Resonance widths in 3D volume-preserving flows with symmetries subject to a small time-dependent perturbation

Irina I. Rypina and Larry J. Pratt

PO, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA

MURI Workshop, Feb 11-13, 2013

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

outline

- what is resonance width and why is it important
- formula for resonance width (what sets the width of a resonance)
- comparison between theory and numerical simulations

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

• time-dependent perturbation

- When a torus breaks up, tori within a certain resonant width are also destroyed
- Resonance width is the half-width of the islands that appear in the resonant layer, as measured in the change ΔI in the action variable from the center to the outer edge of the island: $I_{res} - \Delta I < I_{res} < I_{res} + \Delta I$
- Overlapping resonances lead to the destruction of all surfaces lying between the two resonant tori (Chirikov and Zaslavsky 1972; Chirikov 1972).
- We seek an analytical expression for ΔI
- An expression is known for 2D incompressible flows but not for 3D volume preserving flows

Resonance width: derivation

The trajectory equations for the perturbed flow can be written in action-angle-angle variables:

$$\begin{split} \dot{I} &= \epsilon F^{0}(I, \phi, \theta, \sigma t) \\ \dot{\phi} &= \Omega_{\phi}(I) + \epsilon F^{1}(I, \phi, \theta, \sigma t) \\ \dot{\theta} &= \Omega_{\theta}(I) + \epsilon F^{2}(I, \phi, \theta, \sigma t), \end{split}$$
 (1)

The perturbation $F^0(I, \phi, \theta, \sigma t)$ can be expanded in a Fourier series

$$F_0(I,\phi,\theta,\sigma t) = \sum_{n,m,l=-\infty}^{\infty} F_{nml}^0(I) \sin(n\phi + m\theta + l\sigma t + \alpha_{nml}).$$
(2)

The evolution of I along a trajectory is then given by

$$\dot{I} = \epsilon \sum_{n,m,l=-\infty}^{\infty} F_{nml}^{0}(I) \sin(n\phi + m\theta + l\sigma t + \alpha_{nml}).$$
(3)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - のへで

For flow in the vicinity of a particular torus $I = I_0 + \delta I$ the phase function

$$\eta_{nml}(t) = n\phi(t) + m\theta(t) + l\sigma t + \alpha_{nml} \approx (4)$$

$$n\phi(0) + m\theta(0) + \alpha_{nml} + t [n\Omega_{\phi}(I_{0}) + m\Omega_{\theta}(I_{0}) + I\sigma] + \leftarrow O((\delta I)^{0})$$

$$t \left[n \frac{\partial \Omega_{\phi}}{\partial I}(I_{0}) + m \frac{\partial \Omega_{\theta}}{\partial I}(I_{0}) \right] \delta I + \leftarrow O((\delta I)^{1})$$

$$O((\delta I)^{2}) + O(\epsilon).$$

If $n\Omega_{\phi} + m\Omega_{\theta} + I\sigma \neq 0$, η increases linearly in time, the corresponding term is sinusoidal, and δI oscillates but doesn't grow.

However, if $n\Omega_{\phi} + m\Omega_{\theta} + I\sigma = 0$, then to the lowest order $\eta \approx n\phi(0) + m\theta(0) + \alpha_{nml}$ is constant and δI grows much faster.

Thus, omitting the oscillating non-resonant terms whose contribution is small, the displacement δI from the resonant torus

$$\dot{\delta I} = \epsilon F_{nml}^0(I_0) \sin(\eta) \tag{5}$$

where

$$\dot{\eta} = [n \frac{\partial \Omega_{\phi}}{\partial I} (I_0) + m \frac{\partial \Omega_{\theta}}{\partial I} (I_0)] \delta I.$$
(6)

Equations (5) and (6) can be expressed in the Hamiltonian form

$$\delta I = -\partial H/\partial \eta$$
 and $\dot{\eta} = \partial H/\partial (\delta I)$ (7)

where

$$H = \epsilon F_{nml}^{0}(I_0) \cos(\eta) + \left[n \frac{\partial \Omega_{\phi}}{\partial I}(I_0) + m \frac{\partial \Omega_{\theta}}{\partial I}(I_0) \right] \frac{(\delta I)^2}{2}$$
(8)



$$\Delta I = \left(\frac{2\epsilon F_{nml}^{0}(I_{0})}{\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial I}\left[n\Omega_{\phi} + m\Omega_{\theta}\right]|_{I=I_{0}}\right|}\right)^{1/2}$$
(9)

with resonance condition $n\Omega_{\phi} + m\Omega_{\theta} + l\sigma = 0$

One important difference between the steady and non-steady cases is that for non-steady systems we can have resonances with non-periodic trajectories, for which $\Omega_{\phi}/\Omega_{\theta}$ is irrational.

Resonance width expression holds for the **quasi-periodic perturbation**, $F^0(I, \theta, \phi, \sum \sigma_i t)$, with resonance condition replaced by $n\Omega_{\phi} + m\Omega_{\theta} + l_i\sigma_i = 0$.

For **degenerate resonances**, when $\partial \Omega_{\phi} / \partial I(I_0) = \partial \Omega_{\theta} / \partial I(I_0) = 0$, higher-order terms should be accounted for leading to

$$\Delta I = \left(\frac{\epsilon F_{nml}^{0}(I_{0})(j+1)!}{\left| \frac{\partial j}{\partial I^{j}} \left(n\Omega_{\phi} + m\Omega_{\theta} \right) |_{I=I_{0}} \right|} \right)^{1/(j+1)}$$
(10)

where j corresponds to the first non-vanishing derivative. The corresponding resonance width in frequency is

$$\Delta\Omega_{\phi/\theta} = \frac{\partial^{j}\Omega_{\phi/\theta}}{\partial I^{j}} (I_{0}) \frac{(\Delta I)^{j}}{j!} \propto \epsilon^{j/(j+1)}.$$
(11)

Degenerate resonances (j > 1) have smaller resonance widths than nondegenerate tori, so they require larger perturbation strength to overlap leading to **Strong KAM Stability**.

Comparison between theory and numerical simulations

$$\Delta I = \left(\frac{2\epsilon F_{nmI}^{0}(I_{0})}{\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial I}\left[n\Omega_{\phi} + m\Omega_{\theta}\right]\right|_{I=I_{0}}\right)^{1/2}}$$

In the rotating can model, $\partial \Omega_{\theta} / \partial I = 0$ so $\Delta I \propto \sqrt{\epsilon / \left| \frac{\partial \Omega_{\phi}}{\partial I}(I_0) \right|}$.



1 2 4 週 2 4 回 2 4 回 2 4 回 2 4 0 0 0 0 0

Perturbation with periodic time-dependence



Snap shot of time-dependent tori



Double Poincare' map



Summary

- Resonance widths are important as they control the extent of chaos
- We derived formula for resonance widths (applies to both steady and nonsteady cases)
- And compared theory with numerical simulations
- Strong KAM stability predicts robust transport barriers near degenerate tori

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Time-dependent case under investigation



N

200

-