Pitcon site have no near-equivalent in our data base, and no estimate of a more accurate location of the source of this galena can be made. The lead isotopic composition of the many small lead-zinc mineral prospects in northwestern New York-southeastern Ontario are variable and possibly distinctive. Further sampling of these minerals might reduce the uncertainty.

Our data demonstrate conclusively that lead isotope measurements can serve to identify the mineralization districts at which Indian burial and habitation site galenas have originated. For those regions for which a sufficient density of lead isotope data enables one to define isotopic zoning, the boundaries of possible source regions can be narrowed.

The data currently available for grave and habitation sites in southern Ontario indicate that at the time of the late Archaic culture some samples of galena were reaching the area from the Upper Mississippi Valley, 1100 km away. The lead isotopic differences that we observe among the Finlan site galenas suggest that more than one mineral deposit in that region was being exploited for galena. Indians of the region were aware of the existence of the lead-zinc mineralization there at the time when the area was first explored by Europeans (8), and it is possible that the locations of many mineral outcrops were known in much earlier times. Galena sources closer to the Ontario sites were also exploited. Because of the small number of sites for which we presently have isotopic data, it is not possible to discern any temporal change in galena sources, but both local and distant sources existed and they are clearly distinguishable on the basis of this technique. Further lead isotope measurements may therefore provide us with a means of investigating possible short- and long-term changes in trading patterns, if galenas can be obtained from a sufficiently large number of dated archeological sites.

R. M. Farquhar
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Department of Physics, Erindale
College, University of Toronto,
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and Department of Physics, Western
Australian Institute of Technology,
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Benjamin Franklin and Timothy Folger’s First Printed Chart of the Gulf Stream

Abstract. A print of the Benjamin Franklin and Timothy Folger 1769-1770 chart of the Gulf Stream, all copies of which have been ‘lost’ for nearly 200 years, was found in the Bibliothèque Nationale in Paris. This is the first chart of the Gulf Stream and continues today to be a good summary of its strength, course, and breadth.

Benjamin Franklin had printed in about 1769-1770 the first chart of the Gulf Stream in order to help the captains of the British packets avoid this swift current and to speed their passage to New York (1-3). Partly because the British captains slighted it and partly because of Franklin’s role in the confrontation between the American colonies and England, this chart became very rare. Despite considerable effort by many people to find a copy of the Franklin-Folger chart in England and America, not one has been found until now. The chart that most people associate with Franklin, the 1786 version (4), was published nearly two decades after the first chart and is really a copy of a copy of it. What may seem surprising is that until now the oldest existing chart of the Gulf Stream was not due to Franklin at all but was one published by William Gerard DeBrahm in 1772 (5).

The Franklin-Folger chart is important for several reasons. First it is the first good chart of the Gulf Stream. Before 1768, charts showed only the most rudimentary pictures of currents; only much later were chronometers used to determine ship drift velocities and maps of the surface currents. Second, the chart is a summary of the Nantucket whalers’ knowledge of the Gulf Stream. These ships of 18th-century hunters whaled along the edges of the Gulf Stream and learned a lot about its speed, course, and breadth. Third, the Franklin-Folger chart remains today a good summary of the mean path and width of the Gulf Stream and the speeds in its high-velocity core. The Gulf Stream is a large and complex current system that fluctuates energetically in space and time. Even today, the system is difficult to measure and interpret; the measurements that we have agrees with the Franklin-Folger chart (6).

The creation of the first Gulf Stream chart has been described in Franklin’s own hand (2, 4). While he was in London as Deputy Postmaster General for the American colonies, Franklin was consulted on the question of why the mail packets took a fortnight longer to sail to America than the merchant ships. In October 1768 Franklin discussed this problem with his cousin Timothy Folger, a Nantucket ship captain then visiting London. Folger told him the packet captains were ignorant of the Gulf Stream and frequently sailed in this current, stemming it. Folger sketched the Gulf Stream on a chart and added written notes on how to avoid the Gulf Stream, and Franklin had the chart printed in 1769 or 1770. However, the British captains slighted the chart, probably because they did not appreciate the implication that American fishermen knew more about ocean currents than the British did. During the early 1770’s, the colonies began to revolt against England and Franklin may have suppressed the chart to keep it out of the hands of the British Navy.

In September 1978, I found two prints of the Franklin-Folger chart in the Bibliothèque Nationale in Paris (Fig. 1) (7). It
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occurred to me that a copy of the chart might have been saved by the French because Franklin was envoy to France from 1776 to 1785 and both Franklin and his ideas were highly regarded by the French. It was clear that the two charts that I found were examples of Franklin and Folger’s 1769–1770 chart for three reasons. First, the Gulf Stream has the same characteristic configuration seen in later versions. Second, the legend, the speeds of the Gulf Stream, and the printed remarks agree with Franklin’s 1768 correspondence concerning the chart. Third, a later version of the chart printed by Le Rouge in Paris, which was also in the Bibliothèque Nationale, matches the first chart perfectly and therefore is a direct copy of it. The 1786 American version, frequently referred to as the 1769–1770 chart, is not a direct copy of the original chart; the presentation is different, the Gulf Stream has been modified, and there are inaccuracies such as the position of Bermuda. The Franklin-Folger chart measures 86 by 96 cm; it consists of four separate subcharts joined together along 16°N and 32.5°W. The prime meridian falls through Lizard Point on the southwest coast of England, 5.2° west of Greenwich. The legend on the chart is as follows:

A New and Exact Chart of Mr. E. Wright’s projection, rut: Mercators Chart, con: the Sea Coast of EUROPE, AFRICA, & AMERICA, from the Isles of Orkney to Cape Bona Espoirce, & Hudsons Bay to the Straits of Magellan

According to the Observations of Capt. E. Halley, fellow of the R: S.
To the Rt. Honorable and Principle Officers & Commissioners of his Majesties Navy, this chart is most humbly dedicated and presented by their most obedient faithful servants John Mount & Tho. Page.

Sold by Jno. Mount and Tho. Page at the Postern on Great Tower Hill London

Located east of Newfoundland on the chart are Folger’s instructions on how to avoid the Gulf Stream and banks and shoals when sailing westward. These remarks were clarified and amplified by Franklin and were included with his 1786 chart.

Philip L. Richardson

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543
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β-Adrenergic Regulation of α1-Adrenergic Receptors in the Central Nervous System

Abstract. Incubation of rat cerebral cortical slices with the β-adrenergic agonist isoproterenol causes an increase in α1-adrenergic receptor binding in addition to a decrease in β-adrenergic receptor binding. The effects are rapid and reversible, show a parallel time course, and are blocked by sotalol, a β-adrenergic receptor antagon-ist. The β-mediated regulation of α1-receptor sensitivity at brain norepinephrine synapses may be a mechanism for the homeostatic control of central noradrenergic activity.

Modification of receptor sensitivity appears to be one of the methods by which hormone action, or synaptic chemical transmission, is regulated. At norepinephrine (NE) synapses, long-term receptor stimulation in vivo desensitizes receptors, whereas transmitter depletion, NE terminal destruction, or long-term receptor blockade supersensitizes both α1- and β-receptors (1–3). Furthermore, a rapid and reversible β-receptor desensitization occurs in rat brain tissue in vitro after it is exposed to agonist (4). With regard to localization and function, α1-receptors have been subdivided into those mediating the postsynaptic or postsynaptic response to NE (α1-receptors), and those controlling the release of NE from presynaptic NE terminals at peripheral sympathetic junctions and at central noradrenergic synapses (α2-receptors) (5). We have studied alterations in brain α1-receptor sensitivity in response to in vitro pharmacological manipulation of central noradrenergic synaptic function. The results indicate that long-term activation of the β-adrenergic system, while decreasing the number of β-receptors, rapidly and reversibly increases the number of α1-receptors at central noradrenergic synapses.

Cerebral cortical slices (0.26 by 0.26 by 2.0 mm) from adult male Sprague-Dawley rats were transferred in gauze bags from ice-cold oxygen-saturated buffer (6) to vials containing fresh buffer at 37°C. After initial incubation (with one change of buffer) in drug-free medium for 40 minutes (in an atmosphere of 95 percent O2 and 5 percent CO2) in a shaking bath, 100 μM (+)-isoproterenol was added to the medium, and incubation was maintained at 37°C. At various times after the addition of isoproterenol, slices were transferred to ice-cold tris-saline buffer and centrifuged three times at 1200g for 30 seconds at 4°C, with interme-diate resuspension in fresh cold buffer. The slices were then resuspended in cold 0.05M tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.7 at 25°C), disrupted with a Brinkman Polytron, and the resulting membranes washed twice by centrifugation.

Assays for β-receptor binding, using [3H]dihydroalprenolol (New England Nuclear, 58.5 Ci/mmol) as a ligand, and α1-receptor binding, using [3H]ami-noxydolamine (New England Nuclear, 46 Ci/mmol) as a ligand, were performed (2, 7). Membranes derived from slices were incubated at 25°C in triplicate with various concentrations of [3H]-dihydroalprenolol for 20 minutes or...