Leaving Flatland: Aspects of 3D Dynamical Systems Diagnostics in Simple GFD Flows

Mohamed H. M. Sulman

Work with: Helga S. Huntley, B. L. Lipphardt Jr., A. D. Kirwan

School of Marine Science and Policy University of Delaware

MURI meeting: Delaware, January 24-26, 2012





くほと くほと くほと

Outlines

- Background and Motivation
 - Lagrangian Coherent Structures (LCSs)
- 3D Steady Quadrapole-type Flows
 - Oceanic Model Description
 - 2 Vertical Velocity BUT No Vertical Shear
 - Overtical Velocity with SMALL Vertical Shear
 - O No Vertical Velocity BUT Vertical Shear
- 3D Time Dependent Quadrapole-type Flows
- 3D Steady ABC FLows
- 3D Time Dependent ABC-type FLows
- Conclusions and Remarks

Lagrangian Coherent Structures (LCSs)

- LCSs are identified as the local maxima of the Finite-Time Lyapunov Exponent (FTLE) fields computed from grided trajectories.
- In ocean models, very little is known about LCSs in (3D+time):
 - The vertical velocity is diagnostic
 - The high cost of the computations involved in the 3D trajectories.
- Branicki & Kirwan, 2010 (IJES): Stitch together 2D FTLE fields calculated from 2D velocity data to form the 3D geometry.



Lagrangian Coherent Structures (LCSs)

• Consider the fluid dynamics flow

$$rac{\mathsf{d} \mathbf{x}}{\mathsf{d} \mathsf{t}} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t), \; \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \; t \in [0,T]$$

• The FTLE over time [0, T] is

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{2T} \log \left[\lambda_{\max} \left((\nabla \mathbf{x})^* \nabla \mathbf{x} \right) \right],$$

$$\nabla \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial y_0} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial z_0} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_0} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial y_0} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial z_0} \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_0} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_0} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial z_0} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_V = \sqrt{u_z^2 + v_z^2}, \ S_H = \sqrt{w_x^2 + w_y^2}$$



3D Steady Quadrapole-type Flows

• Consider a simple 3D GFD flow

$$u = -\pi \left(\frac{A(z)}{L_y} + \frac{B_z}{L_x}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L_x}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi y}{L_y}\right)$$
$$v = \pi \left(\frac{A(z)}{L_x} - \frac{B_z}{L_y}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{L_x}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi y}{L_y}\right)$$
$$w = \pi^2 B(z) \left(\frac{1}{L_x^2} + \frac{1}{L_y^2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{L_x}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi y}{L_y}\right)$$

 $x, y, z \in [-L_x, L_x] \times [-L_y, L_y] \times [-H, 0].$

• A(z) and B(z) are chosen to study the following three main cases

- Vertical velocity with no vertical shear
- Vertical velocity with a small shear
- Overtical shear with no vertical velocity

Vertical Velocity with no Vertical Shear
B(z) ≠ 0 and A(z) = const., ∀z.



M. Sulman (CEOE, UD)

MURI meeting, DE, Jan 24–26

Vertical Velocity with a Small Shear • $B(z) \neq 0$ and A(z) = mz + b, $\forall z$.



M. Sulman (CEOE, UD)

Leaving Flatlanc

MURI meeting, DE, Jan 24-26

Vertical Shear with no Vertical Velocity • A(z) = mz + b, and $B(z) = 0 \forall z$.



M. Sulman (CEOE, UD)

MURI meeting, DE, Jan 24–26 8 / 14

3D Time Dependent Quadrapole Flows

• The time is defined to introduce pure normal deformation to the steady quadrapole.



M. Sulman (CEOE, UD)

Leaving Flatlan

MURI meeting, DE, Jan 24-26

3D Time Dependent Quadrapole-type Flows

• The time is defined to introduce pure shear deformation to the steady quadrapole.



M. Sulman (CEOE, UD)

Leaving Flatland

3D Time Dependent Quadrapole-type Flows

• The time is defined to introduce rotation to the steady quadrapole.



3D Steady ABC flows



M. Sulman (CEOE, UD)

Leaving Flatland

3D Time-Dependent ABC Flows

$$u = A\sin(z + \epsilon\sin(\omega t)) + B\cos(y + \epsilon\sin(\omega t)),$$

$$v = B\sin(x + \epsilon\sin(\omega t)) + A\cos(z + \epsilon\sin(\omega t)),$$

$$w = C\sin(z + \epsilon\sin(\omega t)) + B\cos(x + \epsilon\sin(\omega t)) x, y, z \in [0, 2\pi]^3,$$



M. Sulman (CEOE, UD)

Conclusions and Remarks

- The vertical shear plays a fundamental role in the difference in the FLE fields computed from 3D and 2D-Stitched trajectories.
- Calculation of 3D DLE from 3D trajectories becomes important ONLY IF the vertical shear is large.
- The vertical velocity effect in the FTLE fields difference is negligible, in particular when the vertical shear is very small.
- In Ocean models, accurate measurements of the vertical velocity doesnt exist. However, computing 3D DLE computed from 2D-Stitched trajectories is a good approximation of the 3D FTLE field obtained from 3D trajectories.
- The difference between 3D FTLE (computed from 3D or 2D-Stitching trajectories) and 2D DLE (computed from 2D trajectories) becomes significant in the presence of the vertical shear.

MURI meeting, DE, Jan 24–26