# Low-Frequency Meandering of the Atlantic North Equatorial Countercurrent

Silvia Garzoli

Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, New York

## PHILIP L. RICHARDSON

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts

Four 19-month time series of indirect measurements of dynamic height were obtained in the tropical Atlantic along  $28^{\circ}W$  at  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $3^{\circ}$ ,  $6^{\circ}$ , and  $9^{\circ}N$  with three inverted echo sounders and one current meter mooring. The series were analyzed to study the time-latitude variability of the North Equatorial Countercurrent (NECC). The eastward flow associated with the NECC was present at  $28^{\circ}W$  from  $3^{\circ}$  to  $9^{\circ}N$  during most of the observed period except in March-April 1983 and April-May 1984, periods that coincided with the onset of the wind at the equator. The analysis of the time-latitude variability of differences in dynamic height shows a long-period meridional shift of the NECC. The core of the current attains its northernmost location during August-September in both years and its southernmost location during March-April in 1983 and March in 1984. The location of the core is directly related to the position of the intertropical convergence zone. From the time series of dynamic height obtained from the indirect measurements, geostrophic velocities and transports were estimated and compared with direct observations of currents and values obtained from hydrographic casts.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The North Equatorial Countercurrent (NECC) is a major component of the current system in the tropical Atlantic. A surface current (the flow is contained in the upper 250 m), the NECC flows eastward at latitudes from 3° to 10°N between two main westward flows: the South Equatorial Current (SEC) and the North Equatorial Current (NEC). Aside from historical ship drift observations, the first intensive direct measurement of the NECC was made during the GARP Atlantic Tropical Experiment (GATE) in 1974 [Bubnov and Egorikhin, 1979]. Garzoli and Katz [1983] analyzed the dynamics of the climatological NECC using historical data (for the ocean and atmosphere) and a simple theoretical model. Two main conclusions derived from this work are that (1) west of 20°W the NECC reverses from February through June (during these periods the flow is toward the west) and (2) in the interior of the basin the trade winds are responsible for the reversal of the NECC through the combined mechanisms of local Ekman pumping and the divergence of the geostrophic currents. Data from the First GARP Global Experiment (FGGE) year were analyzed, and these results were shown to be valid [Garzoli and Richardson, 1984; Molinari et al., 1986]; the western boundary for reversal of the flow in this particular year shifted 5° to the west.

Measuring the NECC over nearly two seasonal cycles was one of the main objectives of the Seasonal Response of the Equatorial Atlantic program and the Programme Français Océan et Climat dans l'Atlantique Equatorial (SEQUAL/FO-CAL) [Katz and Garzoli, 1984; Richardson, 1984a, b; Hénin and Hisard, 1984]. As part of this combined effort (Figure 1), an array of inverted echo sounders (IES) [Katz, 1987; Garzoli, 1987] and a current meter mooring were deployed and maintained in the area, surface drifters were launched and tracked

Paper number 88JC03927. 0148-0227/89/88JC-03927\$05.00 [Richardson and Reverdin, 1987], and seasonal cruises along 23°W were performed [Hénin and Hisard, 1984, 1987].

Data from the array of inverted echo sounders have been described and analyzed for low-frequency motion by *Katz and Garzoli* [1984] and *Katz* [1987]. According to these analyses, the reversal of the NECC occurs along 38°W. Along 28°W, however, the flow is observed toward the east during the entire period of observations. On the other hand, direct velocity measurements obtained with the mooring deployed at 6°N, 28°W show westward flow during March-April 1983 and April-May 1984 [*Richardson and Reverdin*, 1987]. An annual reversal of the NECC during spring was also observed near 28°W with satellite-tracked drifters and historical ship drifts [*Richardson and Reverdin*, 1987].

The characteristics of the winds in the Equatorial Atlantic can be described in a simplified manner by the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ) which separates the trade winds that prevail west of 10°W: northeast trades north of the ITCZ; southeast trades south of the ITCZ. In February the ITCZ is near the equator, but by August it moves 10° farther north. Associated with the northward migration of the ITCZ, the zonal winds in the western Atlantic along the equator suddenly increase (onset) usually in the month of May. During the period of observations to be discussed, a meteorological station was deployed and maintained at St. Peter and St. Paul Rocks (SPP, 1°N, 29°W) [Garzoli and Katz, 1984]. The analysis of the data showed [Colin and Garzoli, 1987] that the onset of the zonal component of the wind stress occured at SPP on April 10, 1983, and on May 17, 1984.

In this paper, data obtained during the SEQUAL/FOCAL program are combined and analyzed to study the timedependent structure of the NECC along 28°W and its relation to atmospheric forcing. From the time series obtained, the variability during the observed 20 months is analyzed, and the interannual variability is discussed. The ocean is represented by time series of indirect measurements of dynamic height as obtained with inverted echo sounders, direct measurements of current and temperature obtained at the mooring, and hydro-

Copyright 1989 by the American Geophysical Union.



Fig. 1. Location of the inverted echo sounders (triangles) and the current meter mooring (star) whose data are analyzed in the present paper. The total observed period was February 1983 through October 1984. The arrows represent mean velocities in 1° latitude by 3° longitude boxes based on the historical ship drift data.

graphic sections along  $28^{\circ}$ W. The atmospheric forcing is given by the SEQUAL/FOCAL level 2b wind field product (V. Cardone and I. Tourre, personal communication, 1988), and the location of the ITCZ is provided by satellite observations of maximum cloud coverage [Guillot et al., 1986].

## 2. OBSERVATIONS AND METHODS

The present analysis is based on SEQUAL/FOCAL data obtained along  $28^{\circ}$ W in the mid-Atlantic during February 1983 through November 1984 (Figure 1). The observations consist of (1) a meridional array of inverted echo sounders deployed along  $28^{\circ}$ W at the equator,  $3^{\circ}$ N, and  $9^{\circ}$ N, which is a subset of the larger SEQUAL sounder array [*Garzoli*, 1987; *Katz*, 1987]; (2) a surface mooring deployed at  $6^{\circ}$ N containing a vertical array of current meters and thermistors at 20, 50, 75, and 150 m [*Richardson and Reverdin*, 1987], (3) two hydrographic sections based on conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) casts obtained during July 1983 [*Perkins and Saunders*, 1984] and November 1984 [*Hénin et al.*, 1986], (4) expendable

bathythermograph (XBT) sections along 28°W, and (5) the SEQUAL/FOCAL level 2b wind field, as prepared by Cardone and Tourre (personal communication, 1988).

The time series of inverted echo sounders and current meters started on February 13, 1983, and ended on October 23, 1984. The travel time series obtained with the inverted echo sounders at  $0^\circ$ ,  $3^\circ$ , and  $9^\circ N$  were transformed to dynamic height using the historical data file [Garzoli and Katz, 1983] and CTD and XBT observations obtained during the SEQUAL and FOCAL cruises. A linear regression of dynamic height on computed travel time from the historical data file gives a slope of 7.89 dyn cm m  $s^{-1}$  with a standard error of the slope equal to 0.26. The error of the estimate of dynamic height from travel time is 2.3 dyn cm. With the above slope, each travel time time series can be expressed as a relative dynamic height. To obtain the absolute value of dynamic height, the in situ hydrographic observations were used. A detailed explanation of the procedure is given by Katz [1987], who concluded that the IES can usually estimate dynamic



Fig. 2. Relative travel time (dashed line) and relative depth of the 20°C isotherm (solid line) as calculated from the time series of temperature as a function of depth obtained at 6°N, 28°W.

height to better than a 2 dyn cm standard deviation when CTD data are available and 3 dyn cm when only XBT data are used.

To improve the meridional resolution of the observations, a time series of dynamic height was derived from the temperature measurements obtained at 6°N with the current meter mooring. From the time series of temperature we determined the depth of the thermocline represented by the depth of the  $20^{\circ}$ C isotherm (D20°C). The following procedure was used to obtain dynamic heights. The data from CTD casts obtained from 0° to 9°N along 28°W were analyzed to study the relationship between the depth of the 20°C isotherm (D20°C) and the surface dynamic height referenced to 500 m ( $DH_{500}$ ). The two variables  $D20^{\circ}C$  and  $DH_{500}$  were calculated from the temperature, salinity, and pressure observations at each of the 15 available CTD station. A linear relation between the depth of the 20°C isotherm and the dynamic height from the surface relative to 500 m  $(DH_{500})$  was obtained from the data obtained with the CTD casts along 28°W. The two variables are highly correlated (r = 0.96), and the standard error of the estimated dynamic height from the depth of the 20°C isotherm is 1.61 dyn cm. The linear relation among the variables is

$$DH_{500}(dyn cm) = 0.39 \times D20^{\circ}C + 54.95$$
 (1)

From the values of temperature at 20, 75, and 150 m, obtained with the thermistors deployed at 6°N, 28°W, a time series of the depth of the 20°C isotherm ( $D20^{\circ}$ C) was obtained through linear interpolation. Using relation (1), these values were converted into  $DH_{500}$  (dyn cm).

In order to assess the validity of the obtained dynamic height time series, a second method was applied, and the results were compared. This method uses the integrated temperature of the water column, which is linearly correlated to the integrated travel time [Watts and Rossby, 1977]. The integrated temperature from the surface to 150 m was obtained from the temperature at different depths recorded at the mooring location. Integrated temperature was transformed into relative travel time from the bottom to the surface using the results of Katz and Garzoli [1982]. Relative travel time (TT) was then transformed into relative dynamic height, using the previously discussed linear relation between TT and DH:

$$\Delta DH = -7.89\Delta T T (\rm dyn \ cm) \tag{2}$$

Finally, an absolute value for DH was obtained by adjusting the time series to the values of  $DH_{500}$  obtained from the SEQUAL and FOCAL CTD casts. The two resulting time series of dynamic height at 6°N obtained by these two totally different methods are compared in Figure 2:  $DH_{500}$  is obtained from the  $D20^{\circ}$ C (solid line) and from the integrated temperature (dashed line). In both cases the agreement between time series is very good, and differences are within the limits of the errors.

As a final test, sections of the dynamic height of the surface relative to 500 m were obtained from the CTD casts obtained along 28°W. Figure 3 shows meridional sections of surface dynamic height relative to 500 m derived from SEQUAL (Figure 3a) and FOCAL (Figure 3b) observations. Superimposed are the values of  $DH_{500}$  obtained with the three sounders (0°, 3°, and 9°N) and from the mooring (6°N). The agreement among the observations, in particular at 6°N, is very good.

The standard error in the calculation of DH from the travel time series is  $\pm 1.5$  dyn cm. The standard error of the dynamic height time series obtained from the  $D20^{\circ}$ C is  $\pm 1.6$  dyn cm. Thus we concluded that the time series of dynamic height obtained from the mooring at 6°N can be compared with those obtained from the inverted echo sounders with an error that is similar to or smaller than the one in the calibration of the sounders.

## 3. GEOSTROPHIC NECC VARIABILITY ALONG 28°W

#### Dynamic Height Variability

The four dynamic height time series obtained along  $28^{\circ}$ W through the procedure described in the previous section are shown in Figure 4. The time series derived from the sounder data (at 0°, 3°, and 9°N) were discussed by *Garzoli* [1987] and *Katz* [1987]. It is interesting to note the relaxation of dynamic height gradient in the month of March during both years: the dynamic height across the meridional section has almost the same value at the four locations. The reversal of the NECC can be observed from the dynamic height time series by the change in phase of the dominant mode across the NECC which has a maximum amplitude centered around 3°N, 38°W [*Garzoli and Katz*, 1983]. According to Figure 4, the time



Fig. 3. Dynamic height from the surface relative to 500 m obtained from (a) the SEQUAL 2 cruise CTD section (July 1983) and (b) the FOCAL 5 cruise CTD section (October-November 1983) as a function of latitude. The circles at  $0^\circ$ ,  $3^\circ$ , and  $9^\circ$ N represent the dynamic height calculated from the inverted echo sounders, and the triangles at  $6^\circ$ N represent the dynamic height calculated from the moored temperature records.

variability of dynamic height along 6°N is in phase with the low-frequency oscillations at 3°N and at the equator and out of phase with the variability at 9°N. To quantify this result, the four time series along 28°W were decomposed into empirical orthogonal functions in the time domain following the procedure given by Garzoli [1984, 1987]. The analysis used the 10-day running mean time series. Results are summarized in Figure 5 and Table 1. This analysis indicates that 87% of the total variance of the system can be explain by the first two dominant modes. When the same analysis is done with smoothed (31-day running mean of the original hourly) values, the two dominant modes represent 93% of the total variance (not shown). The first mode (63% of the total variance) is highly correlated with the three southernmost locations (r = $(\gamma_{ij})^{1/2} = 0.88, 0.85, \text{ and } 0.86 \text{ for } 0^{\circ}, 3^{\circ}, \text{ and } 6^{\circ}N, \text{ respectively}.$ Its amplitude increases from south to north, with the maximum value observed at  $6^{\circ}N$  (amplitude factor = 4.9). The correlation between this mode and the dynamic height variability at 9°N is 0.52, and the amplitude is -1.9, 180° out of phase with the variability at the southern locations. This mode has maximum amplitude at the beginning of September in both

years, and this maximum amplitude is larger in 1983 than in 1984.

The second mode (24% of the total variance), is highly correlated with the variability at 9°N (r = 0.83). The amplitude of this mode increases from south to north with the maximum value at the northernmost observed location. There is a 180° phase change between 9°N and the equator (Table 1). The combination of these two modes represents the annual cycle of the time variability of dynamic height across the NECC along 28°W.

It is assumed that the NECC is in geostrophic balance; therefore changes in dynamic height are related to changes in the geostrophic velocity field. From the time series (Figure 4), differences in dynamic height ( $\Delta DH$ ) between 0° and 3°N, 3° and 6°N, and 6° and 9°N were calculated and are shown as a function of time in Figure 6. The eastward flow associated with the NECC was present from 3°N to 9°N throughout with short exceptions: March-April 1983 (north of 3°N) and April-May 1984 (3° to 9°N). These periods coincided with the onset of the winds at the equator, which at SPP occurred on April 10, 1983, and on May 17, 1984 [*Colin and Garzoli*, 1987].



Fig. 4. Dynamic height as a function of time at  $0^\circ$ ,  $3^\circ$ ,  $6^\circ$ , and  $9^\circ N$ . The thicker line corresponds to  $9^\circ N$ .

The most interesting result of the time-latitude variability of the meridional differences in dynamic height, is the presence of a long-period meridional shifting of the NECC. The core of the current attained its northernmost position (north of  $6^{\circ}N$ ) during the months of August–September for both monitored years and its southernmost position (south of  $6^{\circ}N$ ) during March–April 1983 and in March 1984.

Hénin and Hisard [1984] analyzed data from hydrographic stations obtained during the seasonal FOCAL cruises along 23°W. From the calculated dynamic height values, they concluded that the meridional extension of the NECC along 23°W varies on a seasonal cycle: the NECC was widest in July of 1983 and 1984 (from 4°30'N to north of 14°N) and was relatively narrow in January (from 4° to 8°30'N in 1983 and from 3°30'N to 7°N in 1984). The present array does not cover the same meridional extent as the hydrographic cruises, but Figure 6 along  $28^{\circ}W$  shows similar behavior. Also, the two hydrographic sections along  $28^{\circ}W$  show that in July 1983 (Figure 3b) the NECC was wider than during October-November 1983 (Figure 3a). This variability in the width of the NECC follows the low-frequency meandering as described previously and is present both at  $23^{\circ}W$  and at  $28^{\circ}W$ .

The tropical Atlantic responds rapidly to the seasonal varying winds. The winds in the equatorial Atlantic have been described in a simplified manner by the movement of the ITCZ separating the northeast from the southeast trade winds. According to the Ekman pumping theory, the thermocline is raised north of the ITCZ and depressed south of it. A change in the sign of the curl of the wind stress originates the reversal of the flow; far from the boundaries, the mechanism that controls the NECC is the combination of the Ekman pumping and the divergence of the geostrophic currents which, in a first



Fig. 5. Time variability of the two dominant modes from the EOF decomposition in the time domain of the four time series along 28°W.

		0°N		3°N		6°N		9°N	
Mode	Variance, %	γ <sub>u</sub>	Amplitude Factor	γ.,	Amplitude Factor	γ <sub>u</sub>	Amplitude Factor	γ <sub>ij</sub>	Amplitude Factor
1 2	62.7 24.2	0.78 0.03	2.7 -0.5	0.72 0.18	3.2 1.6	0.74 0.06	4.9 1.4	0.27 0.69	-1.9 3.1

TABLE 1. Decomposition in the Time Domain of the 19-Month Time Series of Dynamic Height at 0°, 3°, 6°, and 9° N Along 28°W

Here,  $\gamma_{ij}$  is the fraction of the variance of the *j*th station explained by the *i*th mode.

approximation, equals the vorticity  $(-\operatorname{curl}(\tau/f))$  [Garzoli and Katz, 1983]. Therefore it is expected that the meridional shifting or the long-term meandering of the NECC could be related to the migration of the ITCZ. To relate this meridional motion of the NECC to the synoptic wind field, the divergence of the winds (div  $V = \partial u/\partial x - \partial v/\partial y$ ) and the curl of the wind stress  $(\partial \tau^{y}/\partial x - \partial \tau^{x}/\partial y)$  along 28°W were calculated from the SEQUAL/FOCAL level 2b wind product (Figure 7). The time-latitude variability of the divergence of the wind velocity is shown in Figure 7a; the location of the ITCZ should be related to the zone of maximum convergence. Maximum convergence was observed south of 3°N during March-April 1983 and May-June 1984. The meridional motion is evident, as are the differences in the timing of the reversal of the trades between 1983 and 1984 related to the interannual variability of the onset of the wind at the equator in both years [Colin and Garzoli, 1987].

The zero line of the curl of the wind stress is shown in Figure 7b, superimposed with the region of maximum cloudiness which is another way to define the position of the ITCZ [see Guillot et al., 1986]. Figure 7b shows the position of the ITCZ derived from observed clouds for the period covered by the oceanic observations (J. Citeau, personal communication, 1988). It is interesting to note that the two different realizations for the location of the ITCZ (Figures 7a and 7b) show similar results. Some differences are apparent in the onset of the northward motion and in the timing of the northernmost extension. While the cloud-derived product shows a clear interannual variability, the ITCZ reaching further north during

September 1983 than in 1984, this is not evident in the divergence of the winds from the SEQUAL/FOCAL product. On the other hand, the zero line for the curl of the wind stress (Figure 7b) shows the onset of the northward motion at approximately the same time as the onset of the winds at the equator (i.e., the northward migration of the ITCZ), and this zero line reaches lower latitudes during 1984 than 1983.

A comparison of Figures 6 and 7 shows a direct correlation between the low-period meandering of the NECC and the position of the ITCZ. The maximum core of the current follows the motion of the maximum convergence of the winds. Maximum convergence was observed south of 3°N during March-April 1983 and May-June 1984, in direct correlation with the southward extension of the NECC as determined by  $\Delta DH$  (Figure 6). The same is valid for the northward location of maximum convergence (north of 9°N) and maximum intensity of the NECC during the months of August-September in both years.

Therefore it is possible to conclude that just as the reversal of the NECC was related to the curl of the wind stress [Garzoli and Katz, 1983], the location of the core of the NECC can be tracked by the position of the ITCZ.

## Geostrophic Velocities

This section analyzes the zonal geostrophic velocities (Ug) calculated from differences in dynamic height and compares the results with those obtained from the SEQUAL/FOCAL CTD casts and the in situ measurements of direct current observations obtained with the mooring deployed at 6°N,



Fig. 6. Meridional differences in dynamic height between time series located at  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $3^{\circ}$ ,  $6^{\circ}$ , and  $9^{\circ}N$  as a function of time and latitude. Positive differences in dynamic height indicate an eastward flow, and negative values indicate a westward flow.



Fig. 7. (a) Divergence of the winds as a function of time and latitude as obtained from the SEQUAL/FOCAL level 2b wind product. Negative values indicate convergence. (b) Position of the ITCZ (crosses) as derived from observed maximum cloud coverage (from J. Citeau, personal communication, 1988), superimposed on the zero line for the wind stress curl (circles).

 $28^{\circ}$ W. The geostrophic velocity between stations was obtained by the relation:

$$Ug = \Delta DH/f\Delta y \tag{3}$$

where  $\Delta DH$  is the difference of dynamic height between two stations, f is obtained at the midpoint of the distance between the locations, and y is the distance measured from the equator. Considering that the dynamic height is calculated with an error of  $\pm 1.6$  at 9°N to  $\pm 12.6$  cm s<sup>-1</sup> at 3°N). The resultant time series of geostrophic velocities are given in Figure 8. Maximum eastward geostrophic velocities were reached between 6° and 9°N (Figure 8a), during September in both years. Geostrophic velocities reached values of 40 cm s<sup>-1</sup> on September 12, 1983, and 33 cm s<sup>-2</sup> on September 6, 1984. Negative values (westward flow) were observed in April-May 1983 and April-May 1984, and these are both associated with a southward displacement of the NECC. Further south (Figure 8b), between  $3^{\circ}$  and  $6^{\circ}N$  the flow was eastward for most of the observed period, with maximum values about half of these observed further north. Westward flow was observed at this location during the months of August and September both years. This is due to the northward displacement of the NECC, which during this period of time is located at its northernmost position. Close to the equator, between  $3^{\circ}$  and  $0^{\circ}N$  (Figure 8c), predominantly westward flow is observed. Even though geostrophy at these latitudes can be seriously questioned and the errors are large, the observed values are in coarse agreement with those obtained with the general circulation model developed for the area by *Philander and Pacanowski* [1986]. It is interesting to note the oscillations of about 30- to 40-day period with maximum amplitude during May. These oscillations may be instability waves caused by the shear between the NECC and the SEC [*Cox*, 1980; *Weisberg*, 1984; *Garzoli*, 1987]; the maximum amplitude of these waves is located near the equator in May.

Figure 9 shows the variability of geostrophic velocity as a function of time and latitude. Results are a 31-day running mean of the original values to better distinguish the large-scale characteristics of the described motions. Values at 1.5°N are shown in a qualitative sense only; at this latitude the values of



Fig. 8. Geostrophic velocity and transport as a function of time estimated from differences in dynamic height between (a)  $6^{\circ}$  and  $9^{\circ}N$ , (b)  $3^{\circ}$  and  $6^{\circ}N$ , and (c)  $0^{\circ}$  and  $3^{\circ}N$ : the lines around zero represent the error bars in each case, and circles are transports calculated from the CTD casts.

Ug shown might be higher than actual values because of the proximity to the equator  $(f \sim 0)$ . In addition to the values of Ug centered at 1.5°, 4.5°, and 7.5°N using relation (3), the surface velocity observed with the current meter mooring,

from which the wind-correlated part has been subtracted, has been included at  $6^{\circ}N$  to draw Figure 9. The wind-correlated part of the velocity was subtracted by means of an EOF analysis in the time domain in which the input files were four



Fig. 9. Eastward geostrophic velocity calculated from meridional gradients in dynamic height inferred from the four time series at 0°, 3°, 6°, and 9°N as a function of time and latitude.

time series: the two wind stress components at the location of the mooring and the two velocity components at 20 m. From the empirical decomposition of the four time series, a dominant mode that represents the wind-correlated part of the velocity was obtained and subtracted from the original time series of surface currents. The "corrected" direct observations agree remarkably well with the values of Ug inferred from the DH time series. In order to verify the validity of the correction, the "corrected" velocities were compared with available data. The first comparison was done with results from CTD observations from the SEQUAL and FOCAL cruises described previously. Results show that for day 199, 1983, the corrected velocity is 30 cm  $s^{-1}$ , while the geostrophic velocity obtained from the CTD stations nearby the mooring is 30 cm s<sup>-1</sup>. For day 305, 1983, values are 10 and 13 cm s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Even though the comparison is based on only two points, the agreement is very good.

Further validation was made with the IES data. Time series of geostrophic velocities between 3° and 9°N were calculated and compared with the corrected velocities from the mooring. A simple statistic for the two time series, (1) corrected from the current meters and (2) derived from the sounders measurements, gives the following values respectively: mean, 9.23 and 9.27 cm s<sup>-1</sup>; maximum value, 12.8 and 19.1 cm s<sup>-1</sup>; minimum value, -1.5 and -2.0 cm s<sup>-1</sup>; standard deviation, 4.98 and 5.36 cm s<sup>-1</sup>. A direct linear regression between the two obtained time series gives a coefficient correlation of 0.7. As we will see later, owing to the meridional motion of the core of the NECC, a direct comparison between these two representation will yield to an underestimation in the correlation. During the periods of time when the NECC is located between 3° and 9°N, a good agreement is expected, while when the core is located at its northward position, the agreement should decrease. A simple statistic performed for the segments of the series during the period of time when the current is located mainly between 3 and 9°N increases the coefficient correlation to 0.9.

The NECC was present in the basin along 28°W at 6°N from May 1, 1983, through April 20, 1984, and from May 1, 1984, to the end of the record. Maximum values of Ug were reached in May–June 1983 between 3° and 6°N (Ug = 25-35 cm s<sup>-1</sup>) when the ITCZ was at 6°N; north of 7.5°N during

September 1983 ( $Ug = 40 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ ) when the ITCZ was at 10°N; and at 6°N in June-July 1984 ( $Ug > 40 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ ) when the ITCZ was between 5° and 7°N.

This analysis (Figure 9) confirms the results obtained in the previous section concerning the long-term meandering of the NECC and the relationship between the location of the maximum core and the position of the ITCZ. Figure 9 shows, with better meridional resolution, the interannual variability in the timing of the reversal of the flow along 28°W and the long-term meandering associated with the meridional motion of the ITCZ. Also evident is the interannual variability in the intensity and location of the maximum eastward flow that is located at 6°N during September–October 1984 south of its 1983 position.

## Estimated Geostrophic Transport

This section presents the geostrophic transport, relative to 500 m, calculated from the time series of dynamic height, and compares it with similar values obtained from the CTD casts and calculated from the current meter mooring. The geostrophic transport in the upper 250 m between stations at  $9^{\circ}-6^{\circ}N$  and  $6^{\circ}-3^{\circ}N$  (Figure 8, right-hand axis) was calculated from the time series of geostrophic velocities. Superimposed on the time series, single values of transport from the CTD casts are shown. The transport from the current measurements was calculated for the upper 250 m assuming that the NECC has an average meridional extent of 5°. To compare with the transport estimated at 6°N from the sounder records, a similar calculation was done between stations at 3° and 9°N. Results of these two last calculations are shown in Figure 10.

Transport between stations at 6° and 9°N (Figure 8a) reaches a maximum value of 65 Sv ( $1 \text{ Sv} = 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) during September 1983. At the same time of the year in 1984, a maximum of 55 Sv is observed. At this location, between 6° and 9°N, the error in the geostrophic velocity is  $\pm 2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ . This translates to an error for the estimated transport of  $\pm 1.7$ Sv. Difference in transport between September 1983 and 1984 are therefore significant. The maximum value of the westward transport (-22 Sv) was observed during May 1983, when according to Figure 8b the eastward transport was mostly between stations at 3° and 6°N. The mean transport for the observed period is 18 Sv between the two northernmost sta-



Fig. 10. Eastward water transport based on (a) current meter records at  $6^{\circ}N$  and (b) dynamic height time series at  $3^{\circ}$  and  $9^{\circ}N$ .

tions (6° and 9°N) and 10 Sv between 3° and 6°N. The transport estimated from a single point of current measurements (Figure 10) is similar to the geostrophic one calculated from the dynamic height series between 3° and 9°N. The main difference between the two realizations is that the mooring measured larger values of transport for 1984 than for 1983. According to the previous analysis, when the main core of the NECC is located north of 6°N (September of both years), the transport estimated from the direct current observations is lower than that obtained at 7.5°N from differences in dynamic height. The estimated geostrophic transport between 3° and 9°N (i.e., at 6°N) does not show the westward flow observed with the current meter mooring during March and April 1984. Results of the comparison are given in Table 2.

Another interesting point that comes out of this analysis based on the scenario that the NECC meanders following the ITCZ is the following: The current meter data show at  $6^{\circ}$ N the onset of the NECC occurring at the same time during May in both years (Figure 10*a*). This is in agreement with the NECC representation provided by the difference in dynamic height as observed with IES data at  $3^{\circ}$  and  $9^{\circ}$ N. But the onset of the winds at the equator does not occur at the same time in

both years; there is a 2-month lag between 1983 and 1984 [Colin and Garzoli, 1987]. The same lag occurs for the position of the line of maximum convergence of the winds and of the zero curl of wind stress as shown in Figures 7a and 7b. Both realizations for the position of the ITCZ, maximum convergence and cloud coverage, show that the ITCZ is located closest to the equator in April 1983 and in June 1984. If the NECC reversal and the meridional migration of its maximum core is directed by the wind curl and convergence, respectively, this lag in time between years should be reflected in the onset of the current. The previous analysis, which improves the meridional resolution obtained with the sounders and gives a spatial distribution to the observations obtained at a single point with the current meter mooring, allowed us to solve the contradiction. When the location of the main core of the current is established and the transport is calculated at that point, then the onset of the NECC (Figure 8a) occurs in mid-May 1983 and in June 1984 following the winds.

### 4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The time-latitude variability of the NECC along 28°W was studied with four 19-month time series of dynamic height at

TABLE 2. Estimated Transports Relative to 500 m From the Dynamic Height Series (IES) and Direct Current Meter Observations

	Maximum T	ransport, Sv	Mean Transport Sv	
	Eastward	Westward		
IES (6°–9°N)	66	22	18	
IES (3°-6°N)	44	20	10	
IES (3°-9°N)	33	4	15	
Current meter	55	12	18	

Values correspond to maximum eastward and westward transports and to the mean transport for the whole observed period (1 Sv =  $10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-2}$ ).

 $0^{\circ}$ ,  $3^{\circ}$ ,  $6^{\circ}$ , and  $9^{\circ}N$ . The time series were obtained from measurements of travel time recorded by three inverted echo sounders and measurements of temperatures in the upper layer from a current meter mooring. Dynamic heights were calculated with an estimated error of less than 2 dyn cm. The analysis of the data shows that the series at 9°N is out of phase with those at  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $3^{\circ}$ , and  $6^{\circ}N$ . The annual cycle can be represented by an empirical mode that explains more than 62% of the total variance of the system. This cycle's amplitude was maximum at 6°N and was larger in 1983 than in 1984. The analysis also shows a relaxation or flattening of the dynamic height field in March for both years and that this relaxation occurs simultaneously along 28°W. The eastward flow associated with the NECC was present at  $28^{\circ}W$  from  $3^{\circ}$  to 9°N during almost all the observed period with the exception of two short periods of time in March-April 1983 and April-May 1984. These periods coincided with the onset of the winds at the equator in April 1983 and in May 1984 [Colin and Garzoli, 1987]. The analysis of the time-latitude variability of differences in dynamic height shows a long-period meridional shift of the NECC. The core of the current attains its northernmost location during the months of August-September in both years and its southernmost location during February-March 1983 and May-June 1984. This long-term displacement or meandering of the NECC was compared with the position of the ITCZ as obtained from the SEQUAL/ FOCAL level 2b wind product and from the location of the maximum cloud coverage. While the reversal of the NECC is related to the curl of the wind stress [Garzoli and Katz, 1983], we conclude that the location of the maximum core follows the position of the ITCZ, which in the western Atlantic migrates seasonally. Geostrophic velocity and associated transport are estimated from the time series of dynamic height. Maximum eastward geostrophic velocity ranged between 33 and 40 cm s<sup>-1</sup>. Maximum values of Ug were reached between 3° and 6°N in May-June 1983 (when the ITCZ is located at 6°N). North of 7.5°N during September and when the ITCZ is located at 10°N, the geostrophic velocity reaches a value of 40  $cm s^{-1}$  in 1983 and in June–July, 1984. The maximum eastward transports were of 66 Sv and 55 Sv in 1983 and 1984, respectively. The mean transport from February 1983 to October 1984 was 18 Sv eastward between 9° and 6°N and 10 Sv eastward between 6° and 3°N. These results are in coarse agreement with those obtained from single realizations of CTD casts, from surface drifters, and from the current meter mooring [Richardson and Reverdin, 1987]. The drifters do show a more pronounced reversal of the NECC in spring but this could be due to surface Ekman velocity.

In conclusion, the geostrophic Atlantic NECC along 28°W undergoes a marked time and space variability. In space, the current meanders between 3° and 9°N following the meridional displacement of the ITCZ. During the observed period of time, the current was present along 28°W with the exception of two short periods that coincide with the time the trades started to intensify near the equator. This occurs 2 months later in 1984 than in 1983. The present scenario in which the main core of the NECC migrates following the ITCZ solves some apparent contradictions between different kinds of observations obtained during the same period of time. Monitoring the current with poor meridional resolution might result in missing the reversal of the geostrophic flow or in lower estimates of the transport. It also answers the question: why does the start up of the current at 6°N as observed with a current meter mooring (Figure 10) occur at the same time both years while the onset of the winds at the equator occurs with a 2 month lag? The onset of the NECC bound between 6°N and 9°N does occur during 1984 2 months later than 1983 with the same lag as the wind.

Acknowledgments. Mark Edwards performed the computer programming for analysis and plotting of the data. Susan Brower typed the manuscript. This research was supported by NSF grants OCE 85-15632 and OCE 85-21082. Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory contribution 4397.

#### References

- Bubnov, V. A., and V. D. Egorikhin, Study of water calculation in the tropical Atlantic, *Deep Sea Res.*, 26, GATE suppl. II, 125–136, 1979.
- Colin, C., and S. L. Garzoli. In situ measurements and the ocean response in the Equatorial Atlantic during the Programme Français Océan et Climat Dans l'Atlantique Equatorial and Seasonal Response of the Atlantic Ocean Experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 92(C4), 3741-3750, 1987.
- Cox, M., Generation and propagation of 30-day waves in a numerical model of the Pacific, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 10, 1168-1186, 1980.
- Garzoh, S. L., Modes of variability of the 1983 thermocline signal, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 11(8), 741-744, 1984.
- Garzoli, S. L., Forced oscillations on the equatorial Atlantic basin during the Seasonal Response of the Equatorial Atlantic Program (1983–1984), J. Geophys. Res., 92(C5), 5089–5100, 1987.
- Garzoli, S. L., and E. J. Katz, The forced annual reversal of the Atlantic North Equatorial Countercurrent, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 13(11), 2082-2090, 1983.
- Garzoli, S. L., and E. J. Katz, Winds at St. Peter and St. Paul rocks during the first SEQUAL year, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 11(8), 715-718, 1984.
- Garzoli, S. L., and P. L. Richardson. Seasonal variations of the Atlantic North Equatorial Countercurrent, *Trop. Ocean-Atmos. Newsl.* 20, p. 11, Joint Inst. for the Study of the Atmos. and Oceans, Univ. of Wash., Seattle, 1984.
- Guillot, B, J. P. Lahuec, J. Citeau, and B. Bellec, Les climats de l'Afrique de l'ouest et du nord-est du Brésil, recherche de téléconnexions, *Veille Clim. Satellitaire*, 11, pp. 18-37, Min. des Relat. Exterieures-Coop. et Develop., ORSTOM, France, 1986.
- Hénin, C., and P. Hısard, Surface equatorial current system along 23°W (July 1982–January 1984), Geophys. Res. Lett., 11(8), 765–768, 1984.
- Hénin, C., and P. Hisard, The North Equatorial Countercurrent observed during the Programme Français Océan et Climat Dans l'Atlantique Equatorial Experiment in the Atlantic Ocean, July 1982 to August 1984, J. Geophys. Res., 92(C4), 3751–3758, 1987.
- Hénin, C., P. Hisard, and B. Piton, Observations hydrologiques dans l'océan Atlantique equatorial (juillet 1982-août 1984), Trav. Doc. ORSTOM, Ser. FOCAL, 196, 991 pp., 1986.
- Katz, E. J., Seasonal response of the sea surface to the wind in the Equatorial Atlantic, J. Geophys. Res., 92(C2), 1885-1893, 1987.
- Katz, E. J., and S. L. Garzoli. Response of the western equatorial Atlantic Ocean to an annual wind cycle, J. Mar. Res., 40, 307-327, 1982

- Katz, E. J., and S. L. Garzoli. Thermocline displacement across the Atlantic North Equatorial Countercurrent during 1983, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 11(8), 737-740, 1984.
- Molinari, R. L., S. L. Garzoli, E. J. Katz, D. E. Harrison, P. L. Richardson, and G. Reverdin, A synthesis of the First GARP Global Experiment (FGGE) in the equatorial Atlantic Ocean, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 16(2), 91-112, 1986.
- Perkins, H., and K. Saunders, Atlantic equatorial sections during July 1983, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 11(8), 769-772, 1984.
- Philander, S. G. H., and R. C. Pacanowski. A model of the seasonal cycle in the tropical Atlantic Ocean. J. Geophys. Res., 91,N(C12), 14,192-14,206, 1986.
- Richardson, P. L., Drifting buoy trajectories in the Atlantic North Equatorial Countercurrent during 1983, Geophys. Res. Lett., 11(8), 745-752, 1984a.
- Richardson, P. L., Moored current meter measurements in the Atlantic North Equatorial Countercurrent during 1983, Geophys. Res. Lett., 11(8), 749-752, 1984b.

Richardson, P. L., and G. Reverdin, Seasonal cycle of velocity in the

Atlantic North Equatorial Countercurrent as measured by surface drifters, current meters, and ship drifts, J. Geophys. Res., 92(C4), 3691-3708, 1987.

- Watts, D. R., and H. T. Rossby. Measuring dynamic heights with inverted echo sounders: Results from MODE, J. Phys. Res., 7, 345-358, 1977.
- Weisberg, R. H., Instability waves observed on the equator in the Atlantic Ocean during 1983, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 11(8), 753-756, 1984.

(Received June 8, 1988; revised July 13, 1988; accepted October 18, 1988.)

S. Garzoli, Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, NY 10964.

P. L. Richardson, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543.