0202  3525  291  782-805  9/10

39  CB21  2021/09/08 00:21  73.9970  140.0788  3524  3511  806-829  8/10

40  CB19  2021/09/08 07:57  74.3098  143.0685  3690  3683  830-852  <1/10

41  CB40  2021/09/09 08:13  74.4977  135.4218  3256  3242  854-877  3/10

42  CB50  2021/09/09 21:26  73.5012  134.2528  2890  2876  878-901  2/10

43  CB51  2021/09/10 05:19  73.5000  130.9040  2493  2483  902-924  10/10

Did not wait 30s at surface (too much slush ice).
Stopped at 2056m on downcast for ship manoeuvre (high wire angles). Big ice floe at 2340m downcast. Ice chummy attached at bottom, removed at 16m. Cast delayed initially so ship could find suitable spot; difficult in strong wind and mobile pieces of ice. No wave nor swell, but ice everywhere. Upcast wire angle mostly at 14deg aft.

Interesting features in Temp trace from 50m to 180m. Lost CTD data on IMS BOT again, @1470m.

Broken DO bottle (for Niskin #20)

Another intrusion (eddy?) in PWW

At mooring recovery site

Updated knudsen sounder depth for mooring deployment purposes

Niskin #22 did not trip properly, lid stayed block on hangbar: no sample 851. Interesting physical features around Pacific Summer Water: double diffusivity (?) above PSW, others instabilities below.

SCM very close to 60m target so Niskin #19 was instead fired @30m depth, out of order, to target a small Chl local maximum associated with a clear Dissolved Oxygen maximum. Some staircases in Atlantic waters (Fram Strait branch).

Cadets training on winch. Niskin #10 fired at 280m instead of 282m.

Some bioluminescence in Zooplankton net cast.
<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>CB31b</td>
<td>2021/09/10 17:20</td>
<td>72.3485</td>
<td>134.0178</td>
<td>2063</td>
<td>2054</td>
<td>925-948</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>CB23a</td>
<td>2021/09/11 02:20</td>
<td>72.9048</td>
<td>135.9843</td>
<td>2737</td>
<td>2739</td>
<td>949-972</td>
<td>1.5/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>CB22</td>
<td>2021/09/11 08:46</td>
<td>73.4525</td>
<td>138.0163</td>
<td>3126</td>
<td>3116</td>
<td>973-996</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>CB27</td>
<td>2021/09/11 14:54</td>
<td>73.0018</td>
<td>140.0265</td>
<td>3221</td>
<td>3211</td>
<td>997-1020</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>CB29</td>
<td>2021/09/11 22:53</td>
<td>72.0000</td>
<td>140.0047</td>
<td>2694</td>
<td>2673</td>
<td>1021-1044</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>MK6</td>
<td>2021/09/12 03:46</td>
<td>71.5847</td>
<td>140.0032</td>
<td>2496</td>
<td>2470</td>
<td>1045-1068</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temperature profile busy with small features (staircases, inversions, instabilities...). Watch change during downcast. Birds flying around. Bilge water discharge during the station: ballast water was pumped off Kugluktuk and discharged while waiting for station.

Very windy. Northern lights just before cast. Chummy from bottom to 50m. Clean profile though DO is slightly variable in Atl. Waters.
Niskin #9: Vent slightly loose.
Niskin #14: May have mistakenly drawn oxygen from Niskin 15.

@2750m: the deck unit made two high-pitched "beep", and vertical lines showed up on all graphs, as if the CTD had suddenly measured a negative depth... Then resumed to normal trace.
Unstable rosette speed during end of downcast and last 300m of upcast; some bottles might be slightly off.

Hit a piece of ice on downcast @240m. Sewage discharge @1100m downcast
O18: Start sampling into new style (w/ cone septa in cap) of bottle (sample 1021 to end).

Niskin #19 did not fire on button press -> switched to fire Niskin #20 then back to #19 and that worked. Start pickling DIC samples.
<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>CB28b</td>
<td>2021/09/12 09:34</td>
<td>71.0005</td>
<td>140.0070</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>2073</td>
<td>1069-1092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>MK4</td>
<td>2021/09/12 12:37</td>
<td>70.8095</td>
<td>140.0005</td>
<td>1516</td>
<td>1494</td>
<td>1093-1116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>MK3</td>
<td>2021/09/12 15:53</td>
<td>70.5707</td>
<td>139.9998</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>1117-1139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>MK2</td>
<td>2021/09/12 18:17</td>
<td>70.3993</td>
<td>139.9927</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1140-1160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MK1</td>
<td>2021/09/12 20:36</td>
<td>70.2252</td>
<td>140.0020</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>1161-1176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>CB28aa</td>
<td>2021/09/12 22:37</td>
<td>69.9993</td>
<td>139.9980</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1177-1185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>CB1</td>
<td>2021/09/13 13:55</td>
<td>71.7775</td>
<td>131.8787</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>1122</td>
<td>1186-1209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>CP05</td>
<td>2021/09/14 12:43</td>
<td>69.6528</td>
<td>118.5897</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>1210-1233</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.3.2 XCTD

Table 12. XCTD cast deployment locations for 2021-016.

File name starting with C3 means XCTD-1 probes were used and file name starting with C4 means XCTD-2 probes were used. S/N = serial number of the probe launched

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filename</th>
<th>CAST START DATE and Time (UTC)</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Longitude (°W)</th>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Probe Type</th>
<th>Cast Depth (m)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C5_00001.EDF</td>
<td>23-Aug-2021 18:00</td>
<td>70.55458</td>
<td>140.0941</td>
<td>16016717</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>training run, all good!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00002.EDF</td>
<td>23-Aug-2021 20:32</td>
<td>70.69543</td>
<td>140.7795</td>
<td>20058164</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>~5kn; ~50% ice cover; CC/HG/SK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00003.EDF</td>
<td>23-Aug-2021 23:33</td>
<td>70.97887</td>
<td>142.0708</td>
<td>16016718</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>~14kn; &lt;5% ice cover; CC/NS/AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00004.EDF</td>
<td>24-Aug-2021 01:45</td>
<td>71.2173</td>
<td>143.2818</td>
<td>16016715</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>~13kn; &lt;5% ice cover; CC/HG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00005.EDF</td>
<td>24-Aug-2021 04:45</td>
<td>71.6858</td>
<td>144.0284</td>
<td>20058165</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>~5kn; ~50% ice cover; CC/NS; ended early @ ~300m, redo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00006.EDF</td>
<td>24-Aug-2021 04:54</td>
<td>71.70186</td>
<td>144.0392</td>
<td>20058166</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1012</td>
<td>~5kn; ~50% ice cover; CC/NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00007.EDF</td>
<td>24-Aug-2021 08:11</td>
<td>72.15188</td>
<td>144.6722</td>
<td>16016714</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>~14kn, big open lead, end at 3100m depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00008.EDF</td>
<td>24-Aug-2021 19:39</td>
<td>72.4402</td>
<td>146.2348</td>
<td>16016713</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>~10kn, ~20% ice cover, CC/NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00009.EDF</td>
<td>24-Aug-2021 22:55</td>
<td>72.07424</td>
<td>147.3575</td>
<td>16016736</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>~7kn; 20% ice cover; CC/HG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00010.EDF</td>
<td>25-Aug-2021 02:19</td>
<td>71.84373</td>
<td>148.8802</td>
<td>16016735</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>~13 kn, 1% ice, CC/NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00011.EDF</td>
<td>25-Aug-2021 04:44</td>
<td>71.65605</td>
<td>150.4175</td>
<td>16016734</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>~12.5kn, 0% ice, CC/NR/HG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00012.EDF</td>
<td>26-Aug-2021 21:18</td>
<td>73.49524</td>
<td>149.0076</td>
<td>20058167</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>~8kn, 80% ice, CC/HG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00013.EDF</td>
<td>27-Aug-2021 10:42</td>
<td>74.46438</td>
<td>149.9682</td>
<td>20058168</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>~5kn, 90% ice everywhere, but found an open pool, BR/KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00014.EDF</td>
<td>28-Aug-2021 11:22</td>
<td>74.87119</td>
<td>148.4942</td>
<td>16016732</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>~13.5kn, open water (0% ice), BR/KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00015.EDF</td>
<td>29-Aug-2021 04:16</td>
<td>75.15806</td>
<td>151.6749</td>
<td>16016731</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>~10kn; ~30% ice; CC/NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00016.EDF</td>
<td>29-Aug-2021 13:33</td>
<td>75.64144</td>
<td>151.7009</td>
<td>16016728</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>~11kn; small chunks of ice, pretty open area (10% ice), Knudsen not working, bottom depth needs to be confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00017.EDF</td>
<td>29-Aug-2021 23:17</td>
<td>76.52082</td>
<td>149.9423</td>
<td>16016733</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>~13.5kn; 5% ice; CC/HG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00019.EDF</td>
<td>30-Aug-2021 08:36</td>
<td>77.70636</td>
<td>149.7082</td>
<td>20058170</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>~8kts; pool of open water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00020.EDF</td>
<td>30-Aug-2021 08:42</td>
<td>77.71827</td>
<td>149.6783</td>
<td>20058169</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>~13kn; had problems connecting with the MK21 again, this time science server and GPSgate working fine… switched ethernet cable port from 3 to 1 (again, we switched it from 1 to 3 last cast, which seemed to work once we got the science net talking again) then tried a few times to manually connect through the MK21 device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00021.EDF</td>
<td>31-Aug-2021 10:12</td>
<td>77.85924</td>
<td>148.1942</td>
<td>16016729</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>BM-hr</td>
<td>XCTD-Code</td>
<td>Duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00022.EDF</td>
<td>01-Sep-2021</td>
<td>00:20</td>
<td>78.1623</td>
<td>151.591</td>
<td>20058171</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78.1633</td>
<td>151.5919</td>
<td>20058172</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00024.EDF</td>
<td>01-Sep-2021</td>
<td>10:58</td>
<td>78.65267</td>
<td>151.4007</td>
<td>16016725</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78.61347</td>
<td>147.7724</td>
<td>20058174</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00026.EDF</td>
<td>02-Sep-2021</td>
<td>12:14</td>
<td>78.61093</td>
<td>147.7724</td>
<td>20058174</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78.2193</td>
<td>145.2851</td>
<td>15115718</td>
<td>XCTD-2</td>
<td>1850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00027.EDF</td>
<td>03-Sep-2021</td>
<td>03:36</td>
<td>78.2192</td>
<td>145.2851</td>
<td>15115718</td>
<td>XCTD-2</td>
<td>1850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78.37272</td>
<td>138.737</td>
<td>20058175</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00028.EDF</td>
<td>04-Sep-2021</td>
<td>08:09</td>
<td>78.37272</td>
<td>138.737</td>
<td>20058175</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78.76097</td>
<td>137.386</td>
<td>20111291</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00029.EDF</td>
<td>04-Sep-2021</td>
<td>11:21</td>
<td>78.76097</td>
<td>137.386</td>
<td>20111291</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78.78934</td>
<td>137.3925</td>
<td>20111292</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00030.EDF</td>
<td>04-Sep-2021</td>
<td>11:35</td>
<td>78.78934</td>
<td>137.3925</td>
<td>20111292</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79.07802</td>
<td>136.349</td>
<td>20111293</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00031.EDF</td>
<td>04-Sep-2021</td>
<td>13:44</td>
<td>79.07802</td>
<td>136.349</td>
<td>20111293</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77.49234</td>
<td>140.1114</td>
<td>20111294</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00032.EDF</td>
<td>05-Sep-2021</td>
<td>17:58</td>
<td>77.49234</td>
<td>140.1114</td>
<td>20111294</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77.15363</td>
<td>141.575</td>
<td>20111295</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00033.EDF</td>
<td>06-Sep-2021</td>
<td>03:33</td>
<td>77.15363</td>
<td>141.575</td>
<td>20111295</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76.75488</td>
<td>137.5874</td>
<td>16016726</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00034.EDF</td>
<td>06-Sep-2021</td>
<td>11:51</td>
<td>76.75488</td>
<td>137.5874</td>
<td>16016726</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76.32375</td>
<td>137.2629</td>
<td>16016730</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00035.EDF</td>
<td>06-Sep-2021</td>
<td>19:51</td>
<td>76.32375</td>
<td>137.2629</td>
<td>16016730</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76.18095</td>
<td>138.5095</td>
<td>16016727</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00036.EDF</td>
<td>06-Sep-2021</td>
<td>21:10</td>
<td>76.18095</td>
<td>138.5095</td>
<td>16016727</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75.49089</td>
<td>140.1007</td>
<td>16016793</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5_00037.EDF</td>
<td>07-Sep-2021</td>
<td>04:03</td>
<td>75.49089</td>
<td>140.1007</td>
<td>16016793</td>
<td>XCTD-3</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameters. Ended up with "MK21/Ethernet DAQ" on Manual Selection which worked, but for the last cast we had it working using the MAC address and couldn't connect this way again.

Stopped ship, 90% ice; broke due to ship drifting backwards.

Stopped ship, 90% ice; touched piece of ice for last ~150m of cast.

C3_00022.EDF 01-Sep-2021 00:20 78.1623 151.591 20058171 XCTD-1 283

C3_00023.EDF 01-Sep-2021 00:25 78.16333 151.5919 20058172 XCTD-1 1100

C3_00024.EDF 01-Sep-2021 10:58 78.65267 151.4007 16016725 XCTD-3 1000

C3_00025.EDF 01-Sep-2021 20:11 79.21488 148.0114 20058173 XCTD-1 1047

a little late for half-way but thought it was worth doing anyway... coming up to small pool, otherwise mostly ice covered (95%), < 5knts just keeping ahead so the ice stays off the stern.

ITP recovery site; very cold wind.

Started at 9kts but slowed down to 3kts. A giant box of ice came in the way when slowing down.

Ship stopped in a pan of ice, too much ice coming from the bow... Broke early.

Nice pool for second try. Slow speed.

aborted first attempt, too much ice. Went a bit further until a pool, dropped speed to 4knts and coasted through pool.

in a puddle, about 7 knts - lots of ice otherwise! The ship ended up stopping half-way through the cast, loads of ice came in behind the stern, but the wire didn't break so made it to bottom.

5kn, snowing, cold AF, drifting over lots of ice. The XCTD may have gotten caught on ice near 500m and dangled for the duration of the cast?

12-13knts, still cold, heading into an open pool.

15 kn, clear puddle without ice.

15.2 kn, open water.

15.1 kn, open water.
C5_00038.EDF  07-Sep-2021 11:46  74.5096  140.0063  16016785  XCTD-3  1000  16.6 kn, pool of water in between ice
C5_00039.EDF  08-Sep-2021 13:07  74.17261  141.3442  16016786  XCTD-3  195  Flying! >13 kns. Broke at 194m, too much ice
C3_00040.edf  08-Sep-2021 13:11  74.16857  141.3086  20111296  XCTD-1  1100  0 kts. Forgot to switch the probe type to XCTD1 in WinMK21. Cast went all the way done none the less. Corrected file after the fact.
C3_00041.edf  09-Sep-2021 02:23  74.16812  138.5243  20111297  XCTD-1  1100  ~10kn, 40% ice, wrong probe type entered in WinMK21 (XCTD-3 instead of XCTD-1). Corrected probe type after cast finished.
C3_00042.EDF  09-Sep-2021 04:42  74.32525  137.0119  20111298  XCTD-1  1084  10.2 kn no ice
C5_00043.EDF  09-Sep-2021 18:18  73.96439  134.8843  16016787  XCTD-3  1000  14.9kn, open pool, slowed down when got back into ice
C3_00044.EDF  10-Sep-2021 02:19  73.50921  132.5381  20111299  XCTD-1  1100  6kn, <10% ice
C3_00045.EDF  10-Sep-2021 10:23  73.12379  132.0639  20111300  XCTD-1  1100  7kn, open water, beautiful sky
C3_00046.EDF  10-Sep-2021 13:08  72.74644  133.0153  20121373  XCTD-1  1100  6-8kn, lots of ice but found a pool. Beautiful sunrise!!
C5_00047.EDF  10-Sep-2021 21:11  72.59266  135.1488  16016790  XCTD-1  1000  13kn, 5% ice, [FOR]GOT TO SWITCH XCTD TYPE AGAIN!! :'( (2021-11-09 SZ Renamed file to C5_00047.rdf and .edf)
C5_00048.EDF  11-Sep-2021 06:42  73.17818  137.1375  16016789  XCTD-3  1000  13kn, open water
C5_00049.EDF  11-Sep-2021 12:45  73.22778  138.997  16016788  XCTD-3  1000  13kn ts, open water
C5_00050.EDF  11-Sep-2021 20:14  72.44038  140.1102  16016792  XCTD-3  657  15.3kn, ice
C5_00051.EDF  13-Sep-2021 04:02  70.59602  136.9868  16016791  XCTD-3  869  15.4 kns, open water, light wind, hit the bottom
C5_00052.EDF  13-Sep-2021 06:03  70.88385  135.7324  16016794  XCTD-3  779  15.4 kns, open water, hit the bottom
C5_00053.EDF  13-Sep-2021 08:43  71.18267  134.4194  16016795  XCTD-3  404  9.9kn, open waters, broken before bottom.
C5_00054.EDF  13-Sep-2021 11:21  71.46772  133.1843  16016796  XCTD-3  1000  15kn, open water

Table 13. XCTD cast deployment locations for CCGS Sir Wilfrid Lauier in support of the JOIS/BGOS program (Cruise ID 2021-003 DFO-IOS).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Datestamp</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Pressure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C3_00006.edf</td>
<td>19-Sep-2021</td>
<td>01:19</td>
<td>72.0563</td>
<td>150.7863</td>
<td>19,018,685</td>
<td>72.0563</td>
<td>150.7863</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1,099.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00007.edf</td>
<td>19-Sep-2021</td>
<td>04:50</td>
<td>72.3507</td>
<td>152.6341</td>
<td>19,018,686</td>
<td>72.3507</td>
<td>152.6341</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>1,099.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00008.edf</td>
<td>19-Sep-2021</td>
<td>08:30</td>
<td>72.6459</td>
<td>154.6896</td>
<td>19,018,688</td>
<td>72.6459</td>
<td>154.6896</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>926.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00009.edf</td>
<td>19-Sep-2021</td>
<td>11:48</td>
<td>72.9219</td>
<td>156.6891</td>
<td>19,018,689</td>
<td>72.9219</td>
<td>156.6891</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>912.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00010.edf</td>
<td>19-Sep-2021</td>
<td>15:31</td>
<td>73.2453</td>
<td>158.9313</td>
<td>20,111,231</td>
<td>73.2453</td>
<td>158.9313</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>893.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3_00011.edf</td>
<td>19-Sep-2021</td>
<td>18:04</td>
<td>73.5911</td>
<td>160.7189</td>
<td>20,112,232</td>
<td>73.5911</td>
<td>160.7189</td>
<td>XCTD-1</td>
<td>981.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3.3 Zooplankton – Vertical Bongo Net Hauls

Table 14. Zooplankton vertical bongo net hauls.
Summary of samples taken at each station. At each station 2 samples were collected using net mesh size 150 and 236 μm. The 236 μm samples were preserved in 95% ethanol, while the 150 μm samples were preserved in buffered formalin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Event #</th>
<th>CTD #</th>
<th>Date (UTC)</th>
<th>Time (UTC)</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Longitude (°W)</th>
<th>Net Mesh (μm)</th>
<th>Bottom Depth (m)</th>
<th>Wire angle (°)</th>
<th>RBR depth (m)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22-Aug-21</td>
<td>00:30</td>
<td>70.5482</td>
<td>122.8788</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24-Aug-21</td>
<td>13:28</td>
<td>72.6015</td>
<td>144.6935</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3433</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Some ice, need bubler on during next cast, wire under ship, difficult to see on retrieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25-Aug-21</td>
<td>07:29</td>
<td>71.5167</td>
<td>151.5750</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1141.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Check TSK - Darryl Tyler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25-Aug-21</td>
<td>13:11</td>
<td>71.3513</td>
<td>151.9478</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3 x 250 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25-Aug-21</td>
<td>18:52</td>
<td>71.6832</td>
<td>151.1330</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2092</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3 x 250 ml, removed large jelly not able to rinse everything out of 150 micron bongo net (very mucky), 4 x 250 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26-Aug-21</td>
<td>00:53</td>
<td>71.9552</td>
<td>150.2948</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3723</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2 x 250 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26-Aug-21</td>
<td>09:01</td>
<td>72.5013</td>
<td>149.9940</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3723</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Check TSK before next bongo cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26-Aug-21</td>
<td>15:16</td>
<td>72.9977</td>
<td>150.0303</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3740</td>
<td>~0 ish</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>no angle on way down, but wire angled beneath hull on the way up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27-Aug-21</td>
<td>04:10</td>
<td>74.0067</td>
<td>149.9917</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3821</td>
<td>~0 ish</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Check TSK before next bongo cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28-Aug-21</td>
<td>14:07</td>
<td>75.0020</td>
<td>149.9979</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3823</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29-Aug-21</td>
<td>09:36</td>
<td>75.3077</td>
<td>153.2607</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29-Aug-21</td>
<td>09:36</td>
<td>75.3077</td>
<td>153.2607</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30-Aug-21</td>
<td>21:48</td>
<td>77.9975</td>
<td>149.8707</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30-Aug-21</td>
<td>21:48</td>
<td>77.9975</td>
<td>149.8707</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31-Aug-21</td>
<td>05:19</td>
<td>77.6814</td>
<td>146.7292</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31-Aug-21</td>
<td>05:19</td>
<td>77.6814</td>
<td>146.7292</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1-Sep-21</td>
<td>05:47</td>
<td>78.2900</td>
<td>153.2505</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1-Sep-21</td>
<td>05:47</td>
<td>78.2900</td>
<td>153.2505</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2-Sep-21</td>
<td>06:27</td>
<td>79.0033</td>
<td>149.8750</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2-Sep-21</td>
<td>06:27</td>
<td>79.0033</td>
<td>149.8750</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4-Sep-21</td>
<td>03:04</td>
<td>78.0010</td>
<td>139.8207</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4-Sep-21</td>
<td>03:04</td>
<td>78.0010</td>
<td>139.8207</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5-Sep-21</td>
<td>04:23</td>
<td>79.2677</td>
<td>135.6967</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5-Sep-21</td>
<td>04:23</td>
<td>79.2677</td>
<td>135.6967</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6-Sep-21</td>
<td>00:38</td>
<td>76.0000</td>
<td>139.9712</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6-Sep-21</td>
<td>00:38</td>
<td>76.0000</td>
<td>139.9712</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8-Sep-21</td>
<td>01:14</td>
<td>73.9963</td>
<td>140.0733</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8-Sep-21</td>
<td>01:14</td>
<td>73.9963</td>
<td>140.0733</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9-Sep-21</td>
<td>08:47</td>
<td>74.3120</td>
<td>143.0687</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9-Sep-21</td>
<td>08:47</td>
<td>74.3120</td>
<td>143.0687</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9-Sep-21</td>
<td>08:54</td>
<td>74.5005</td>
<td>135.4245</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9-Sep-21</td>
<td>08:54</td>
<td>74.5005</td>
<td>135.4245</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9-Sep-21</td>
<td>21:51</td>
<td>73.5018</td>
<td>134.2512</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9-Sep-21</td>
<td>21:51</td>
<td>73.5018</td>
<td>134.2512</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10-Sep-21</td>
<td>05:44</td>
<td>73.4988</td>
<td>130.9042</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10-Sep-21</td>
<td>05:44</td>
<td>73.4988</td>
<td>130.9042</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10-Sep-21</td>
<td>17:53</td>
<td>72.3472</td>
<td>134.0258</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10-Sep-21</td>
<td>17:53</td>
<td>72.3472</td>
<td>134.0258</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11-Sep-21</td>
<td>02:32</td>
<td>72.9060</td>
<td>135.9840</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11-Sep-21</td>
<td>02:32</td>
<td>72.9060</td>
<td>135.9840</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11-Sep-21</td>
<td>15:29</td>
<td>73.0014</td>
<td>140.0251</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11-Sep-21</td>
<td>15:29</td>
<td>73.0014</td>
<td>140.0251</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>11-Sep-21</td>
<td>23:15</td>
<td>71.9998</td>
<td>140.0023</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>11-Sep-21</td>
<td>23:15</td>
<td>71.9998</td>
<td>140.0023</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12-Sep-21</td>
<td>04:07</td>
<td>71.5833</td>
<td>140.0050</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12-Sep-21</td>
<td>04:07</td>
<td>71.5833</td>
<td>140.0050</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12-Sep-21</td>
<td>10:05</td>
<td>71.0018</td>
<td>140.0067</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Needed to bubble before coming up to get ice away, extra water passed through gauges as net bounced a bit at surface coming in, very windy

Went to 120m to fix loop in wire spool

Lots of wind during recovery, so only partially rinsed (1 per side of net)

Saw jar was broken for FORM sample, switched from small to large jar but did not add extra formalin (so large
jar only has 12.5 ml; of Form), although extra seawater was added

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12-Sep-21</td>
<td>10:05</td>
<td>71.0018</td>
<td>140.0067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>12-Sep-21</td>
<td>13:03</td>
<td>70.8097</td>
<td>140.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>12-Sep-21</td>
<td>13:03</td>
<td>70.8097</td>
<td>140.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>12-Sep-21</td>
<td>15:35</td>
<td>70.5708</td>
<td>140.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>12-Sep-21</td>
<td>15:35</td>
<td>70.5708</td>
<td>140.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>12-Sep-21</td>
<td>18:40</td>
<td>70.3997</td>
<td>139.9935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>12-Sep-21</td>
<td>18:40</td>
<td>70.3997</td>
<td>139.9935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12-Sep-21</td>
<td>20:29</td>
<td>70.2255</td>
<td>140.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12-Sep-21</td>
<td>20:29</td>
<td>70.2255</td>
<td>140.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>13-Sep-21</td>
<td>14:12</td>
<td>71.7767</td>
<td>131.8800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>13-Sep-21</td>
<td>14:12</td>
<td>71.7767</td>
<td>131.8800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.4 Mooring Operations

Table 15. Mooring recovery and deployment summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mooring Name</th>
<th>Surveyed 2018 Location</th>
<th>2021 Recovery</th>
<th>2021 Deployment</th>
<th>2021 Location</th>
<th>Deployment Bottom Depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BGOS-A</td>
<td>75°00.0072N</td>
<td>27-AUG</td>
<td>28-AUG</td>
<td>75°00.009N</td>
<td>3823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150°00.0075W</td>
<td>19:28 UTC</td>
<td>17:54 UTC</td>
<td>149°59.985W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGOS-B</td>
<td>78°00.3299N</td>
<td>30-AUG</td>
<td>31-AUG</td>
<td>77°58.9586N</td>
<td>3824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>149°57.8486W</td>
<td>15:16 UTC</td>
<td>21:14 UTC</td>
<td>150°03.5947W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGOS-D</td>
<td>74°00.1878N</td>
<td>7-SEP</td>
<td>8-SEP</td>
<td>73°59.6065N</td>
<td>3523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140°00.1198W</td>
<td>17:03 UTC</td>
<td>22:51 UTC</td>
<td>140°02.5639W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.5 Ice Based Observatory (Buoy) Operations

IBO: Ice-Based Observatory; ITP: Ice-tethered Profiler; SIMB: Seasonal Ice Mass Balance Buoy; AOFB: Arctic Ocean Flux Buoy, SAMI: pCO2 system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IBO</th>
<th>ITP / Buoy System</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ITP 124 (open water deployment)</td>
<td>1-SEP</td>
<td>79°12.913N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23:08 UTC</td>
<td>147°57.202W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Buoy recovery summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery</th>
<th>Buoy</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ITP119 (deployed 2019)</td>
<td>3-Sep</td>
<td>78° 13.34 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03:45 UTC</td>
<td>145° 17.98 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ITP112 (deployed 2019)</td>
<td>9-Sep</td>
<td>74° 11.73 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02:38</td>
<td>135° 26.59 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16. pCO2 and pH sensors summary (UMontana)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement system</th>
<th>Instrument IDs</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underway infrared-equilibrator pCO₂</td>
<td>SUPER (Sunburst Sensors)</td>
<td>Entire cruise track (see IOS report in this document)</td>
<td>8/20/2021 - 9/14/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITP SAMI-CO₂ w/ DO sensor and PAR</td>
<td>WHOI ITP 127, SAMI-CO₂ (C207)</td>
<td>First on-ice ITP deployment, CO2 ~ 4.5 m depth, (see WHOI cruise report in this document)</td>
<td>9/2/2021 - present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITP SAMI-CO₂ w/ DO sensor and PAR</td>
<td>WHOI ITP 126, SAMI-CO₂ (C9u)</td>
<td>Second on-ice ITP deployment, CO2 ~ 4.5 m depth, (see WHOI cruise report in this document)</td>
<td>9/5/2021 - present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMI-CO₂ / SAMI-pH</td>
<td>CO₂ : C24u, pH : P66</td>
<td>BGOS-B mooring</td>
<td>8/31/2021 - present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMI-CO₂ / SAMI-pH</td>
<td>CO₂ : C86, pH : P87</td>
<td>BGOS-D mooring</td>
<td>9/8/2021 - present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4 CTD/Rosette Sensor Configuration

V0 = chlorophyll fluorometer
V1 = transmissometer
V2 = dissolved oxygen
V3 = altimeter
V4 = CDOM fluorometer
V5 = Optode (UserPolynomial)
V6 = Cosine PAR
V7 = Rinko III (UserPolynomial)

ROS 1: both the Optode and Rinko III were connected.
ROS 2: both the Optode and Rinko III were NOT connected (ends of y cable dummied off), but channels left in the configuration file so values will just be 0.
ROS 13: Rinko III put back on rosette (still on V7)

FDOM, Optode Y-cable changed out to a single cable for FDOM. Optode will not be used again and didn’t want any risk of leaks etc with the Y cable. Altimeter repositioned on frame but using same connections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CTD#</th>
<th>Make</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Serial#</th>
<th>Used with Rosette?</th>
<th>Casts Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>SeaBird</td>
<td>911+</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All Casts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>SeaBird</td>
<td>911+</td>
<td>724</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not used, backup.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calibration and Accuracy Information CTD #756 PRIMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Pre-Cruise</th>
<th>Post Cruise</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>S/N</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Sensor</td>
<td>91164</td>
<td>26 Feb 2010</td>
<td>SeaBird Lab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, SBE3plus</td>
<td>4397</td>
<td>26 Jan 2021</td>
<td>SeaBird Lab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity, SBE4C</td>
<td>2992</td>
<td>28 Jan 2021</td>
<td>SeaBird Lab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pump, SBE5T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Pre-Cruise</th>
<th>Post Cruise</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Temp., SBE3plus</td>
<td>4402</td>
<td>Nominal ± 0.001 °C</td>
<td>30 Dec 2020</td>
<td>SeaBird Lab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Cond., SBE4C</td>
<td>2984</td>
<td>Nominal 0.003 mS/cm</td>
<td>28 Jan 2021</td>
<td>SeaBird Lab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Pump, SBE5T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calibration and Accuracy Information, External Sensors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Pre-Cruise</th>
<th>Post Cruise</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBE 43 Dissolved Oxygen sensor</td>
<td>1489</td>
<td></td>
<td>13 Aug 2019</td>
<td>SeaBird Lab</td>
<td>CTD Voltage Channel 2 On Primary pump;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datasonics Altimeter, Benthos</td>
<td>PSA-916D, 62670</td>
<td></td>
<td>28 May 2014</td>
<td>Benthos</td>
<td>CTD Voltage Channel 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seapoint Fluorometer (Chl-a)</td>
<td>SCF 2341, 30x gain</td>
<td>Or is this sn 2841?</td>
<td>17 Jun 2019</td>
<td>Seapoint</td>
<td>CTD Voltage Channel 0 On Secondary Pump;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlabs Transmissometer</td>
<td>C-Star CST-1047DR</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 May 2021</td>
<td>IOS (In-house bench test)</td>
<td>CTD Voltage Channel 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WETLabs ECO CDOM</td>
<td>6677</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Mar 2021</td>
<td>WETLabs</td>
<td>CTD Voltage Channel 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satlantic Cosine Log PAR</td>
<td>517</td>
<td></td>
<td>25 Jun 2014</td>
<td>Satlantic</td>
<td>CTD Voltage Channel 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biospherical Surface PAR</td>
<td>20498</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Apr 2016</td>
<td>Biospherical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Deck Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>make</th>
<th>model</th>
<th>serial</th>
<th>comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deck Unit</td>
<td>Seabird</td>
<td>11plus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Used for All Casts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deck Unit</td>
<td>Seabird</td>
<td>11plus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rosette Pylons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>make</th>
<th>model</th>
<th>serial</th>
<th>comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Sampler Carousel</td>
<td>Seabird</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1231</td>
<td>Used for All Casts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Sampler Carousel</td>
<td>Seabird</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TSG Seabird SBE21 sn 3297

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Pre-Cruise</th>
<th>Post Cruise</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seabird TSG SBE21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>S/N</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seabird TSG SBE21</td>
<td>3297</td>
<td>10-Dec-2020</td>
<td>SeaBird Lab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Type</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seabird Temperature</td>
<td>SBE-38</td>
<td>SeaBird Lab</td>
<td>0319</td>
<td>30 Dec 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SeaBird Lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seapoint Chlorophyll Fluorometer</td>
<td>SCF3651</td>
<td>Seapoint</td>
<td>Jun 2014</td>
<td>30x gain cable (0 to 5V = 0 to 5mg/mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlabs ECO CDOM Fluorometer</td>
<td>WSCD-1281</td>
<td>Wetlabs</td>
<td>9 Jun 2011</td>
<td>Thorough cleaning pre-cruise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seabird specifications on sensors:

**SBE 3plus temperature sensor**
- Range: -5.0 to +35 °C
- Resolution: 0.0003 °C at 24 samples per second
- Initial Accuracy: ± 0.001 °C
- Response Time: 0.065 ± 0.010 (1.0 m/s water velocity)
- Self-heating Error: < 0.5 sec. to within 0.001 °C

**SBE4c conductivity sensor**
- Measurement Range: 0.0 to 7.0 Siemens/meter (S/m)
- Settling Time: 0.7 seconds to within 0.0001 S/m
- Initial Accuracy: 0.0003 S/m
- Stability: 0.0003 S/m/month
- Time Response: 0.060 seconds (pumped)

**Digiquartz pressure sensor**
- Measurement Range: 0 to 6800m (10,000 psi)
- Accuracy: 0.018% of full scale
- Resolution (at 24 Hz): Pressure 0.001% of full scale
- Time Response: Pressure 0.015 second
5.5 Seawater Loop Measurements
Details on set-up, operation, instruments and performance are below.

5.5.1 Seawater Loop

The ship’s seawater loop system draws seawater from below the ship’s hull at 9 m using a 3” Moyno Progressive Cavity pump Model #2L6SSQ3SAA, driven by a geared motor. The current pump was installed August, 2016. The pump rated flow rate is 10 GPM. It supplies seawater to the TSG lab, a small lab just off the main lab where a manifold distributes the seawater to instruments and sampling locations. This system allows measurements to be made of the sea surface water without having to stop the ship for sampling. The water is as unaltered as possible coming directly from outside of the hull through stainless steel piping without recirculation in a sea-chest.

Figure 19. Seawater loop system in 2020, includes the new FDOM sensor – similar for 2021. The seawater loop provides uncontaminated seawater from 9m depth to the science lab for underway measurements.
Figure 20. TSG manifold and water supply manifold (2019, similar for 2021).

Figure 21. The Moyno pump installed in the engine room (2021).
Seawater passes through a filter before going to the pump (in background). When the ship is in sea-ice the flow is switched from one filter to the other to allow the necessary frequent clearing out of slush from the filter. This picture is from a previous year but is the same strainer configuration for 2021.

Control of the pump from the lab is via a panel with on/off switch and a Honeywell controller. The Honeywell allows setting a target pressure, feedback parameters and limits on pump output.

On one of the seawater manifold arms is a Kate’s mechanical flow rate controller followed by a vortex debubbler, installed inline to remove bubbles in the supply to the SBE-21 thermosalinograph (TSG).

The SBE38 Inlet Temperature is connected to the TSG remotely. It is installed in-line, approximately 4m from pump at intake in the engine room. This is the measurement to use for sea-surface temperature (as opposed to the TSG’s lab temperature).
Figure 24. SBE38 temperature sensor in the engine room. This picture is from a previous year and during the winter refit 2016-2017 changes were made to the plumbing but essentially this is the same configuration.

The fluorometer and CDOM sensors were plumbed off a second manifold output. No debubbling or extra flow controls were in place.

The data were collected through SeaBird’s Seasave acquisition program v Seasave V 7.26.7.107 onto a laptop using a serial to usb adapter cable. GPS was provided to the SBE-21 data stream using the NMEA from PC option rather than the interface box. A 5 second sample rate was recorded.

The computer used the ship’s science LAN to pass ship’s GPS for integration into sensor files, to pass the SBE38 (inlet temperature) data from the engine room to the TSG instrument, and to pass the TSG and SBE38 data to the ship’s data collection system (SCS). The software program GPSgate was used to facilitate the conversion between USB, TCP/IP, and virtual and real communication ports.

On a third arm of the manifold, an automated system for measurements of pCO2 from the seawater and atmosphere was used. This year’s measurements were made with an infrared equilibrator-based system (SUPER-CO2, Sunburst Sensors) owned by Mike DeGrandpre (UMontana) and operated onboard by Cory Beatty. Data were recorded through the cruise with discreet DIC, Alkalinity water samples drawn for comparison. For more information please see their report.

**Flow rate was measured manually**

Using the Honeywell controller, pressure set point was 18.3 PSI.

Measured flow rates to the sensors were approximately:
TSG

Fluorometer pair

Not measured

3.9 L/min

**Water samples**

Discrete water samples for salinity, nutrients, DIC, Alkalinity, Chlorophyll and FDOM were collected from the fluorometer line. Samples were assigned a consecutive “Loop” number which was unique by time, i.e. if 4 different properties were measured at the same time they received the same Loop number.

**5.5.2 Issues with the underway system and data**

**TSG Flow Rate**
Flow rate can often vary due to sea-ice clogging the strainer at the ship’s sea-water inlet, or pump malfunction. There were very few times the flow clogged or was interrupted this year, even though we had a good number of days in snow covered ice.

**Sea Water Pump and TSG data**
Notes are recorded primarily in the TSG Log Book which has been copied to

*2021 TSG Log with CNV and Sample data v2021-11-03.xlsx*

Highlights below:

Aug 20th

05:05, not far from Cambridge Bay, NU. TSG-2021-08-20-0505 first good file.

Sep 13th

22:00 UTC Ship’s power cycled (planned) however didn’t notice GPSGate had lost navigation feed until an hour later. Didn’t realize the SBE38 feed was hung until the next day. In the processing (Oct 27 2021) these bad values were replaced from the SCS data stream.

Sep 15th

15:20 The TSG and Seasave turned off just after ship anchored off of Cambridge Bay.

Manifold is configured with four outlet arms:

- One going to TSG
- One going to pCO2 system
- One going to Fluorometer SN3651 w/ 30x gain and then to CDOM fluorometer SN1281.
- One going to new FDOM sensor

See processing report for file names and processing steps applied to TSG data:

*2021 LSSL Converting TSG data v2021-11-15.docx*

**Settings:**

TSG SBE21 SN 3297 calibrated 10 Dec 2020
SBE38 SN319 Temperature calibrated 30 Dec 2020
Seapoint Flr #3651 with 30x gain calibrated Jun 2014
WETLabs Flr #1281 for CDOM, calibrated 9 Jun 2011
Computer: laptop Pteropod D2020-02

NMEA Com # w/ “Time Added” box checked
SBE38 via internet using Com # USB to serial to null modem to cable to TSG unit with virtual Com # for testing.
Pump set to 18.3 PV

New for 2021:
Chl-a and FDOM sensors were plumbed so they can be calibrated at sea using Sprite or rhodomene dye. Celine and Nicolas attempted this however the funnel needs to attached securely to allow more flow through the sensors.

For 2022:
Repair the cable or TSG bulkhead connector that is causing Chl Fluorometer value to drop to 0 when cable/bulkhead is handled.

5.6 Logging of Underway measurements with SCS
P.I.s: Bill Williams, Celine Gueguen (USherbrooke), Mike DeGrandpre (UMontana)

This section describes measurements taken at frequent regular intervals continuously throughout the cruise that are logged by NOAA’s “Shipboard Computer System” (SCS) software running on the science server. These measurements include:

1. GPS from the ship’s Furuno GPS, using NMEA strings $GPGGA and $GPRMC. These are the same GPS sentences, available on the science VLAN, being used by CTD, XCTD and TSG systems.

2. AVOS weather observations of air temperature, humidity, wind speed and direction, and barometric pressure ($AVRTE)

3. Sounder depth and the applied ship’s draft and sound speed

4. Surface Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR)

5. Thermosalinograph (TSG), and the inlet sea surface temperature from the SBE38 that is also given in the TSG data stream.

Not recorded this year as has been in past years:
1. Heading from the ship's Gyro ($HEHDT) – Gyro feed not initially available and not pursued.

2. Data from the FDOM fluorometer in the seawater loop (FDOM) – Effort not put into generating SCS file as data were already being collected.

3. Derived true wind speed calculated in SCS – w/out Heading, not calculated this year. I believe true wind speed available in AVOS data set.
5.6.1 SCS Data Collection System

This system takes data arriving via the ship’s science network (a VLAN) in variable formats and time intervals and stores it in a uniform ASCII format that includes a time stamp.

Note the AVOS, TSG, FDOM and PAR data are also logged through their own acquisition software.

The SCS system, running on a shipboard computer called the “NOAA server” or “science server” collects *.Raw files. The files typically contain a day’s worth of data, restarting at 1 minute past midnight. Each sentence logged in a .Raw file is also parsed for data fields of interest, and the values extracted, labelled and stored in the SCS database. The compress utility can be used on these extracted data to create files from a single data file for one sentence for the entire cruise.

The list of *.Raw files and fields within the data string are given below for 2020 but are similar for 2021:

**Position, Time, Date, Speed and Course over ground - $GPRMC**

File: RMC_* .Raw
Time interval 1 second

Description of *.Raw file string, example file: RMC_20200910-214857.Raw
09/10/2020,21:48:58.578,$GPRMC,214427.00,A,7238.52537,N,07151.97735,W,15.051,310.9,100920,999.9,E,D*10
09/10/2020,21:48:59.999,$GPRMC,214428.00,A,7238.52807,N,07151.98798,W,15.050,310.2,100920,999.9,E,D*13

Sentence fields:
- Date MM/DD/YYY (timestamp from SCS)
- Time HH:MM:SS.SSS (timestamp from SCS)
- “$GPRMC”
- Time HHMMSS.SS
- Status A= Active, V=Navigation receiver warning
- Latitude DDMM.MMMM
- Latitude N or S
- Longitude DDDMM.MMM
- Longitude E or W
- Speed over ground in knots
- Course over ground in degrees (True)
- Date DDMMYY
- Magnetic variation in degrees (999.9 = not valid)
- Variation E or W
- Mode indicator: A=Autonomous, D=Differential
- No comma before this field – checksum starting with *

Extracted and stored in the Database:
1. RMC-Time UTC
2. RMC-Latitude
3. RMC-Longitude
4. RMC-SOG
5. RMC-COG
6. RMC-Date
Position - $GPGGA$

File: GGA_*.*,Raw
Time interval 10 second

Description of *.*.Raw file string, example file: GGA_20200909-160350.Raw

09/09/2020,16:03:52.7,$GPGGA,155920.0,6642.04389,N,06103.44820,W,2,08,1.0,16.8,M,18.5,M,7.0,0 138*50
09/09/2020,16:04:02.996,$GPGGA,155931.0,6642.08959,N,06103.44817,W,2,08,1.0,16.9,M,18.5,M,6.0,0 138*5F

Sentence fields:

1) Date MM/DD/YYYY (timestamp from SCS)
2) Time HH:MM:SS.SSS (timestamp from SCS)
3) “GPGGA”
4) Time HHMMSS.S
5) Latitude DDMM.MMM
6) Latitude N or S
7) Longitude DDDMM.MMM
8) Longitude E or W
9) Fix type: 0=invalid position, 1=autonomous GPS, 2=DGPS
10) Number of satellites used
11) Horizontal dilution of precision
12) Height of the geoid
13) M (units of height)
14) Age of correction data for DGPS in seconds
15) Correction station ID number
16) No comma before this field – checksum starting with *

Extracted and stored in the Database:
1. GGA-Quality (#9 above)
2. GGA-Satellite Count
3. GGA-Age of data

Depth – “Sounder”

Depth is measured using the 3.5, 12 or 30kHz transducers using a new for 2018 Knudsen CHIRP 3260 Echosounder, labeled “Science”. The CHS/NRCAN-purchased CHIRP 3260 was not used. The depth value has been increased by the ship’s draft for each transducer. The depth is calculated using a specified sound speed. Both the draft and nominal sound speed variables are set by the user in the Knudsen software. Nominal sound speed is the average of the water column sound speed. To improve accuracy post-cruise, a new sound speed based on the CTD data could be applied. The currently applied draft and sound speed are given in the data string.

Time interval depends on ping rate, but in practice is between 5 and 7 seconds. The sounder worked well on station once the system was properly connected although in the southern section of the 150W and 140W the sounder did not work well even though the depth was similar.

It was determined if the ship’s “fishfinder” is on, there is interference with the 12kHz system.

Sounder data is more problematic than other types collected by SCS. 0.0 values are reported when the sounder does not detect bottom. It will report values that to the eye judging the visual echogram are clearly
incorrect; any values less than 35m or values that either double or halve those nearby should likely be discarded. In areas with steep bathymetry the sounder will often report incorrect values from side reflections of deeper or shallower water – these artefacts can be difficult to filter out.

File: Knudsen-Sounder_*.Raw

Description of *.Raw file string
Knudsen-Sounder_20200921-001000.Raw
09/21/2020,00:11:32.929,Sounder,21092020,001435,,,,12.0kHz,3750.71,9.00,,,,1479
09/21/2020,00:11:43.929,Sounder,21092020,001448,,,,12.0kHz,3750.84,9.00,,,,1479

Sentence fields:
1) Date MM/DD/YYYY (timestamp from SCS)
2) Time HH:MM:SS.SSS (timestamp from SCS)
3) “Sounder”
4) Date UTC: DDMMYYYY
5) Time UTC: hhmmss
6) Sounder frequency (3.5kHz)
7) Depth (3.5kHz)
8) Applied draft (3.5kHz)
9) Sounder frequency (12kHz)
10) Depth (12kHz)
11) Applied draft (12kHz)
12) Sounder frequency (30kHz)
13) Depth (30kHz)
14) Applied draft (30kHz)
15) Soundspeed m/s

Extracted and stored in the Database:
1. Knudsen-Sounder-3.5kHzDepth
2. Knudsen-Sounder-3.5kHzTD
3. Knudsen-Sounder-12kHzDepth
4. Knudsen-Sounder-12kHzTD
5. Knudsen-Sounder-30kHzDepth
6. Knudsen-Sounder-30kHzTD
7. Knudsen-Sounder-NominalSoundSpeed

Meteorological data from AVOS (Automatic Voluntary Observing Ships System) - $AVRTE
The AVOS system is mounted above the bridge and is operated and serviced annually by Environment Canada. The temperature/relative humidity sensor and The RM Young mechanical anemometer are mounted on the starboard side, about 4m above the bridge-top (approx. 25m above sea-level).
Note that the ship’s gyro feed is not connected to AVOS so the compass being used for relative to apparent calculation is the AVOS fluxgate compass and should thus be avoided if possible. SCS does a relative to true wind calculation, using the gyro heading and SOG and this is described below.
Barometer – not sure where this is mounted.
Time interval is 10 sec

File: AVOS-serial-AVRTE_*.Raw
Description of *.Raw file string
AVOS-serial-AVRTE_20200915-001000.Raw
09/15/2020,00:10:10.605,$AVRTE,200915,001014,00840,CGBN,24.9,322,181,,,,1018.60,,1.9,60,,,,5.0,,,141.7,13.3*45
09/15/2020,00:10:21.199,$AVRTE,200915,001024,00840,CGBN,24.4,321,181,,,,1018.84,2.0,60,,,,24.7,,,140.8,13.4*75
Sentence fields:
1. Date MM/DD/YYYY (timestamp from SCS)
2. Time HH:MM:SS.SSS (timestamp from SCS)
3. “AVRTE”
4. Date UTC: YYMMDD
5. Time UTC: hhmms
6. Region?
7. Ship’s Call Sign
8. Relative wind speed, knots
9. Apparent wind direction, degrees true north
10. Relative wind direction, degrees where ship’s bow is “North”
11. Space for 2nd wind sensor, not installed
12. Space for 2nd wind sensor, not installed
13. Space for 2nd wind sensor, not installed
14. Barometric pressure, Mbar (same as mmhg)
15. Space for 2nd barometer, not installed
16. Air temperature, degrees C
17. Relative Humidity, %
18. Space for 2nd temperature sensor
19. Space for 2nd humidity sensor
20. Space for Sea Surface Temperature, degrees C (this is NOT the same as the sea water loop TSG intake reading – different source)
21. Wind gusts, knots
22. Blank space for 2nd wind sensor gust
23. Heading (SHEHDT) direction, “Compass 1”, degrees (not active)
24. AVOS fluxgate compass direction, “Compass 2”, degrees
25. AVOS battery voltage
26. No comma before this field – checksum starting with *

Extracted and stored in the Database:
1. AVOS-serial-AVRTE-date
2. AVOS-serial-AVRTE-time
3. AVOS-serial-AVRTE-wind speed
4. AVOS-serial-AVRTE-apparent wind
5. AVOS-serial-AVRTE-relative wind
6. AVOS-serial-AVRTE-barometric pressure
7. AVOS-serial-AVRTE-air temperature
8. AVOS-serial-AVRTE-relative humidity

Seawater Loop (TSG)
Sea surface properties from sea water loop. Intake is ~9m below waterline. Please separate TSG report section for description of TSG sensors.
Time interval is 5 seconds.

File: TSG-serial-*.*.Raw

Description of *.Raw file string
TSG-serial-*20200911-193215.Raw
09/11/2020,19:32:33.321, 1.58 1.36 30.741 27.035 0.380 0.37973
0.07204 255.811262
09/11/2020,19:32:38.321, 1.57 1.36 30.736 27.027 0.369 0.36874
0.07082 255.811319
1. Date MM/DD/YYYY (timestamp from SCS)
2. Time HH:MM:SS.SSS (timestamp from SCS)
3. Sea Surface Temperature in lab, Deg C
4. Sea Surface Temperature at intake, Deg C
5. Sea Surface Salinity, PSU
6. Sea Surface Conductivity in lab, mS/cm
7. Sea Surface Fluorescence (Chlorophyll-a), ug/L
8. Sea Surface Fluorescence (Chlorophyll-a) voltage, V
9. Sea Surface Wetlabs ECO CDOM Fluorometer voltage, V
10. Julian Day

Extracted and stored in the Database:
1. TSG-serial--T1
2. TSG-serial--T2
3. TSG-serial—Salinity
4. TSG-serial—Conductivity
5. TSG-serial—ChlFluorescence
6. TSG-serial--V0
7. TSG-serial--V1
8. TSG-serial--JulianDay

**Seawater Intake Temperature (SBE38)**

Sea surface temperature from sea water loop. Note this is the same temperature that appears in the TSG record. Intake is ~9m below waterline. Please see separate report for description of TSG sensors.

File: SBE-38-serial-port-*.Raw
Time interval is about 1 second.

Description of *.Raw file string
SBE-38-serialport-20201005-001000.Raw
10/05/2020,00:10:03.877, 3.3221
10/05/2020,00:10:14.343, 3.3265

Sentence fields:
1. Date MM/DD/YYYY (timestamp from SCS)
2. Time HH:MM:SS.SSS (timestamp from SCS)
3. Sea Surface Temperature at intake, Deg C

Extracted and stored in the Database:
1. TSG-serial--T1

**Surface PAR**

The continuous logging Biospherical Scalar PAR Sensor QSR2150A (S/N 50228, calibration date 21 June 2016), was mounted above the CTD operation area and next to the CTD surface reference PAR located mid-ship, starboard side, on railing two decks above the CTD (boat) deck with an unobstructed view over approximately 220deg. The blocked area is due mostly to the ship’s crane and smoke stack which are approximately 50 feet inboard, aft and forward of the sensor. The sensor logged data files independently and also reported data to the NOAA Server for logging through the SCS system (given here).

Logging and transfer of the PAR data froze numerous times during the cruise; it was restarted whenever noticed.

File: ASCII-PAR-serialport-*.Raw
Time interval is 10 second.

Description of *.RAW file string
Sentence fields:
1. Date MM/DD/YYYY (timestamp from SCS)
2. Time HH:MM:SS.SSS (timestamp from SCS)
3. “D” - not sure what this is, ignored
4. Surface PAR, uE/m2/sec (same as in CTD data)
5. Unknown
6. unknown

Extracted and stored in the Database:
1. ASCII-PAR-serialport-PAR

5.6.2 Issues with the underway system and data

All systems
No data Aug 30 14:40 to Sep 3 19:30 UTC. Although the independent systems (i.e. TSG, PAR) have data, the SCS files do not. Its not known what the problem was but could well be the GPSgate program was not distributing the feeds and this was not corrected for four days.

AVOS –
Previous years have had icing problems with the anemometer resulting in inaccurate wind speed. This year there was hoar frost accumulation in the colder wet days, so speed may have been reduced, but the anemometer was always free to spin and rotate.

The AVOS system did not have the ship’s Gyro data connected but instead used its fluxgate compass when calculating corrected windspeed and direction. Preferred True wind speed and direction are instead calculated by SCS and stored both in .Raw files and in the database, however this year there was no recorded ship’s Gyro.

Sounder –
It was determined that the 12kHz data are poor when the ship has its Skipper sounder turned on – although its at a higher frequency there is interference. During transits and operations in areas where the presence of bowhead whales was possible, the sounder intensity was turned down, or the sounder turned off between stations.

Gyro
The feed was not initially available and not pursued. The consequence with that the calculation of true wind speed will rely on the AVOS flux gate compass which is not as accurate.

FDOM fluorometer
Although this was added last year, we did not attempt to generate the SCS file this year as data were already being collected through the FDOM’s software.