How to Read a Map of Lagrangian Coherent Structures

A Tutorial

Helga S. Huntley, B. L. Lipphardt, Jr., A. D. Kirwan, Jr., Mohamed H. M. Sulman University of Delaware



LCS Map: Ocean Model Example

LCS = Lagrangian Coherent Structures

What is this?!?



Example based on a Gulf of Mexico implementation of HYCOM, run by NRL-Stennis.

What are LCS?

Lagrangian Coherent Structures, or LCS, partition a flow field into regions that undergo similar experiences. This may mean any of the following:

- Similar residence time within a region of interest
- Similar origin or fate
- Similar dispersion rates
- Etc.

Manifolds & Critical Trajectories



- The directions along which the flow converges, marked in blue, are *inflowing manifolds*.
- The directions along which the flow diverges, marked in red, are *outflowing manifolds*.
- The manifolds intersect at a *critical trajectory*.
- Manifolds are material curves: Nothing crosses them.

Manifolds & Dispersion



- Manifolds are difficult to find exactly, even with a perfectly known velocity field.
- Instead they are approximated with diagnostics that are easier to compute.
- Note: Particles that start near the inflowing manifold will separate quickly, while those ending near the outflowing manifold have come from disparate origins.
 - Areas around the inflowing manifold exhibit high dispersion in forward time; areas around outflowing manifolds exhibit high dispersion in backward time.

Dispersion & Lyapunov Exponents

- Lyapunov exponents are a mathematical tool to describe dispersion characteristics. They can be used to identify LCS.
- In the context of ocean flows, which are not defined in infinite time or space, the quantities studied are typically Finite Space Lyapunov Exponents (FSLEs) or Finite Time Lyapunov Exponents (FTLEs), also called Direct Lyapunov Exponents (DLEs).
- Fundamentally, both FTLEs and FSLEs measure separation time-scales of nearby particles.

FTLEs in a Simple Stationary Flow

Forward Time FTLE

Backward Time FTLE



Inflowing manifold

Outflowing manifold

Transport near LCS



Large stretching along outflowing manifold. Large differences in fates for nearby initializations near the inflowing manifold.

LCS in Time-Dependent Flows

• LCS given by ridges in FTLE fields still approximate manifolds and show dispersion patterns.

• Material will follow the *evolving* LCS.



Example from the Gulf of Mexico



Transport & LCS in an Ocean Flow



Transport & LCS Animation



Practical Application: Oil Spill



Black: Observed oil slick outline Green: Modeled oil slick outline Purple ovals: Hyperbolic regions, tracked from (a) to (b)

Results from Huntley et al., 2011.

Oil Spill Example: Analysis (I)



Stretching along **red** ridge, away from intersection with **blue** ridge Stretching to the SE was also observed;

thin tendrils in the NW may have evaporated or not been visible.

Oil Spill Example: Analysis (II)



leading to consolidation of the oil patch

Observations agree.

Oil Spill Example: Analysis (III)



- → High sensitivity to initial conditions
- \rightarrow High forecast uncertainty (& in this case error)

Summary

- Ridges in FTLE fields approximate manifolds.
- Forward-time FTLE ridges (inflowing manifolds) show high initial-condition sensitivity and forecast uncertainty. (Forecast uncertainty is even greater, since the FTLE map itself is based on models with their own uncertainties.)
- Backward-time FTLE ridges (outflowing manifolds) show directions of high stretching.
- Regions away from FTLE ridges are relatively quiescent.
- Edges of strong currents and eddies are typically marked by FTLE ridges.

Some Warnings

- FTLE (and FSLE) ridges are imperfect surrogates for the actual manifolds and, under certain conditions, do not align with the manifold structure and may permit material transport across them.
- For a complete picture, both forward-time and backwardtime calculations are needed. Especially the former may be subject to significant model forecast errors.
- Hyperbolic regions may lose their hyperbolicity over time, so that it is not always possible to track a ridge intersection over time.

Some References

- Branicki, M., and A. D. Kirwan Jr. (2010), Stirring: The Eckart paradigm revisited, Int. J. Eng. Sci., 48, 1027-1042, doi:10.1016/j.ijengsci.2010.08.003.
- Branicki, M., and S. Wiggins (2010), Finite-time Lagrangian transport analysis: Stable and unstable manifolds of hyperbolic trajectories and finite-time Lyapunov exponents, *Nonlin. Processes Geophys.*, 17(1), 1-36, doi:10.5194/npg-17-1-2010.
- Haller, G. (2002), Lagrangian coherent structures from approximate velocity data, *Phys. Fluids*, 14(6), 1851-1861, doi:10.1063/1. 1477449.
- Huntley, H. S., B. L. Lipphardt, Jr., and A. D. Kirwan, Jr. (2011), Surface drift predictions of the Deepwater Horizon Spill: The Lagrangian Perspective, in *Monitoring and Modeling the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill: A Record-Breaking Enterprise, Geophys. Monogr. Ser.*, doi:10.1029/2011GM001097.
- Kirwan, A. D., Jr. (2006), Dynamics of "critical" trajectories, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 70(2-4), 448-465, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2005.07.002.

Some References (cont'd)

- Mancho, A. M., D. Small, and S. Wiggins (2006), A tutorial on dynamical systems concepts applied to Lagrangian transport in oceanic flows defined as finite time data sets: Theoretical and computational issues, *Phys. Rep.*, 437 (3-4), 55-124, doi:10.1016/ j.physrep.2006.09.005.
- Shadden, S. C., F. Lekien, and J. E. Marsden (2005), Definition and properties of Lagrangian coherent structures from finite-time Lyapunov exponents in two-dimensional aperiodic flows, *Physica D*, 212(3-4), 271-304, doi:10.1016/j.physd. 2005.10.007.
- Shadden, S.C.: LCS Tutorial, http://mmae.iit.edu/shadden/LCS-tutorial
- Wiggins, S. (2005), The dynamical systems approach to Lagrangian transport in oceanic flows, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 37, 295-328, doi:10.1146/annurev.fluid. 37.061903.175815.